Articles

Worldwide trends in the burden of asthma symptoms in school-aged children: Global Asthma Network Phase I cross-sectional study

M Innes Asher, Charlotte E Rutter, Karen Bissell, Chen-Yuan Chiang, Asma El Sony, Eamon Ellwood, Philippa Ellwood, Luis García-Marcos, Guy B Marks, Eva Morales, Kevin Mortimer, Virginia Pérez-Fernández, Steven Robertson, Richard J Silverwood, David P Strachan, Neil Pearce, the Global Asthma Network Phase I Study Group*

Summary

Background Asthma is the most common chronic disease in children globally. The Global Asthma Network (GAN) Phase I study aimed to determine if the worldwide burden of asthma symptoms is changing.

Methods This updated cross-sectional study used the same methods as the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) Phase III. Asthma symptoms were assessed from centres that completed GAN Phase I and ISAAC Phase I (1993–95), ISAAC Phase III (2001–03), or both. We included individuals from two age groups (children aged 6–7 years and adolescents aged 13–14 years) who self-completed written questionnaires at school. We estimated the 10-year rate of change in prevalence of current wheeze, severe asthma symptoms, ever having asthma, exercise wheeze, and night cough (defined by core questions in the questionnaire) for each centre, and we estimated trends across world regions and income levels using mixed-effects linear regression models with region and country income level as confounders.

Findings Overall, 119795 participants from 27 centres in 14 countries were included: 74361 adolescents (response rate 90%) and 45434 children (response rate 79%). About one in ten individuals of both age groups had wheeze in the preceding year, of whom almost half had severe symptoms. Most centres showed a change in prevalence of 2 SE or more between ISAAC Phase III to GAN Phase I. Over the 27-year period (1993–2020), adolescents showed a significant decrease in percentage point prevalence per decade in severe asthma symptoms (-0.37, 95% CI -0.69 to -0.04) and an increase in ever having asthma (1.25, 0.67 to 1.83) and night cough (4.25, 3.06 to 5.44), which was also found in children (3.21, 1.80 to 4.62). The prevalence of current wheeze decreased in low-income countries (-1.37, -2.47 to -0.27), in children and -1.67, -2.70 to -0.64, in adolescents) and increased in lower-middle-income countries (1.99, 0.33 to 3.66, in children and 1.69, 0.13 to 3.25, in adolescents), but it was stable in upper-middle-income and high-income countries.

Interpretation Trends in prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms over the past three decades varied by age group, country income, region, and centre. The high worldwide burden of severe asthma symptoms would be mitigated by enabling access to effective therapies for asthma.

Funding International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, Boehringer Ingelheim New Zealand, AstraZeneca Educational Grant, National Institute for Health Research, UK Medical Research Council, European Research Council, and Instituto de Salud Carlos III.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Introduction

Asthma is the most common non-communicable disease in children and one of the most common chronic diseases in adulthood.^{1,2} It is a major global health problem, with estimated 495100 deaths from asthma in 2017,³ and 22.8 million disability-adjusted life-years in 2017.⁴ More than 1000 asthma deaths each day are similar to the number of deaths from malaria.⁵ Crosssectional comparisons of the prevalence of asthma in populations require standardised methods that can be implemented in a wide range of settings, and the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) is the only worldwide study to achieve this.⁶ ISAAC Phase I (1993–95), repeated in Phase III (2001–03), identified that the prevalence of asthma symptoms (current wheeze) in school-aged children (aged 6–7 years and 13–14 years) was rising in some low-income and middle-income countries.⁷⁸

The Global Asthma Network (GAN) was established in 2012, building on the success of ISAAC and incorporating a new collaboration with the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. One of GAN's core activities, GAN Phase I (building on ISAAC and using an identical approach and methods)⁹ includes





Lancet 2021; 398: 1569-80

This online publication has been corrected. The corrected version first appeared at thelancet.com on November 4, 2021

See Comment page 1542

*Members are listed in the appendix 1

Department of Paediatrics: Child and Youth Health (Prof M I Asher MBChB. E Ellwood DipTch. P Ellwood MPH) and School of **Population Health** (K Bissell DrPH), Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand: Department of Medical Statistics, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. London, UK (C E Rutter MSc, S Robertson BA R J Silverwood PhD, Prof N Pearce PhD). International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. Paris, France (Prof C-Y Chiang DrPhilos); **Division of Pulmonary** Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Wan Fang Hospital, and Division of Pulmonary Medicine. Department of Internal Medicine School of Medicine College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan (Prof C-Y Chiang): Epidemiological Laboratory for Public Health, Research and Development, Khartoum, Sudan (Prof A El Sony PhD); Paediatric Allergy and Pulmonology Units, Virgen de la Arrixaca University Children's Hospital, University of Murcia and IMIB Bio-health Research Institute, Murcia, Spain (Prof L García-Marcos PhD);

(Prof L García-Marcos PhD); ARADyAL Allergy Network, Murcia, Spain (Prof L García-Marcos):

Respiratory & Environmental Epidemiology, University of

New South Wales, Sydney, NSW. Australia (Prof G B Marks PhD); Department of Public Health Sciences (E Morales PhD) and Department of Paediatrics (Prof V Pérez-Fernández PhD), University of Murcia, and IMIB Bio-health Research Institute. Murcia, Spain; Department of Clinical Sciences, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK (Prof K Mortimer PhD); Centre for Longitudinal Studies, UCL Social Research Institute, University College London, London, UK (R J Silverwood); **Population Health Research** Institute, St George's, University of London, London, UK (Prof D P Strachan MD)

Correspondence to: Prof M Innes Asher, Department of Paediatrics: Child and Youth Health, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland 1142, New Zealand i.asher@auckland.ac.nz

See Online for appendix 1

Research in context

Evidence before this study

We searched PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus on March 2, 2020, for papers in English published from Jan 1, 1990, to Dec 31, 2019. The search terms used were "asthma or asthma prevalence", "children or adolescent", "cross-sectional", "time trend or repeated", "global or worldwide or international", with the search narrowed subsequently with the search terms "review or meta-analysis" added. A recent review of worldwide trends in asthma prevalence found that the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) was the only global study providing direct evidence on changes in prevalence of asthma in school-aged children.

Added value of this study

To our knowledge, the Global Asthma Network Phase I study, adopting the same methods as ISAAC, produced the first

global surveillance of prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms,¹⁰ making it the only source of new populationbased data on worldwide trends in prevalence of asthma symptoms directly comparable to data from ISAAC Phases I and III. Public health interventions need to be based on science, with up-to-date evidence of the size and trends of health issues.

We hypothesised that globally, the burden (prevalence and severity) of asthma symptoms is changing in schoolaged children worldwide. The aim was to conduct asthma symptom surveillance around the world in two age groups of school pupils to examine time trends in prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms from centres that completed GAN Phase I and ISAAC Phase I, Phase III, or both.¹⁰

Methods

Study design and participants

ISAAC Phase I and Phase III were multicentre, multicountry, cross-sectional, population studies in schoolaged children, following standardised methods that have been well described^{11,12} and are highly replicable.¹³ This enabled comparisons of prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms between ISAAC Phase I and Phase III and GAN Phase I. Centres that completed GAN Phase I and ISAAC Phase I, Phase III, or both were the study centres for this analysis, and key personnel at the GAN Global Centre (Auckland, New Zealand) were the same throughout ISAAC Phase I, ISAAC Phase III, and GAN Phase I.

Each GAN Phase I investigator completed a registration document and followed the GAN manual.¹⁴ They gained approval from their local ethics committee and replicated the methods that had been used in their centres for ISAAC; this was documented in the centre report,¹⁴ which enabled checks to ensure the use of the same geographical sampling frame, sample size, age groups, method of selecting pupils, time of year for

comparable estimates of prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms in school-aged children over nearly three decades (1993–2020), from 27 centres in 14 countries in the Africa and Eastern Mediterranean, America, Europe, and South-East Asia and Western Pacific regions.

