The Immunological Basis for Immunization Series

Module 15: Meningococcal disease Updated 2020



The Immunological Basis for Immunization Series

Module 15: Meningococcal disease Update 2020



The immunological basis for immunization series. Module 15: meningococcal disease. Update 2020

(Immunological basis for immunization series; module 15)

ISBN

ISBN 978-92-4-001748-1 (electronic version) ISBN 978-92-4-001749-8 (print version)

© World Health Organization 2020

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo</u>).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: "This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition".

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization.

Suggested citation. The immunological basis for immunization series: module 15: meningococcal disease. Update 2020. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020 (Immunological basis for immunization series; module 15). Licence: <u>CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO</u>.

Cataloguing-in-Publication (CIP) data. CIP data are available at http://apps.who.int/iris.

Sales, rights and licensing. To purchase WHO publications, see <u>http://apps.who.int/bookorders</u>. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see <u>http://www.who.int/about/licensing</u>.

Third-party materials. If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

General disclaimers. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

Contents

Abi	brevia	tions and acronymsvi
Pre	face	
Ack	enowle	edgementsix
Cor	nflict o	f interestx
		-
1.	Meni	ingococcal disease1
	1.1	Introduction 1
	1.2	Neisseria meningitidis1
	1.3	Epidemiology1
		1.3.1 Disease caused by N. meningitidis serogroup A2
		1.3.2 Disease caused by N. meningitidis serogroup B 4
		1.3.3 Disease caused by N. meningitidis serogroup C 4
		1.3.4 Disease caused by N. meningitidis serogroup W 4
		1.3.5 Disease caused by N. meningitidis serogroup X5
		1.3.6 Disease caused by N. meningitidis serogroup Y
	1.4	Risk factors for meningococcal carriage
	1.5	Risk factors for meningococcal disease
	1.6	Clinical presentation
	1.7	Diagnosis
	1.8	Treatment
2.	Imm	unity to meningococci
3.	Imm	unological assays 11
	3.1	Serum bactericidal antibody assay11
	3.2	Measurement of serogroup-specific immunoglobulin
	3.3	Opsonophagocytic assays
	3.4	Other assays14
4.	Surr	ogates of protection15
5.	Avail	lable vaccines

6.	Resp	onse to	immunization	. 25
	6.1	Menin	gococcal polysaccharide vaccines	25
	6.2	Menin	gococcal conjugate vaccines	27
		6.2.1	Monovalent serogroup C conjugate vaccines	. 27
		6.2.2	Quadrivalent meningococcal polysaccharide-protein	
			conjugate vaccines	. 30
			6.2.2.1 MCV4-DT	30
			6.2.2.2 MCV4-CRM	31
			6.2.2.5 MCV4 h -TT	33
		6.2.3	Monovalent serogroup A conjugate vaccines	. 34
		6.2.4	Pentavalent ACWYX vaccines	35
		6.2.5	Combination vaccines	. 36
	6.3	Menin	gococcal protein vaccines	36
		6.3.1	Outer membrane vesicle (OMV) vaccines	36
		6.3.2	4CMenB	38
		6.3.3	Bivalent rLP2086	41
	6.4	Clinica	ıl risk groups	42
		6.4.1	Asplenia	. 42
		6.4.2	Pre-term infants	. 42
		6.4.3	Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)	43
		6.4.4	Complement deficiency	. 43
		6.4.5	Standard and intensive chemotherapy	. 44
		6.4.6	Malaria, malaria chemoprophylaxis and sickle-cell trait	. 44
7.	Effec	tivenes	S	45
	7.1	Polysa	ccharide vaccines	45
		7.1.1	Serogroup C	45
		7.1.2	Serogroup A	. 46
		7.1.3	Serogroups Y and W	. 47
	7.2	Conjug	gate vaccines	47
		7.2.1	Serogroup C (MCC)	. 47
		7.2.2	Serogroup A	. 48
		7.2.3	Quadrivalent ACWY	. 49
	7.3	Protein	ı vaccines	49
		7.3.1	Outer membrane vesicle (OMV) vaccines	. 49
		7.3.2	4CMenB vaccine	. 50
8.	Safet	y		51
	8.1	Polysa	ccharide vaccines	51
	8.2	Conju	gate vaccines	52
		8.2.1	Meningococcal C conjugate vaccines	52
		8.2.2	Meningococcal A conjugate vaccines	53
		8.2.3	Meningococcal quadrivalent ACWY conjugate vaccines	54
	8.3	Menin	gococcal B vaccines	55
	8.4	Combi	nation vaccines	56