Implications of all the available evidence

Asthma is a major worldwide public health problem in school-aged children. Implementing strategies to reduce the prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms (and the associated avoidable asthma morbidity and mortality and subsequent burden of related diseases, including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) should be a priority for the 21st century.

data collection, and translations as had been used in ISAAC.¹⁵ From the sampling frame, ten or more schools were selected at random (or all schools if fewer than ten). The GAN Global Centre checked each centre report with the investigator for validity.

As in ISAAC, the compulsory age group was individuals aged 13–14 years (adolescents) who self-completed written questionnaires at school. The inclusion of individuals aged 6–7 years (children) was optional, and their questionnaires were completed at home by their parents or caregivers. All students of the specified age within schools were included and selected by grade, level, or year or by chronological age. Local ethics committees determined the method of consent (either passive or written) from parents or caregivers of both age groups; however, GAN recommended passive consent as written consent could reduce response rate,¹⁶ and the adolescents agreed by participating. Additionally, GAN Phase I included questionnaires completed by the parents about themselves, not reported here.

Procedures

We used seven core written asthma questions for comparisons in this Article. Current wheeze was defined as a positive answer to the question "have you (has this child) had wheezing or whistling in the chest in the past 12 months?" Severe asthma symptoms were defined as participants with current wheeze who, in the preceding 12 months, had four or more attacks of wheeze, one or more nights per week with sleep disturbance from wheeze, or wheeze affecting their speech." Ever having asthma (asthma ever) was defined as a positive answer to the question "have you (has this child) ever had asthma?" Exercise wheeze was defined by a positive answer to the question "in the past 12 months, has your (has this child's) chest sounded wheezy during or after exercise?" And lastly, night cough was defined as a positive answer to the question "in the past 12 months have you (has this child) had a dry cough at night, apart from associated with a cold or chest infection?"

Data and the centre report from each participating centre were submitted to the GAN Global Centre, and quality control checks were completed. Centres with

	13–14-years age group	•			6–7-years age group			
	Principal investigator	GAN response rate, %*	Mean data collection date*	Years between Phases†	Principal investigator	GAN response rate, %*	Mean data collection date*	Years between Phases†
Africa and Eastern Mediterranean								
Nigeria (Ibadan)	A Falade	85.0%	May, 2018	16.7				
South Africa (Cape Town)	H J Zar	84.4%	August, 2017	15-2				
Sudan (Khartoum)	M Nour	99.9%	March, 2017	14.1				
Syria (Lattakia)	G Dib	99.6%	April, 2019	17.3	Y Mohammad	93.0%	May, 2019	16-2
Region total			December, 2017	15.7			May, 2019	16-2
Americas								
Chile (South Santiago)	J Mallol	81.9%	March, 2015	13·3				
Costa Rica (Costa Rica)	M Soto-Martinez	67.5%	February, 2018	16.1	M Soto-Martinez	64.5%	January, 2018	16.0
Ecuador (Quito)	A Cabrera Aguilar	100.0%	April, 2019	15.9				
Mexico (Ciudad Victoria)	R García-Almaráz	82.3%	December, 2015	12.7	R García-Almaráz	81·5%	February, 2016	12.9
Mexico (Mexicali)	J V Mérida-Palacio	83.7%	April, 2016	13.7	J V Mérida-Palacio	77.0%	March, 2016	13·3
Mexico (Mexico City [North Area])	B E Del Río Navarro	93.8%	September, 2015	12.9	B E Del Río Navarro	86.7%	June, 2016	13.6
Mexico (Monterrey)	S N González-Díaz	88.0%	December, 2017	16.7				
Mexico (Toluca Urban Area)	E M Navarrete- Rodriguez	98.1%	October, 2015	13.1	E M Navarrete- Rodriguez	95.7%	April, 2016	13.5
Nicaragua (Managua)	J F Sánchez	90.5%	November, 2018	16.5	J F Sánchez	87.9%	November, 2018	16-4
Region total			December, 2016	14.5			January, 2017	14.4
Europe								
Spain (A Coruña)	A López-Silvarrey Varela	92.1%	January, 2019	15.2	A López-Silvarrey Varela	71.0%	January, 2019	15.3
Spain (Bilbao)	C González Díaz	91.1%	September, 2018	16.8	C González Díaz	55.2%	August, 2018	16.7
Spain (Cartagena)	L García-Marcos	73.8%	January, 2016	13·9	L García-Marcos	65.9%	January, 2016	14.0
Region total			December, 2017	15.4			November, 2017	15.3
South-East Asia and Western Pacif	fic							
India (Bikaner)	M Sabir	90.1%	November, 2017	16-3				
India (Chandigarh)	M Singh	100.0%	October, 2017	15.9				
India (Jaipur)	V Singh	98.7%	November, 2017	16.3	V Singh	75.8%	November, 2017	16.3
India (Kottayam)	T U Sukumaran	85.3%	October, 2017	15·3	T U Sukumaran	68-4%	December, 2017	15.5
India (Lucknow)	S Awasthi	94.0%	October, 2017	16.0	S Awasthi	91·3%	October, 2017	15.9
India (New Delhi)	S K Kabra	100.0%	November, 2017	16.0	S K Kabra	80.9%	January, 2018	16.1
India (Pune)	S Salvi	99.6%	October, 2017	15.9	S Salvi	79·8%	October, 2017	15.9
New Zealand (Auckland)	M I Asher	85.5%	October, 2018	16.7	M I Asher	63.7%	July, 2018	16.4
Taiwan (Taipei)	J-L Huang	93.0%	October, 2017	15.8	J-L Huang	76.3%	October, 2017	15.8
Thailand (Bangkok)	S Chinratanapisit	97.9%	September, 2017	16.1	S Chinratanapisit	86.3%	August, 2017	16-1
Region total			November, 2017	16.0			November, 2017	16.0
World total			August, 2017	15.4		••	August, 2017	15·3

The Athens centre is not presented because its ISAAC data are from Phase I alone; the Chandigarh centre is not presented in the 6–7-years age group because its ISAAC data are from Phase I alone. For the 13–14-years age group, methodology notes for centres with GAN Phase I data alone are the following: no date of birth on the questionnaire (Ibadan, Taipei); response rate lower than 80% (Costa Rica, Cartagena); age and birth date showed high inconsistencies (Quito); fewer than ten schools used when ten or more schools were available (Ciudad Victoria, Mexico City [north area], Toluca Urban Area, Auckland, Bangkok); only age was reported reliably (Monterrey); single data entry (Monterrey); no age on the questionnaire (Chandigarh, New Delhi); questionnaires completed at home by parents (Kottayam); and more than 20% of questionnaires missing age and date of birth (Bangkok). For the 6–7-years age group, methodology notes for centres with GAN Phase I data alone are the following: response rate lower than 70% (Costa Rica, Bangkok); only age was reported reliably (Monterrey); single data entry (Monterrey); no age on the questionnaire (Chandigarh, New Delhi); questionnaires completed at home by parents (Kottayam); and more than 20% of questionnaires of the 6–7-years age group, methodology notes for centres with GAN Phase I data alone are the following: response rate lower than 70% (Costa Rica, Bilbao, Cartagena, Kottayam, Auckland); no date of birth (Bangkok); and more than 20% of questionnaire (New Delhi); fewer than ten schools used when ten or more schools were available (Bangkok); and more than 20% of questionnaire (New Delhi); fewer than ten schools used when ten or more schools were available (Bangkok); and more than 20% of questionnaires missing age and date of birth (Bangkok); GAN=Global Asthma Network. ISAAC=International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood. *GAN Phase I. †ISAAC Phase I.

Table 1: Data collection details in each study centre

minor deviations from the methods were included in analyses, and these deviations are specified in footnotes in the tables, as in ISAAC.¹⁸ The data were then transferred to one of two designated GAN Phase I data centres for checking and analysis: Murcia (Spain) for Spanish-speaking and Portuguese-speaking centres and London (UK) for all other languages. A uniform approach to data processing, checking, and analysis was developed, using Stata versions 13–15.¹⁹

The mean date of questionnaire completion in each centre was used, rather than the year alone as had occurred in ISAAC time-trend studies.⁷⁸ High levels of participation were required for inclusion because absent school pupils might be away from school due to asthma symptoms: response rate was required to be at least 80% for adolescents and 70% for children.^{9,11,18} The response rate was defined as the number of core asthma symptom questionnaires returned with at least some symptom data, divided by the number of pupils in the age group. Three of the centre datasets received (one for adolescents and two for children) were excluded from the analyses due to poor (<50%) response rates.

In each centre, estimates of prevalence of symptoms for each age group were obtained by dividing the number of positive responses to each question by the number of completed questionnaires. If apparent inconsistencies were found between responses to a main question and a branched question (one dependent on the response to a main question), these were accepted and not recoded. For regional and global summaries, data for each centre were weighted by the sample size.

We obtained country income categories from the World Bank, with countries categorised into low-income, lowermiddle-income, upper-middle-income, and high-income countries.²⁰ The gross national income 2001 classification was used, because it was close to the timing of ISAAC Phase III, from which most data were available. Countries were allocated to four regions corresponding to WHO regions of the world, with South-East Asia and Western Pacific regions combined and Africa and Eastern Mediterranean regions combined, because of the small number of centres in these regions. WHO regions and country income category are not synonymous.

Outcomes and statistical analysis

We sought a sample size of 3000 participants for each age group (with a minimum of 1000 deemed acceptable), a stringent requirement because of the number of hypotheses being tested.

We examined changes in prevalence over time from ISAAC Phase I to GAN Phase I. We calculated the 10-year change in prevalence of symptoms for each centre using the difference between the two timepoints (eg, GAN Phase I and ISAAC Phase III) divided by the number of decades between the mean data collection dates of those timepoints.

	Number of individuals*	Number of Wheeze in past 12 months individuals*	ast 12 month	S	Asthma ever			Severe asthr 12 months	Severe asthma symptoms in past 12 months	is in past	Exercise wheeze in past 12 months	eeze in past		Night cough	Night cough in past 12 months	onths
		Prevalence, Absolute %* change pei decade, % ⁴	<u> </u>	SE change†	Prevalence, %*	Absolute change per decade, %†	SE change†	Prevalence, %*	Absolute SE change per change† decade, %†	SE change†	Prevalence, %*	Absolute change per decade, %†	SE change†	Prevalence, Absolute %* change p decade,%	5 +	SE change†
Africa and Eastern Mediterranean	diterranean															
Nigeria (Ibadan)	2897	10.6%	-1.4%	-1.0	3.7%	-4.8%	-5.3	6.2%	-1.3%	-1.2	32.0%	-1.3%	2.0-	23.6%	-2.5%	-1.8
South Africa (Cape Town)	3979	21.3%	%9.0	0.5	16.6%	1.4%	1:5	12.0%	1.7%	2.7	36-0%	2.2%	1:3	41.4%	3.1%	2.4
Sudan (Khartoum)	1785	5.7%	-4.8%	-3.9	18.2%	1.9%	1.2	3.5%	-2.7%	-3.2	28.1%	9.6%	3.4	40.4%	14.4%	7.2
Syria (Lattakia)	1215	19.8%	7.7%	4.4	10.9%	2.8%	4.9	10.6%	4.7%	4:3	35.8%	13.6%	4.6	54.4%	19.4%	8.6
Region total	9876	15.1%	%2.0	NA	12.4%	0.1%	NA	8.6%	0.8%	NA	33.4%	5.4%	NA	37.6%	6.2%	NA
Americas																
Chile (South Santiago)	2750	13.4%	-2.7%	-3.2	13.7%	-1.7%	-2.4	3.9%	-1.4%	-3.2	16.7%	-3.0%	-3·5	32.9%	-5.7%	-5.6
Costa Rica (Costa Rica)	1338	20.8%	-4.1%	6·£-	22.0%	%L·0-	9.0-	9.4%	-2.7%	-3.7	14.4%	-3.3%	-4·4	31.2%	3·0%	2.7
Ecuador (Quito)	3000	6.3%	-7.2%	-6.5	4·5%	-1.5%	-2.5	3.0%	-1.4%	-2.5	18.2%	4.8%	3.3	28.0%	12.7%	9.4
														(Table 2 co	(Table 2 continues on next page)	ext page)

(Continued from previous page) Mexico (Ciudad 2468 Victoria)								12 months			12 months					
intinued from previous pai Aexico (Ciudad 246 Victoria)	Prev %*	/alence,	Absolute 5 change per 6 decade, %†	SE change†	Prevalence, %*	Absolute change per decade, %†	SE change†	Prevalence, %*	Absolute change per decade, %†	SE change†	Prevalence, %*	Absolute change per decade, %†	SE change†	Prevalence, %*	Absolute change per decade, %†	SE change†
	ge)															
	i8 13.3%		~0.9%	-0.4	8.6%	2.2%	2.6	5.8%	0.4%	0.7	16.6%	-3.9%	-1.5	25.8%	-5.6%	-2.0
Mexico (Mexicali) 2479	79 14·7%		7.4%	11.5	8.7%	5.4%	11.6	7-5%	3.9%	8.4	21.3%	11.2%	7.3	25.7%	16.4%	11.7
Mexico (Mexico 3375 City [North Area])		- %6.8	-0.8%	9.0-	7.4%	-0.4%	-0.4	3.7%	-0.2%	e.0-	15.5%	1.9%	1:3	14.8%	-13.2%	-4.7
Mexico 2641 (Monterrey)	41 12.5%		3.9%	6.9	11.3%	2.5%	4.2	5.5%	1.7%	4.0	23.1%	8.0%	7.6	31.2%	-2.6%	-1.1
Mexico (Toluca 2650 Urban Area)	5.7%		-0.7%	L·0-	6.2%	0.8%	1.8	2.3%	%6.0-	-1:0	11.0%	-5.2%	-1.6	16.1%	-3.4%	-1.2
Nicaragua 3131 (Managua)	31 16.9%		1.9%	2.3	20.1%	3.0%	4.1	9.8%	1.3%	2.2	21.9%	-2.6%	-2.6	43.8%	0.2%	0.2
Region total 23832	32 11.9%		-0-5%	NA	10.8%	%6.0	NA	5.4%	%0.0	NA	17.8%	1.2%	NA	27.5%	0.2%	NA
Europe																
Spain (A Coruña) 3462	52 16·5%		0.8%	1.1	20.6%	1.4%	1.9	8.1%	1.2%	2.5	21.3%	0.2%	0.2	35.7%	4.9%	4.6
Spain (Bilbao) 3379	79 19-0%		3.1%	4·8	29.9%	4.8%	6.4	9.6%	2.2%	5.9	26.9%	2.8%	3·0	35.3%	8.9%	8·6
Spain (Cartagena) 3437	37 10.2%		-0.3%	-0.5	14.9%	2.3%	3.4	4.1%	0.1%	0.3	13.9%	-0-8%	6.0-	23.6%	-2.8%	-3·0
Region total 10 278	78 15.2%		1.4%	NA	21.7%	3.1%	NA	7.3%	1.3%	NA	20.7%	1.0%	NA	31.5%	4.0%	NA
South-East Asia and Western Pacific	Pacific															
India (Bikaner) 2702		2·4% –	-3.2%	-3.2	3.5%	%L·0-	-1-1	1.6%	%6.0-	-1.7	8.7%	-0.1%	-0.1	22·5%	-2.5%	-2.4
India (Chandigarh) 3000		2.5% -	-1.9%	-5.6	1.2%	-1.7%	-5.0	%Ź·0	-1.2%	-5.8	10.4%	3.2%	2.2	39.1%	7.8%	÷
India (Jaipur) 3060	6.8% (j		0.8%	1.1	6.2%	0.2%	0·3	2.1%	-0-5%	-1.6	9.8%	3·3%	5.9	45.8%	11.4%	8.2
India (Kottayam) 2091		4.4%	-7.1%	-5.9	4·3%	-3·0%	-2.0	1.5%	-5.0%	-4.1	4·8%	-4.5%	-4.1	17.3%	-7.6%	-5.0
India (Lucknow) 2969		1.6% –	-2.6%	-4.6	1.3%	-1.2%	-2.6	0.8%	-1.2%	-3.1	9.5%	2.0%	1.5	22.7%	-4·9%	-1.5
India (New Delhi) 3024		- %6.0	-2.8%	-4.2	0.3%	-3.9%	-5.2	0.5%	-1.8%	-4-7	6.6%	1.2%	1.7	27.3%	13.1%	12.6
India (Pune) 3030		4·6%	1.1%	2.2	%6.7	1.7%	2.3	2.0%	1.2%	5.1	10.6%	2.6%	2.7	33·0%	14.2%	0.6
New Zealand 1885 (Auckland)	35 14·9%		-4.6%	-3.4	22.6%	-3.2%	-3.0	5.1%	-2.2%	-3.6	22.7%	-5.8%	-5.2	24.9%	-3.6%	-2.6
Taiwan (Taipei) 3474	74 9.2%		1.4%	2.9	14.2%	-1.7%	-2.7	3.3%	%Z·0	2.7	25.0%	3.6%	4·8	27.7%	9.6%	13·5
Thailand 3206 (Bangkok))6 12.5%		~6.0-	L·0-	8.8%	-4.4%	-5.9	5.8%	-0-4%	-0.6	14.8%	-2.0%	-1·4	30.0%	%2.0-	-0.2
Region total 28 441		5.8% -	-2.1%	NA	6.7%	-2.5%	NA	2.3%	-1.2%	NA	12.4%	-0.1%	NA	29.7%	4.3%	NA
World total 72 427	27 10.4%		-0·7% ا	NA	11.0%	-0.3%	NA	4.9%	-0.2%	NA	18.2%	1.2%	NA	30.3%	3.2%	NA
The Athens centre is not presented because its ISAAC data are from Phase I alone. NA=not applicable. Methodology notes for centres with GAN Phase I data alone are the following: no date of birth on the questionnaire (lbadan, Taipei); response rate lower than 80% (Costa Rica, Cartagena); age and birth date showed high inconsistencies (Quito); fewer than ten or more schools were available (Cludad Victoria, Mexico City [north area], Toluca Urban Area, Auckland, Bangkok); only age was reported reliably (Monterrey); single date entry (Monterrey); no age on the questionnaire (Chandigarh, New Delhi); questionnaires completed at home by parents (Kottayam); and more than 20% of questionnaires missing age and date of the context of the conte	ed because its agena); age an (onterrey); sin <u></u>	ISAAC data a nd birth date gle data entry	tre from Phase showed high y (Monterrey)	e l alone. N/ inconsisten); no age on	one. Na=not applicable. Methodology notes for centres with GAN Phase I data alone are the following: no date of birth on the questionnaire (lbadan, Taipei); response rate resistencies (Quito); fewer than ten schools used when ten or more schools were available (Ciudad Victoria, Mexico City [north area], Toluca Urban Area, Auckland, Bangkok); age on the questionnaire (Chandigarh, New Delhi); questionnaires completed at home by parents (kottayam); and more than 20% of questionnaires missing age and date of the duestionnaire completed at home by parents (kottayam); and more than 20% of questionnaires missing age and date of the duestionnaire completed at home by parents (kottayam); and more than 20% of questionnaires missing age and date of the duestionnaires completed at home by parents (kottayam); and more than 20% of questionnaires missing age and date of the duestionnaire completed at home by parents (kottayam); and more than 20% of questionnaires missing age and date of the duestion at the duestin at the duestion at the duestion at the duestin at the d	e. Methodolog wer than ten so ire (Chandigar	y notes for c thools used w h, New Delhi	entres with GA /hen ten or mc (); questionnai	NN Phase I data are schools werverse completed	alone are th e available (C at home by p	e following: no Jiudad Victoria, arents (Kottay:	date of birth o Mexico City [n am); and more	n the questio orth area], Tc than 20% of	nnaire (Ibadan Juca Urban Arı questionnaire:	ı, Taipei); respo ea, Auckland, I s missing age a	onse rate 3angkok); and date of