9.	Cond	comitant use	
	9.1	Coadministration in infants, toddlers and young children	
	9.2	Coadministration in adolescents	59
	9.3	Coadministration in Adults	61
10.	Effec	t of vaccines against carriage	
	10.1	Polysaccharide vaccines	
	10.2	Conjugate vaccines	
	10.3	Protein vaccines	
	10.4	Mechanism of action	
11.	Impl	ications for immunization	65
12.	Refe	rences	

Abbreviations and acronyms

4CMenB	Bexsero
ACIP	Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (US)
aHUS	atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome
ALL	acute lymphoblastic leukaemia
AML	acute myeloid leukaemia
aP	acellular pertussis
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US)
CEACAM	human carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule
CI	confidence interval
CRM197	non toxigenic natural variant of diphtheria toxin
DT	diphtheria-tetanus
DTaP	diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis
DTwP	diphtheria-tetanus-whole cell pertussis
ECDC	European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control.
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EPI	Expanded Programme on Immunization
FDA	Food and Drug Administration (USA)
GBS	Guillain-Barré syndrome
GMT	geometric mean titre
GSK	GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals
Hib	<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> type b
HBV	hepatitis B virus
HPV	human papillomavirus
hSBA	serum bactericidal antibody measured with exogenous human complement
HSCT	hematopoietic stem cell transplant
Ig	immunoglobulin
IOM	Institute of Medicine (US)
IPV	inactivated polio vaccine
JE	Japanese encephalitis

LCCD	late complement component deficiency
LOS	lipooligosaccharide
LP	lipoprotein
MATS	Meningococcal Antigen Typing System
MCC	meningococcal serogroup C conjugate
MCV4	meningococcal quadrivalent ACWY conjugate vaccine
MCV4-CRM	Menveo
MCV4-DT	Menactra
MCV4fi-TT	MenQuadfi
MCV4rix-TT	Nimenrix
ME	myalgic encephalomyelitis
MHRA	Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency
MLST	multilocus sequence type
MMR	measles, mumps and rubella vaccine
MVP	Meningitis Vaccine Project
NACI	National Advisory Committee on Immunization Canada
NHBA	Neisseria Heparin Binding Antigen
OPA	opsonophagocytosis
OMP	outer membrane protein
OMV	outer membrane vesicle
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PCV7	pneumococcal 7-valent conjugate vaccine
PNH	paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria
PsA-TT	MenAfriVac
rLP2086	Trumenba
rSBA	serum bactericidal antibody measured with exogenous rabbit complement
SAE	serious adverse event
SBA	serum bactericidal antibody
ST	sequence type
Τ́Τ	tetanus-toxoid
Td	tetanus diphtheria-toxoid
VAERS	Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System-USA
VE	vaccine efficacy
WHO	World Health Organization
wP	whole-cell pertussis
YF	yellow fever

Preface

This module is part of the WHO series *The immunological basis for immunization*, which was initially developed in 1993 as a set of eight modules comprising one module on general immunology and seven modules each devoted to one of the vaccines recommended for the Expanded Programme on Immunization – i.e. vaccines against diphtheria, measles, pertussis, polio, tetanus, tuberculosis and yellow fever. Since then, this series has been updated and extended to include other vaccines of international importance. The main purpose of the modules is to provide national immunization managers and vaccination professionals with an overview of the scientific basis for vaccination against a range of important infectious diseases. The modules developed since 1993 continue to be vaccine-specific, reflecting the biological differences in immune responses to the individual pathogens and the differing strategies employed to create the best possible level of protection that can be provided by vaccination. The modules also serve as a record of the immunological basis for the WHO recommendations on vaccine use, published in the WHO vaccine position papers.¹

Acknowledgements

The preparation of this publication was coordinated by the World Health Organization (WHO) Department of Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals. WHO thanks the donors whose unspecified financial support has made the production of this document possible.