We derived estimates of the absolute 10-year rate of change in current wheeze, severe asthma symptoms, ever having asthma, exercise wheeze, and night cough for each centre. We calculated the SE of this change to account for school-level clustering.¹⁹ We used Bland-Altman plots to examine the relationship between change in prevalence and average prevalence between timepoints, to remove the influence of sampling error (regression to the mean)²¹ when comparing the trends in higher or lower prevalence areas. The relationship was assessed with Spearman's rank correlation.

See Online for appendix 2 and 3

To examine trends over time in different types of countries or centres, multilevel modelling was undertaken. Along with GAN Phase I centres who participated in ISAAC, we included ISAAC-only centres with data from both ISAAC Phases I and III⁷ (94 centres with adolescents and 57 centres with children). This enabled us to estimate trends in prevalence across the full 27-year period (1993–2020) using all available time trends of centres in one mixedeffects linear regression model. Some centres had complete three-point time trend data available for the periods of ISAAC Phase I to ISAAC Phase III to GAN Phase I, whereas others had only ISAAC Phase III to GAN Phase I, ISAAC Phase I to GAN Phase I, or ISAAC Phase I to ISAAC Phase III.

We included country-level and centre-level random intercepts in the mixed-effects linear regression models. The estimated time trend could therefore be interpreted as the within-centre absolute change in percentage point prevalence per decade. Data from both age groups were included in the one model to improve model efficiency. We included age group as an a-priori confounder and effect modifier to assess time trends in each age group separately.

We considered country income category and region as confounders and effect modifiers of the time trend. Therefore, our analyses controlled, by stratification, for age group, region of the world, and country income level. In all centres, boys and girls were approximately evenly distributed, so we did not control for sex. We also tested for evidence against a linear form for the time trend through the introduction of a quadratic term. The main models were additionally fitted to estimate the time trend in severe wheeze, exercise wheeze, night cough, and ever having asthma.

To consider the effect of the level of prevalence of current wheeze on the change in prevalence of current wheeze, we additionally fitted separate models that included level of prevalence in ISAAC Phase III (or midpoint if no ISAAC Phase III data were available).

Role of the funding source

The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report; and no role in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Results

Data were available for 27 centres, in 14 countries in all four regions (Africa and Eastern Mediterranean, America, Europe, and South-East Asia and Western Pacific) that had completed ISAAC Phase I, Phase III, or both and for which GAN Phase I methods and data checks were completed by Jan 29, 2021. Overall, 119795 participants from GAN Phase I were included: 74361 adolescents in 27 centres (response rate 90%, range 68-100) and 45 434 children in 19 centres (response rate 79%, range 55–100; table 1; appendixes 2, 3). For the 27 centres in GAN Phase I with data available for adolescents, 13 had data from ISAAC Phase III alone, 13 had data from both ISAAC Phases I and III, and one (Athens) had data for ISAAC Phase I alone. For the 19 centres in GAN Phase I with data available for children, nine had data from ISAAC Phase III alone, nine had data from both ISAAC Phases I and III, and one (Chandigarh) had data from ISAAC Phase I alone.

GAN Phase I was undertaken between March 2, 2015 and Feb 28, 2020, with the date of data collection varying by centre. There was an average of 15.4 years (range 12.7–17.3) between ISAAC Phase III and GAN Phase I and 22.7 years (19.5–25.5) between ISAAC Phase I and GAN Phase I; dates of data collection were similar between the adolescent group and children group.

For current wheeze, in GAN Phase I, the prevalence in adolescents ranged from 0.9% (New Delhi, India) to 21.3% (Cape Town, South Africa), with a mean of 10.4% (95% CI 7.8–12.8); and the prevalence in children ranged from 1.1% (Lucknow, India) to 23.2% (Costa Rica), with a mean of 9.9% (7.3-12.4; tables 2, 3). The Bland Altman plots showed that the underlying prevalence of current wheeze was not associated with the magnitude of change (appendix 1, p 6).

We assessed the changes within centres in absolute prevalence of current wheeze from ISAAC (Phases I and III) to GAN Phase I (figure). Within each age group, most centres in both age groups showed significant $(\geq 2$ SE up or down) changes in current wheeze and severe asthma symptoms. For prevalence of current wheeze in adolescents, ten centres showed an SE decrease of 2 or more, seven showed an SE increase of 2 or more, and nine showed an SE change lower than 2 (appendix 1, p 8). For prevalence of current wheeze in children, nine centres showed an SE decrease of 2 or more, five showed an SE increase of 2 or more, and four showed an SE change lower than 2 (appendix 1, p 12). Within centres and in both age groups, the pattern of changes in prevalence of severe asthma symptoms (appendix 1, pp 9, 13) and ever having asthma (appendix 1, pp 10, 14) was similar to the changes in current wheeze. Additionally, the pattern of changes in prevalence of exercise wheeze and night cough was similar to those in current wheeze in both age groups (tables 2, 3).

			-					12 months	12 months		months		1	6	-	
	Number of individuals*	Prevalence, %*	Absolute change per decade, %†	SE change†	Prevalence, %*	Absolute change per decade, %†	SE change†	Prevalence, %*	Absolute change per decade, %†	SE change†	Prevalence, %*	Absolute change per decade, %†	SE change†	Prevalence, %*	Absolute change per decade, %†	SE change†
Africa and Eastern Mediterranean	iterranean															
Syria (Lattakia)	1116	10.8%	3.8%	5.1	11.9%	4.9%	5.2	5.4%	1.7%	3.2	11.6%	5.5%	6.7	29.1%	8.6%	4.4%
Region total	1116	10.8%	3.8%	NA	11.9%	4.9%	NA	5.4%	1.7%	NA	11.6%	5.5%	NA	29.1%	8.6%	NA
Americas																
Costa Rica (Costa Rica)	1936	23.2%	%0·6-	-7.5	29.3%	%6.0	0.6	13.2%	-3.2%	-4.1	12.2%	-3·0%	-3.5	45.9%	5.6%	5.5%
Mexico (Ciudad Victoria)	2444	11.7%	2.4%	6.E	6.5%	1.4%	2.5	5.8%	1.8%	4.4	10.0%	4.5%	7.1	22·7%	-4.6%	-4·3%
Mexico (Mexicali)	2001	14.0%	3.9%	5.7	7.5%	-0.2%	-0-4	7.6%	3.3%	5.9	14.8%	7.0%	10.3	23.0%	-3.4%	-2.8%
Mexico (Mexico City [North Area])	2515	10.6%	2.8%	4.2	5.1%	0.5%	1.0	4.3%	1.5%	4·1	9.1%	4.2%	7.5	19-8%	%6.7-	-5.6%
Mexico (Toluca Urban Area)	2712 r	6.4%	0.4%	0.4	3.4%	1.0%	2.6	2.9%	%2.0	1.4	6.5%	2.2%	3.2	18.0%	~9.0-	-0-5%
Nicaragua (Managua)) 3162	12.2%	-3.0%	-3.0	14.0%	-1.8%	-1.9	5.9%	-2.1%	-3.2	7.9%	-4.9%	-5-9	32.5%	-7.2%	-3.7%
Region total	14770	12.5%	-1.5%	NA	10.4%	-0-4%	NA	6.3%	-0.3%	NA	9·7%	0.8%	NA	26.5%	-3.4%	NA
Europe																
Spain (A Coruña)	3407	11.0%	-1.3%	-2.3	9.7%	-2.6%	-4.5	4.4%	-0.2%	-0-4	4.9%	-0-8%	-2.0	30.9%	4.8%	5.1%
Spain (Bilbao)	2707	10.9%	%6.0-	-1.4	22.7%	1.2%	1.4	4·1%	0.2%	0.4	6.4%	-0.1%	€·0-	27.8%	4.2%	5.0%
Spain (Cartagena)	3509	11.7%	0.5%	6.0	10.3%	-0.3%	-0.6	4.4%	0.2%	0.4	6.1%	%L·0	1.7	27.4%	4.7%	5.6%
Region total	9623	11.2%	-0.6%	NA	13.6%	-1.1%	NA	4.3%	0.1%	NA	5.7%	-0.1%	NA	28·7%	4.6%	NA
South-East Asia and Western Pacific	stern Pacific															
India (Jaipur)	2296	2.4%	-2.0%	-2.1	2.2%	-2.2%	-2.3	0.8%	-1.7%	-2.0	2.5%	~9.0-	-0.5	19.9%	-2.9%	-2.3%
India (Kottayam)	2099	5.5%	-11.3%	-13.4	3.5%	-5.2%	-3.7	2.9%	-5.3%	-4.8	1.8%	-7.1%	-6.2	20.9%	-0.3%	-0.2%
India (Lucknow)	2969	1.1%	-1.5%	-3·3	%9·0	-1.1%	-3.7	0.5%	-0.6%	-2.7	1.9%	0.2%	0.6	6.7%	-5.7%	-5.0%
India (New Delhi)	2516	3.5%	-1.6%	-2.6	0.4%	-3.9%	-5.7	0.8%	-1.1%	-5.8	2.7%	-0.2%	9.0-	22.9%	9.8%	7.8%
India (Pune)	2404	2.1%	-1.2%	-3.4	1.8%	-1.0%	-3.6	0·7%	0-4%	4·1	4.4%	0.8%	1.7	19.8%	5.0%	5.3%
New Zealand (Auckland)	1538	17.4%	-3·1%	-3.6	19.2%	-5.5%	-4.5	6.7%	-2·0%	-3·4	12.0%	-2.1%	-2.3	24.8%	-2.7%	-2.7%
Taiwan (Taipei)	3036	13.6%	2.4%	4.2	14.5%	0.1%	0.2	3.7%	1.0%	3.7	%6-9	1.3%	3.8	32.8%	7.4%	14.7%
Thailand (Bangkok)	3067	14.6%	-0.2%	е. 0 -	6.1%	-2.9%	-4.2	6.8%	%6.0	1.9	3.0%	-1.7%	-3.6	24.2%	-4.2%	-5.1%
Region total	19925	7.4%	-2.5%	NA	5.6%	-3.4%	NA	2.8%	-1.0%	NA	4.1%	-1.3%	NA	21.4%	0.5%	NA
World total	45 434	%6.6	-1.5%	NA	%0.6	-1.6%	NA	4.3%	-0.5%	NA	6-4%	-0.2%	NA	24.8%	0.5%	NA
The Chandigath centre is not presented because its ISAAC data are from Phase I alone. NA=not applicable. Methodology notes for centres with GAN Phase I data alone are the following: response rate lower than 70% (Costa Rica, Bilbao, Cartagena, Kottayam, Auckland); no date of birth on the questionnaire (Taipei); no age on the questionnaire (New Delhi); fewer than ten schools used when ten or more schools were available (Bangkok); and more than 20% of questionnaires missing age and date of birth (Bangkok). GAN=Global Asthma Network. ISAAC=International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood. *GAN Phase I. †ISAAC Phase III to GAN Phase I.	not presented bec late of birth on tf: 3lobal Asthma Ne	ause its ISAAC e questionnaii etwork. ISAAC=	data are from re (Taipei); no . International	l Phase I alone age on the qu Study of Astl	e. NA=not appli Jestionnaire (Né hma and Allergi	cable. Method :w Delhi); fewe es in Childhood	ology notes f er than ten sc. d. *GAN Phas	or centres with hools used whε se I. †ISAAC Pha	I GAN Phase I d en ten or more. se III to GAN P	lata alone arc schools werc hase I.	e the following e available (Bar	l: response rate ngkok); and m	e lower than 7 ore than 20%	0% (Costa Rica of questionnai	ı, Bilbao, Carta ires missing ag	gena, Je and date



Figure: Absolute changes over time in prevalence of current wheeze for adolescents (A) and children (B) by survey date

Each thin line represents one centre. The thick line shows the average absolute change from ISAAC Phase I to Phase III for those centres that did not participate in GAN Phase I. The span of the years of data collection for ISAAC Phase I, ISAAC Phase III, and GAN Phase I are shown. GAN=Global Asthma Network. ISAAC=International study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood.