The module was produced for the Department of Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals by:

Dr Nicole Basta, Associate Professor, Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Occupational Health, School of Population and Global Health, McGill University, Montréal, Canada; Professor Dr Ray Borrow, Head of Vaccine Evaluation Unit, Public Health England, Manchester Laboratory, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester, United Kingdom; Dr Caroline Trotter, Principal Research Associate in Epidemiology, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

WHO thanks those who provided expert and technical reviews for the initial preparation of the module, and also Professor James Stuart, Department of Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom, for the expert technical review of the 2020 update.

Conflict of interest

All authors declared their interests in advance of updating the module. Two authors reported relevant interests that were assessed not to constitute a conflict of interest in relation to the authorship of the module. All the reported relevant interests are summarized below.

Ray Borrow's research unit² currently receives research support from GSK, Sanofi and Pfizer for studies on meningococcal vaccines. This interest was assessed as non-personal, specific and financially significant.³

Caroline Trotter served as a consultant for GSK in 2018, giving input on their models for meningococcal disease and vaccination. This interest was assessed to be personal, specific and financially insignificant.³

² Vaccine Evaluation Unit, Public Health England, Manchester Laboratory, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester, United Kingdom.

³ According to the WHO Guidelines for Declaration of Interests (WHO expert), an interest is considered "personal" if it generates financial or nonfinancial gain to the expert, such as consulting income or a patent. "Specificity" indicates whether the declared interest is a subject matter of the meeting or work to be undertaken. An interest has "financial significance" if the honoraria, consultancy fees or other received funding, including those received by the expert's organization, from any single vaccine manufacturer or other vaccine-related company, exceeds US\$ 5000 in a calendar year. Likewise, a shareholding in any one vaccine manufacturer or other vaccine-related company, in excess of US\$ 1000, would also constitute a "significant shareholding".

1. Meningococcal disease

1.1 Introduction

Meningococcal disease is caused by the Gram-negative bacterium *Neisseria meningitidis*, also known as the meningococcus. Meningococcal disease remains a significant public-health issue globally, with infections occurring endemically and epidemically in countries spanning the income spectrum (Rosenstein et al., 2001; Harrison et al., 2009). Humans are the only host for the meningococcus. Typically, the bacteria colonize the mucus membranes of the nasopharynx without causing illness, but invasion of the bacteria into normally sterile sites can cause life-threatening disease. Why a particular individual colonized by the meningococcus develops systemic infection, while others who are also colonized develop immunity without progression to disease, is due to complex interactions involving characteristics of the host, the environment and the bacterium (Stephens, 1999) that are not fully understood.

1.2 Neisseria meningitidis

Meningococci are aerobic, Gram-negative, oxidase-positive, encapsulated diplococci (Vedros & Genus, 1984). Serogroups are defined based on the structure of the capsular polysaccharide, a known virulence factor and host immune system target (Branham, 1953; Vedros, 1987). Serogroups A, B, C, W, X and Y are responsible for the majority of cases of invasive meningococcal disease. Increasingly, classification of *N. meningitidis* based on molecular methods is used to characterize isolates, including sequencing the porA and fetA genes (plus the porB gene, if warranted) and the seven housekeeping genes (abcZ, adk, aroE, fumC, gdh, pdhC and pgm) that define the multilocus sequence type (MLST) (Jolley et al., 2007). The *N. meningitidis* nomenclature recommended by European reference laboratories follows the convention: serogroup; porA type; fetA type; sequence type (clonal complex) (Maiden et al., 1998; Jolley et al., 2004; Fox et al., 2007). Whole genome sequencing is increasingly the most efficient method of molecular characterization.