The regression models examined whether withincentre changes were, collectively, compatible with chance or real trends. The models showed the effects of age group, region, and country income group upon time trends in current wheeze to be minimally altered by adjustment for their mutual confounding (data not shown). Although we observed no evidence for age group as an effect modifier (p=0.67), we decided a priori to stratify on this variable due to the importance of showing age group-specific results. We observed evidence of an additional effect modification with both income group (p=0.002) and region (p=0.0002), but the low number of centres in each stratum meant that we did not consider these effect modifiers together.

After stratifying by age group, adolescents showed a decrease in percentage point prevalence per decade of severe asthma symptoms and an increase in ever having asthma and night cough, which was also found in children (table 4). Stratification by country income group (adjusted for region) showed a decrease in prevalence of current wheeze among low-income countries in both age groups. However, we found an increase in prevalence of current wheeze among both age groups in lower-middleincome countries, but no change in upper-middleincome and high-income countries (table 4). Stratification by world region (adjusted for income group) showed an increase in prevalence of current wheeze in the Africa and Eastern Mediterranean region for both age groups, whereas the South-East Asia and Western Pacific regions showed decreased prevalence of current wheeze (table 4). We observed no evidence of a change in prevalence for the regions of America and Europe in either age group. We assessed nine strata across two age groups and five symptom outcomes, but not all of these are statistically independent. Within-centre trends in prevalence of related symptoms, and of a given symptom across age groups, might be correlated.

The results of the models for the other four outcome variables showed that the pattern for prevalence of severe asthma symptoms and exercise wheeze was similar to that of current wheeze (table 4). However, ever having asthma showed a different pattern, with increases in high-income countries and Europe. For night cough, we found a consistent pattern of increase in point prevalence in both age groups, all country income groups, and most regions.

Discussion

To our knowledge, GAN Phase I has provided the first standardised global estimates of trends over time in prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms in schoolaged children since 2003. The study included almost 120 000 children from 27 centres in 14 countries using the same instruments and methods as ISAAC.^{9,10} We identified a substantial burden of asthma symptoms in school-aged children: in both age groups, about one in ten had wheeze in the preceding year, of whom almost half had severe symptoms. We modelled all ISAAC Phases I and III and GAN Phase I prevalence data over time to determine patterns of change over nearly three decades (1993–2020) and found prevalence and severity to vary by age group, country income, region, and centre.

	Outcome					Number of surveys' in strata
	Current wheeze	Severe asthma symptoms	Exercise wheeze	Night cough	Asthma ever	_
Model stratified by age group						
Age 6-7 years	-0·22 (-1·00 to 0·57)	-0·24 (-0·63 to 0·15)	0.78 (-0.31 to 1.87)	3.21 (1.80 to 4.62)	0.56 (-0.13 to 1.24)	161
Age 13–14 years	-0.43 (-1.10 to 0.23)	-0.37 (-0.69 to -0.04)	0·34 (-0·58 to 1·26)	4·25 (3·06 to 5·44)	1·25 (0·67 to 1·83)	255
Model stratified by age group a	ind income group					
Age 6–7 years						
Low income	–1·37 (–2·47 to –0·27)	-0.84 (-1.37 to -0.30)	-0.02 (-1.54 to 1.50)	3·33 (1·34 to 5·32)	–1·56 (–2·48 to –0·65)	31
Lower-middle income	1.99 (0.33 to 3.66)	1·32 (0·51 to 2·12)	3.66 (1.36 to 5.96)	5·29 (2·28 to 8·29)	0.06 (-1.33 to 1.44)	15
Upper-middle income	0.50 (-0.82 to 1.82)	0·20 (-0·44 to 0·84)	1.66 (-0.17 to 3.48)	1.41 (-0.98 to 3.80)	1·19 (0·09 to 2·28)	43
High income	-0·22 (-1·24 to 0·80)	-0.39 (-0.88 to 0.11)	0·25 (-1·16 to 1·66)	3·48 (1·63 to 5·32)	2·07 (1·22 to 2·92)	72
Age 13–14 years						
Low income	-1.67 (-2.70 to -0.64)	–1·03 (–1·53 to –0·53)	-0.58 (-2.00 to 0.85)	4·31 (2·45 to 6·17)	-0.84 (-1.70 to 0.02)	47
Lower-middle income	1.69 (0.13 to 3.25)	1·13 (0·37 to 1·88)	3·10 (0·95 to 5·25)	6·26 (3·46 to 9·07)	0.78 (-0.52 to 2.08)	40
Upper-middle income	0·19 (-1·06 to 1·45)	0.01 (-0.60 to 0.62)	1·10 (-0·63 to 2·83)	2·38 (0·12 to 4·65)	1.91 (0.86 to 2.95)	62
High income	-0·52 (-1·47 to 0·43)	–0·58 (–1·04 to –0·12)	-0.31 (-1.62 to 1.00)	4·45 (2·73 to 6·17)	2·79 (2·00 to 3·58)	106
Model stratified by age group a	and grouped region					
Age 6-7 years						
Africa and Eastern Mediterranean	2.61 (0.76 to 4.46)	1·46 (0·57 to 2·35)	4·99 (2·41 to 7·57)	6.64 (3.28 to 9.99)	0.21 (-1.38 to 1.79)	10
America	0.01 (-1.29 to 1.31)	0·13 (-0·50 to 0·76)	1·23 (-0·56 to 3·03)	1·43 (-0·92 to 3·77)	0.88 (-0.24 to 1.99)	29
Europe†	1.08 (-0.08 to 2.24)	0·43 (-0·13 to 0·99)	1.60 (-0.01 to 3.21)	4.67 (2.57 to 6.78)	2·73 (1·74 to 3·73)	64
South-East Asia and Western Pacific	-1·35 (-2·28 to -0·41)	-0·96 (-1·41 to -0·51)	-0·33 (-1·64 to 0·98)	2·71 (1·01 to 4·42)	-0.69 (-1.49 to 0.11)	58
Age 13–14 years						
Africa and Eastern Mediterranean	2.09 (0.40 to 3.78)	1·15 (0·33 to 1·96)	4·15 (1·80 to 6·51)	7·41 (4·34 to 10·47)	0.78 (-0.68 to 2.23)	34
America	-0.51 (-1.73 to 0.71)	-0·19 (-0·77 to 0·40)	0·39 (-1·29 to 2·07)	2·20 (0·00 to 4·40)	1·45 (0·40 to 2·50)	50
Europe†	0.56 (-0.51 to 1.63)	0·11 (-0·40 to 0·63)	0.76 (-0.73 to 2.25)	5·45 (3·50 to 7·39)	3·30 (2·38 to 4·22)	100
South-East Asia and Western Pacific	-1.87 (-2.78 to -0.96)	-1·28 (-1·72 to -0·84)	-1·17 (-2·44 to 0·10)	3·49 (1·83 to 5·14)	-0·12 (-0·90 to 0·66)	71

Data are absolute percentage points difference (95% CI). Results from mixed model with random intercepts for country and centre, incorporating effect modification, fully adjusted for age group, income group, and grouped region (n=416). ISAAC=International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood. *N=number of surveys contributing to each stratified analysis. †Malta was in ISAAC's Eastern Mediterranean region but has been moved to the European region in modelling for this analysis.

Table 4: Model results of estimated change in all asthma related outcomes over 10 years

We observed change in prevalence of all asthma symptoms by 2 SE or more in most centres, suggesting more than just random variation. In seven countries, we found a decrease in prevalence of most asthma symptoms, whereas increases were found in seven countries. There is evidence from the 27-year modelling of an overall decrease in prevalence for severe asthma symptoms in adolescents and an overall increased report of ever having asthma and night cough in both age groups. We also found regional differences, with evidence of increasing prevalence of current wheeze and severe asthma symptoms in Africa and Eastern Mediterranean and decreasing mean prevalence in South-East Asia and Western Pacific regions. Country income was associated with changes in prevalence of asthma symptoms, with low-income countries showing a significant decrease but lower-middle-income countries showing an increase, with no evidence of change in more affluent countries. These broad patterns overlie a substantial heterogeneity of trends both between and within countries. This limits the generalisability of conclusions from any single centre or country.

The interpretation of these patterns is complex because a wide range of risk factors exists and environmental changes are at play. Some centres had changes of particular interest. For example, large variations were found within India, and the reasons for this are subject to a national study. A few centres with high prevalence saw a progressive decrease from ISAAC Phases I to III^{7,8} to GAN Phase I. Some low-prevalence centres showed increased prevalence of asthma symptoms, including severe asthma symptoms, contributing to the burden of asthma. A large increase in prevalence was found in Lattakia, in war-torn Syria. In two centres (Cape Town and Bilbao), the increase in prevalence of severe asthma symptoms was more than that of asthma symptoms; whether this is due to barriers to asthma care remains to be investigated. The influence of asthma programmes, accessibility of asthma medicines, or other environmental changes¹ on these variations needs to be determined. National asthma programmes can be effective ways of reducing the burden of severe asthma symptoms: Costa Rica, India, and Taiwan reported national asthma strategies in 2013–14,²² which might be a factor in the decreased burden in Costa Rica and India, but not in Taiwan. The role of macro-environmental changes affecting populations is worthy of exploration.

The Global Burden of Disease (GBD) Study has reported estimates of global asthma prevalence (for all ages) over a similar period as this analysis. The GBD case definition for asthma differed from ours in that it was a reported diagnosis by a physician (which varies between countries) combined with wheezing in the preceding 12 months. The GBD estimates over 2000–17 showed large and unexplained variations between 220.4 and 339.4 million people.²²³⁻²⁸ Since 2003, no new worldwide standardised studies of asthma symptom prevalence were done until GAN Phase I, which also included additional 36 centres in 11 countries that did not undertake ISAAC, not included in this Article, and should contribute to future GBD analyses.

Our study has many strengths, especially the standardised methods used to estimate asthma prevalence from symptoms in a wide range of settings in the world.6 Tight quality control checks along with the same key personnel in ISAAC and GAN meant that replication of the standardised methods from ISAAC Phase I to Phase III to GAN Phase I was successful, as evidenced by the low number of centres excluded or with footnotes related to the methods. Response rates were high. The study includes many centres from low-income, middle-income, and highincome countries from all regions of the world except North America. In about half of the centres, GAN Phase I was the first paired standardised study of asthma symptom prevalence; these included centres in five countries with no previous time-trend data (centres in Ecuador, Greece, Nicaragua, Sudan, and Syria), and nine centres that had no previous time-trend data (although different centres in those countries had provided ISAAC time-trend data).8

Our study has some limitations, which include the smaller number of GAN Phase I centres compared with that of ISAAC Phase I to Phase III, which makes it difficult to generalise the findings to global prevalence changes. Centres were self-selecting, and thus not representative of countries except for Costa Rica, which was a whole country study. Within an individual country, wide differences of prevalence can occur such as rural versus urban locations and high-income areas in a low-income or middle-income country. Despite the simplicity of the GAN and ISAAC approach, these surveys are more difficult to undertake now than at the time of ISAAC Phases I and III. Factors that increase this difficulty include reluctance of schools to being involved in research due to increased curriculum demands; more stringent ethical requirements, meaning that obtaining passive consent for adolescents is less easy

than in previous decades;¹⁶ funders not prioritising population-based research; parents being busier and having less time for participation; and epidemics or pandemics (currently COVID-19) and conflicts that disrupt schools and people's lives.

Future GAN Phase I reports will contribute an estimate of the global burden of asthma, which will include the GAN Phase I centres in this Article as well as centres without time-trend data, and analyses in children and adolescents assessing rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema and other asthma risk factors, similar to ISAAC Phase III reports, as well as studies in adults. Extensive risk factor analyses were undertaken in ISAAC Phase III.29,30 Equivalent analyses will be undertaken with use of GAN Phase I data in conjunction with ISAAC Phase III data to determine to what extent changes in risk factor prevalences over time can account for the observed changes in prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms. We will also examine the relationship of management of asthma and symptoms. Abundant evidence exists that in many locations in the world, asthma management is suboptimal, contributing to a relatively high prevalence of severe asthma symptoms,^{1,3} and there are strategies to improve this even in localities within low-resource settings.^{1,31} As the legacy of severe asthma in childhood can be chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in adults, reducing the burden of severe asthma symptoms in children is of crucial importance.²

These data suggest that, while the overall worldwide prevalence of asthma symptoms is relatively stable, about one in 20 school-aged children have severe asthma symptoms, and they need to gain better asthma control to lessen the associated avoidable asthma morbidity and mortality; little has changed over 27 years. The UN 2030 Sustainable Development Goal 3 aims to "ensure healthy lives and promote wellbeing at all ages".¹ Our findings emphasise the urgency of ensuring that the high worldwide burden of severe asthma symptoms in children is mitigated by enabling equitable and affordable access to the effective therapies for asthma that have been available to those who can afford them for decades.

The Global Asthma Network Phase I Study Group

GAN Steering Group: M I Asher, K Bissell, C-Y Chiang, A El Sony, E Ellwood, P Ellwood, L García-Marcos, G B Marks, R Masekela, E Morales, K Mortimer, N Pearce, D P Strachan. GAN International Data Centres: GAN Global Centre M I Asher, P Ellwood, E Ellwood; Murcia, Spain L García-Marcos, V Pérez-Fernández, E Morales, A Martinez-Torres; London, UK D P Strachan, N Pearce, C E Rutter, S Robertson, R J Silverwood. GAN Principal Investigators: Chile J Mallol; Costa Rica M Soto-Martinez; Ecuador A Cabrera Aguilar; Greece K Douros; India M Sabir, M Singh, V Singh*, T U Sukumaran, S Awasthi, S K Kabra, S Salvi; Mexico R García-Almaráz, J V Mérida-Palacio, B E Del Río Navarro*, S N González-Díaz, E M Navarrete-Rodriguez; New Zealand M I Asher; Nicaragua J F Sánchez; Nigeria A G Falade; South Africa H J Zar; Spain A López-Silvarrey Varela, C González Díaz, L García-Marcos*; Sudan M Nour; Syria G Dib, Y Mohammad*; Taiwan J-L Huang; Thailand S Chinratanapisit.

Other GAN National Coordinators: Costa Rica M E Soto-Quiros; Sudan A El Sony; Thailand P Vichyanond.

ISAAC Phase III Principal Investigators: Chile P Aguilar; Costa Rica M E Soto-Quirós*; Ecuador S Barba; India M Sabir, L Kumar†, V Singh, T U Sukumaran, S Awasthi, S K Sharma, N M Hanumante; Mexico B E Del Río Navarro, R García-Almaráz, S N González-Díaz, F J Linares-Zapién, J V Merida-Palacio; New Zealand M I Asher*; Nicaragua J F Sánchez*; Nigeria B O Onadeko; South Africa H J Zar*; Spain L García-Marcos*, C González Díaz, A López-Silvarrey Varela; Sudan O A A Musa; Syria Y Mohammad; Taiwan J-L Huang*; Thailand P Vichvanond*.

Other ISAAC Phase III National Coordinators: Chile V Aguirre; Mexico M Baeza-Bacab; Sudan A El Sony; Syria S Mohammad. ISAAC Phase I Principal Investigators: Chile E Cortéz; Costa Rica M E Soto-Quirós*; Greece C H Gratziou*; India L Kumar†, T U Sukumaran, K Chopra, N M Hanumante; New Zealand M I Asher*; Nigeria B O Onadeko; South Africa H Nelson; Spain A D Rubio, L García-Marcos*; Taiwan K Hsieh†; Thailand P Vichyanond*. Other ISAAC Phase I National Coordinators: Chile J Mallol; India J Shah. *National Coordinators.

†Deceased.