1.3 Epidemiology

Meningococcal disease occurs worldwide, though the epidemiology varies by age, geographically and, over time in terms of incidence of the disease and in terms of the observed serogroup distribution responsible for the burden of disease in each region. The distribution of dominant serogroups of meningococcal disease, in multiple regions of the world, is shown in Figure 1. The following sections highlight several key considerations necessary for understanding the epidemiology of meningococcal disease caused by serogroups A, B, C, W, X and Y.

Further information about the epidemiology of meningococcal disease, the distribution of disease-causing and carrier serogroups and the risk of outbreaks, can be found in recently published reviews that have provided comprehensive assessments of the distribution and spread of meningococcal disease in multiple regions of the world, including Latin America, the Middle East and Africa, the Asia-Pacific region, India and China (John et al., 2013; Safadi et al., 2015; Borrow et al., 2016; Borrow et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018).

Figure 1: Serogroup and clonal complex distribution of cases of invasive meningococcal disease worldwide



Source: World Health Organization (<u>https://www.who.int/images/default-source/health-topics/</u> meningitis/map-invasive-menincoccal-disease-2019.png).

1.3.1 Disease caused by N. meningitidis serogroup A

The African meningitis belt is an area of increased risk of invasive meningococcal disease that stretches across Africa from Senegal and The Gambia on the western coast to Ethiopia and neighbouring countries in the east (Figure 2). The meningitis belt is characterized by: 1) a very high burden of disease; 2) distinct seasonal patterns; 3) pluri-annual cycles of outbreaks; 4) local geographic variation in incidence. Outbreaks in the meningitis belt typically begin during the dry season, rapidly building up to a peak and subsiding abruptly with the start of the rainy season (Greenwood, 2006). Serogroup A was responsible for causing the majority of cases in the meningitis belt during annual epidemics of meningococcal disease from early in the twentieth century until recently, leading to tens of thousands of cases and thousands of deaths. The largest recorded epidemic was in 1996, with an estimated 250 000 cases and 25 000 cases of invasive MenA disease and 1700 deaths reported to the Ministry

of Health by May of that year (WHO, 2007b). The WHO Regional Office for Africa publishes the *Meningitis Weekly Bulletin* reporting on the frequency and distribution of cases identified through surveillance systems established in the region (WHO weekly reports) in addition to reports detailing outbreaks (WHO preparedness). Prior to the introduction of meningococcal A conjugate vaccine in preventative mass-vaccination campaigns across the meningitis belt, which began in 2010, most epidemics in sub-Saharan Africa were caused by serogroup A; more recently, outbreaks of serogroup C and also W and X have become more common (Greenwood, 2007; WHO, 2015a; Aku et al., 2017; Borrow et al., 2017; Nnadi et al., 2017). WHO has issued updated guidance for responding to outbreaks in the African meningitis belt, accounting for the changing epidemiology of the disease (WHO, 2014; WHO, 2015a). Epidemics of serogroup A disease have also been a significant source of disease in Asia (Hu et al., 1991; WHO, 1995; Hu, 2001; Sachdeva et al., 2005; John et al., 2013; Borrow et al., 2016).

Figure 2: Countries of the African meningitis belt with areas at high epidemic risk



Source: World Health Organization (<u>http://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/meningitis/risk-of-meningitis.png).</u>