Contributors

MIA, KB, C-YC, AES, PE, LG-M, GBM, NP, and DPS conceptualised the study. EE, PE, LG-M, EM, VP-F, CER, SR, and RJS curated the data. NP, CER, and DPS did the formal analysis. MIA handled the investigation and the resources. MIA, C-YC, PE, LG-M, NP, CER, DPS, and RJS were responsible for the methods. MIA, EE, and PE were responsible for project administration. LG-M, NP, DPS, and RJS supervised the study. PE validated the data. EE, PE, and CER handled data visualisation. MIA and CER wrote the original draft. KB, C-YC, AES, EE, PE, LG-M, EM, KM, VP-F, NP, DPS, RJS, and the Global Asthma Network Phase I Study Group reviewed and edited the manuscript. The Global Asthma Network Phase I Study Group contributed original data for the analyses. CER, NP, VP-F, and DPS verified the underlying data. The writing group authors had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Declaration of interests

KM reports receiving advisory board fees from AstraZeneca, outside the submitted work. GBM reports grants and non-financial support from AstraZeneca and grants from GlaxoSmithKline Australia and Novartis Australia, outside the submitted work. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Data sharing

ISAAC data are already deposited for wider use. The study protocol, including a recommended informed consent form and statistical analysis plan, are in the public domain (https://beta.ukdataservice.ac. uk/datacatalogue/studies/study?id=8131). The GAN Phase I data, including de-identified individual participant data, will be made available on the GAN website within 12 months of the publication of all GAN Phase I analyses. Access will require a formal request through the website, a written proposal, and a signed data access agreement.

Acknowledgments

We thank the children and parents who participated in ISAAC Phases I and III and GAN Phase I, the school staff for their assistance and help with coordination, the principal investigators and their colleagues, and the many funding bodies throughout the world that supported the individual ISAAC centres and collaborators and their meetings. The ISAAC International Data Centre was supported by the Health Research Council of New Zealand, the Asthma and Respiratory Foundation of New Zealand, the Child Health Research Foundation, the Hawke's Bay Medical Research Foundation, the Waikato Medical Research Foundation, Glaxo Wellcome New Zealand, the New Zealand Lottery Board, and AstraZeneca New Zealand. Glaxo Wellcome International Medical Affairs supported the regional coordination and the ISAAC International Data Centre. The GAN Global Centre in Auckland was funded by the University of Auckland with additional funding from The International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, Boehringer Ingelheim New Zealand, and AstraZeneca Educational Grant. The London Data Centre was supported by a PhD studentship (to CER) from the UK Medical Research Council (grant number MR/N013638/1) and funding from the European Research

Council (ERC) under the EU's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013, ERC grant agreement number 668954). The Murcia Data Centre was supported by the University of Murcia and by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI17/0170). We thank the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Global Health Research (GHR) Unit on Lung Health and Tuberculosis in Africa at Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (IMPALA) for helping to make this work possible (16/136/35); IMPALA was commissioned by the NIHR (GHR) using UK aid from the UK Government. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of any of the funders. Individual centres involved in GAN Phase I data collection were funded by the following organisations: Costa Rica and Nicaragua were partly funded by an unrestricted grant from AstraZeneca for logistic purposes; in Kottayam, New Delhi, Chandigarh, Bikaner, Jaipur, Lucknow, and Pune (India), GAN Phase I was undertaken by Asthma Bhawan, which was supported by Cipla Foundation; Puerto Vallarta Centro Universitario de la Costa (Universidad de Guadalajara, Mexico), Auckland Asthma Charitable Trust (New Zealand), and Ibadan (Nigeria) were funded by NIHR (IMPALA grant 16/136/35) using UK aid from the UK Government to support GHR; Cape Town (South Africa) was supported by the SA Medical Research Council and Allergy Society of South Africa; Lattakia (Syria) was supported by The Medical National Syndicate.

Editorial note: the *Lancet* Group takes a neutral position with respect to territorial claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- Asher I, Ellwood P, Gilchrist C, et al. The Global Asthma Report 2018. Auckland: The Global Asthma Network, 2018.
- 2 Meghji J, Mortimer K, Agusti A, et al. Improving lung health in low-income and middle-income countries: from challenges to solutions. *Lancet* 2021; 397: 928–40.
- 3 Roth GA, Abate D, Abate KH, et al. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018; 392: 1736–88.
- 4 Kyu HH, Abate D, Abate KH, et al. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 359 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2018; 392: 1859–922.
- 5 Asher I, Bissell K, Chiang C-Y, et al. Calling time on asthma deaths in tropical regions-how much longer must people wait for essential medicines? *Lancet Respir Med* 2019; 7: 13–15.
- 6 Asher MI, García-Marcos L, Pearce NE, Strachan DP. Trends in worldwide asthma prevalence. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 56: 2002094.
- 7 Pearce N, Aït-Khaled N, Beasley R, et al. Worldwide trends in the prevalence of asthma symptoms: Phase III of the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC). *Thorax* 2007; 62: 758–66.
- 8 Asher MI, Montefort S, Björkstén B, et al. Worldwide time trends in the prevalence of symptoms of asthma, allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, and eczema in childhood: ISAAC Phases One and Three repeat multicountry cross-sectional surveys. *Lancet* 2006; 368: 733–43.
- 9 Ellwood P, Asher MI, Billo NE, et al. The Global Asthma Network rationale and methods for Phase I global surveillance: prevalence, severity, management and risk factors. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 49: 1601605.
- 10 Ellwood P, Ellwood E, Rutter C, et al. Global Asthma Network Phase I Surveillance: geographical coverage and response rates. *J Clin Med* 2020; 9: 3688.
- 11 Asher MI, Keil U, Anderson HR, et al. International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC): rationale and methods. *Eur Respir J* 1995; 8: 483–91.
- 12 Ellwood P, Asher MI, Beasley R, et al. The international study of asthma and allergies in childhood (ISAAC): Phase Three rationale and methods. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2005; 9: 10–16.
- 13 Ellwood P, Asher MI, Stewart AW, et al. The challenges of replicating the methodology between Phases I and III of the ISAAC programme. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2012; 16: 687–93.
- 14 Ellwood P, Asher M, Ellwood E, et al. The Global Asthma Network Manual for Global Surveillance: prevalence, severity and risk factors; August 2015. http://www.globalasthmanetwork.org/ surveillance/manual/manual.php (accessed Feb 1, 2021).

For the **GAN website** see http:// www.globalasthmanetwork.org/

- 15 Ellwood P, Williams H, Aït-Khaled N, et al. Translation of questions: the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) experience. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2009; 13: 1174–82.
- 16 Ellwood P, Asher MI, Stewart AW, et al. The impact of the method of consent on response rates in the ISAAC time trends study. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2010; 14: 1059–65.
- 17 Lai CKW, Beasley R, Crane J, et al. Global variation in the prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms: Phase Three of the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC). *Thorax* 2009; 64: 476–83.
- 18 The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) Steering Committee. Worldwide variations in the prevalence of asthma symptoms: the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC). *Eur Respir J* 1998; 12: 315–35.
- 19 Stata Statistical Software. Release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC, 2017.
- 20 World Bank. World Bank country and lending groups. https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519 (accessed Sept 2, 2020).
- 21 Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet* 1986; 1: 307–10.
- 22 Asher I, Haahtela T, Selroos O, et al. Global Asthma Network survey suggests more national asthma strategies could reduce burden of asthma. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr) 2017; 45: 105–14.
- 23 Murray CJL, Lopez AD, Mathers D, Stein C. The Global Burden of Disease 2000 project: aims, methods and data sources. World Health Organization. 2001. https://www.who.int/healthinfo/ paper36.pdf (accessed Feb 1, 2021).
- 24 Vos T, Allen C, Arora M, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 2016; **388**: 1545–602.

- 25 Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012; 380: 2163–96.
- 26 Vos T, Barber RM, Bell B, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2015; **386**: 743–800.
- 27 Vos T, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet* 2017; 390: 1211–59.
- 28 James SL, Abate D, Abate KH, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018; **392**: 1789–858.
- 29 Silverwood RJ, Rutter CE, Mitchell EA, et al. Are environmental risk factors for current wheeze in the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) Phase three due to reverse causation? *Clin Exp Allergy* 2019; **49**: 430–41.
- 30 Morales E, Strachan D, Asher I, et al. Combined impact of healthy lifestyle factors on risk of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema in school children: ISAAC Phase III. *Thorax* 2019; 74: 531–38.
- 31 Rylance S, Chinoko B, Mnesa B, Jewell C, Grigg J, Mortimer K. An enhanced care package to improve asthma management in Malawian children: a randomised controlled trial. *Thorax* 2021; 76: 434–40.