1.3.2 Disease caused by N. meningitidis serogroup B

A number of regions have experienced prolonged hyper-endemic periods of serogroup B disease, including Norway (Bjune et al., 1991) where disease was due to ST32 clonal complex, phenotype B:15:P1.7,16 and Cuba (Sierra et al., 1991) where the clonal complex responsible for the outbreak was also ST32 but phenotype B:4:P1.19,15. This Cuban strain spread to the São Paulo region of Brazil in the late 1980s (Cruz et al., 1990; de Moraes et al., 1992). From 1991 to 2006, New Zealand experienced a high burden of disease caused by a strain identified as the B:4:P1.7-2,4, ST-41/44 clonal complex (Martin et al., 1998). In the United States of America, where meningococcal disease incidence is historically low, multiple outbreaks of serogroup B disease have occurred on college campuses in recent years (National Meningitis Association, 2017). In the United Kingdom, serogroup B disease is of significant public-health concern, especially among infants, though recent declines have been observed following the introduction of routine infant vaccination with vaccines designed to protect against meningococcal strains (Parikh et al., 2018). Endemic disease (caused by serogroups B and also serogroup C) due to heterogenous meningococcal clonal complexes, is of major concern in North and South America, Australia and Europe.

1.3.3 Disease caused by N. meningitidis serogroup C

Serogroup C disease has become relatively rare in countries where vaccination with a meningococcal conjugate vaccine preventing serogroup C has been introduced. For example, the United Kingdom, the first country to introduce serogroup C conjugate vaccination in 1999, routinely reports very low rates of serogroup C disease (Findlow et al., 2019). The United States adopted routine vaccination of adolescents with conjugate ACWY vaccines in 2006 and rates of C disease are now at historic lows (Cohn & MacNeil, 2015). Australia introduced conjugate meningococcal C vaccination in 2003; rates of disease caused by serogroup C are also low (Lawrence et al., 2016). However, as noted above, outbreaks occur in other regions, which have not implemented preventative meningococcal C vaccination campaigns, including countries of the African meningitis belt that have seen an increase in MenC outbreaks due to a novel clone (ST-10217) since 2013 (Brynildsrud et al., 2018). An outbreak of serogroup C disease, also due to ST-10217, was reported in 2017 in Liberia, which is located outside the meningitis belt and does not typically experience outbreaks (Patel et al., 2017). In the 2000s in China, another novel clone of serogroup C (ST-4821) caused outbreaks (Li J et al., 2018).

1.3.4 Disease caused by N. meningitidis serogroup W

Serogroup W was a relatively uncommon cause of invasive disease worldwide until outbreaks of serogroup W disease during the Hajj pilgrimage were reported in Saudi Arabia around the turn of the twenty-first century (Popovic et al., 2000; Taha et al., 2000; Taha et al., 2004). This outbreak was caused by a serogroup W clone from the ST-11 complex, and this clone was shown to spread from pilgrims to their contacts once they returned to their home countries (Wilder-Smith et al., 2003). Serogroup W isolates belonging to the ST-11 complex were also isolated from patients with meningococcal disease in 1994 in Mali (Kwara et al., 1998), in Cameroon in 1995 and Chad in 1996 (Guibourdenche et al., 1996), in the Gambia (Kwara et al., 1998) and in Rio Grande do Sul, southern Brazil in 2003 (Weidlich et al., 2008). In Burkina Faso in 2002, more than 10 000 cases were caused by serogroup W (Decosas & Koama, 2002). Serogroup W ST-11 has since emerged in in 2010 in Latin America and has spread from South America to Europe (Abad et al., 2014). Evidence of global spread has been augmented by whole genome sequencing of serogroup W isolates; following emergence in 2000, cases have been documented in South America and elsewhere (Abad et al., 2014; Lucidarme J et al., 2015; Mustapha et al., 2016). The increase in serogroup W disease has led to several countries introducing conjugate vaccination with quadrivalent ACWY meningococcal vaccines (Ladhani et al., 2016; Knol et al., 2018).

1.3.5 Disease caused by N. meningitidis serogroup X

Meningococcal disease due to serogroup X is uncommon but outbreaks have been reported. For example, from January to June 2006 in Niger (Boisier et al., 2007), a total of 4185 cases of meningitis were reported, with 2905 cerebrospinal fluid samples tested. Serogroup X represented 51% of 1139 confirmed cases of meningococcal disease, but in southwestern Niger, it represented 90% of reported cases. In the agglomeration of Niamey, the reported cumulative incidence of confirmed serogroup X meningitis was 27.5 cases per 100 000 population (74.6 cases per 100 000 population in children aged 5–9 years). The serogroup X isolates had the same phenotype (X:NT:P1.5) and sequence type (ST-181) as the serogroup X isolates that were circulating in small outbreaks in Niamey in the 1990s (Campagne et al., 1999; Djibo et al., 2003). Small outbreaks of serogroup X disease were also reported in northern Ghana (Gagneux et al., 2002), Burkina Faso, Kenya, Togo and Uganda (Materu et al., 2007; Caugant et al., 2012; Xie et al., 2013). Also recently, meningococcal disease caused by serogroup X has been reported among migrants in Italy (Stefanelli et al., 2017).

1.3.6 Disease caused by N. meningitidis serogroup Y

Although asymptomatic carriage of serogroup Y is relatively common in many parts of the world, disease remains relatively rare. However, in the United States from 1996 to 1998, the number of cases involving serogroup Y increased so that one third of cases were due to this serogroup (Rosenstein et al., 2001). In the 1970s, serogroup Y was also recognized as a frequent cause of sporadic disease in certain populations in the United States (Galaid et al., 1980) and was also associated with several outbreaks among military personnel (Smilack, 1974). A moderate increase of serogroup Y disease has also been noted in Europe, in particular Scandinavian countries; of note, approximately 50% of cases were aged 45–88 years (Bröker et al., 2015).

1.4 Risk factors for meningococcal carriage

The meningococcus is only capable of colonizing the nasopharynx of humans and has no other known host or environmental niche. The prevalence of asymptomatic carriage varies by age and tends to be higher among adolescents and teenagers in Europe and North America (Christensen et al., 2010) and in school-aged children in the African meningitis belt (Cooper et al., 2019a). Other respiratory infections (Mueller et al., 2008; Cooper et al., 2019b), exposure to tobacco smoke (MacLennan et al., 2006), contact with a case of invasive meningococcal disease (Kristiansen et al., 1998), living in dense quarters, including in military barracks (Pether et al., 2011) and intimate kissing (MacLennan et al., 2006) have all been associated with increased risk of meningococcal carriage, among other factors (Harrison et al., 2018).

1.5 Risk factors for meningococcal disease

The meningococcus is transmitted from person-to-person by aerosol droplets or by contact with respiratory secretions. Risk factors for meningococcal disease have been investigated in many settings and include: contact with a case of invasive meningococcal disease (de Wals et al., 1981); deficiencies in the complement pathway that can lead to repeated cases among the same individual (Nicholson & Lepow, 1979; Fijen et al., 1994; Lewis & Ram, 2014); use of eculizumab which is a complement inhibitor (McNamara et al., 2017); having been born pre-term (Tully et al., 2006); HIV positive status (Cohen et al., 2010; Miller et al., 2014; Simmons et al., 2015); being a college/university student or living in dormitories (Bruce et al., 2001; Nelson et al., 2001; Tully et al., 2006); exposure to smoke (Fischer et al., 1997; Coen et al., 2006); exposure to indoor firewood stoves (Hodgson et al., 2001); bar and club/disco patronage (Cookson et al., 1998; Honish et al., 2008); binge drinking (Finn et al., 2001) and concomitant or recent influenza or other respiratory infections (Moore et al., 1990; Cartwright et al., 1991; Harrison et al., 1991; Jacobs et al., 2014) among other factors (Harrison et al., 2018).

1.6 Clinical presentation

The classical presentation of meningococcal disease includes fever, rash and meningitis, with symptoms including abrupt onset of fever, headache, photophobia, myalgias, malaise and altered consciousness; however, these symptoms can be indistinguishable from other bacterial or viral illnesses (Steven & Wood, 1995; Thompson et al., 2006). In infants, there may be a more gradual onset of fever, with poor appetite and lethargy often reported, plus bulging fontanel indicating involvement of the central nervous system. Dissemination into the bloodstream results in rapid progression of severe septicaemia with petechial or purpuric rash and hypotension, and can lead to multiple organ failure. Meningococcal disease can also present as pneumonia, which in the absence of a rash may be difficult to recognize. Meningococcal disease caused by serogroup Y is more likely to cause pneumonia than disease caused by other serogroups (Koppes et al., 1977; Ladhani et al., 2012). Recent reports in the United Kingdom identified cases of serogroup W disease presenting with severe gastrointestinal symptoms (Campbell et al., 2016), underscoring the need to consider the possibility of meningococcal disease given patient presentation with a wide range of clinical symptoms, especially since meningococcal disease is characterized by rapid progression and high case fatality. In addition, a high proportion of those who recover from meningococcal disease experience permanent sequelae including loss of limbs (peripheral gangrene), hearing loss and neurological complications.

1.7 Diagnosis

The WHO has issued comprehensive guidelines for the laboratory confirmation of meningococcal disease, including methods for confirming cases, serogrouping isolates and determining antibiotic susceptibility (WHO laboratory manual, 2011). Diagnosis of meningococcal disease is traditionally made by culture of the meningococcus (a Gram-negative diplococcus) from a sterile site such as from blood or cerebrospinal fluid. Initial morphological identification of colonies should be followed by confirmatory testing, including using Kovac's oxidase test and carbohydrate utilization followed by serological tests to identify the serogroup. Increasingly polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and other molecular methods are used; these are often critical for further characterization (WHO laboratory manual, 2011).

1.8 Treatment

Due to the severity and high case fatality of meningococcal disease, cases require hospitalization and significant medical care (Nadel & Kroll, 2007). There are several antimicrobial agents available for the treatment of meningococcal disease; the WHO notes that a range of treatments including penicillin, ampicillin, chloramphenicol and ceftriaxone can be effective. Ceftriaxone is considered the drug of choice in many settings, including in resource-limited settings and during epidemics in Africa, and it can also be used to treat pregnant women and infants (WHO Health Emergencies Programme). Early initiation of antibiotic therapy and presentation to hospital has been associated with reduced mortality and morbidity (Public Health England, 2018; Jolly & Stewart, 2001). Rifampicin, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, cefixime and azithromycin have been recommended for the prevention of secondary cases among close contacts of an index case in some settings (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2010). While routine antibiotic prophylaxis is not currently recommended for contacts of meningitis cases during outbreaks in the African meningitis belt, a recent clinical trial found that village-wide distribution of a single-dose oral ciprofloxacin within three days of identifying a case could reduce meningitis attack rates during an outbreak in Niger (Coldiron et al., 2018). Further evaluations of this approach are warranted.

2. Immunity to meningococci

Serum antibodies confer protection against meningococci by activating complementmediated lysis and by enhancing opsonophagocytosis (Granoff, 2009). Immunity to meningococcal disease is known to develop with age. The classic studies of Goldschneider and colleagues (1969a), in the 1960s, provided indirect evidence by defining the characteristic curve (Figure 3) showing an inverse relationship between disease risk and acquisition of serum bactericidal antibodies (SBA) with age in the United States. Initially, transfer of maternal antibodies protects infants (Goldschneider et al., 1969a) and maternal antibodies are known to persist for several months after birth. After this, there is a rapid decline in SBA levels followed by a steady increase commensurate with age. In young children aged less than 2 years, low levels of bactericidal antibodies are observed, corresponding to the highest risk of infection in that age group compared to others. There is a gradual increase in the acquisition of SBA from two years onwards, coinciding with a decrease in disease incidence. The acquisition of protective SBA as a person ages, is thought to occur initially through the asymptomatic carriage of N. lactamica and then N. meningitidis. In studies in North America and Europe, carriage rates of *N. lactamica* are highest in 18 month-old infants and decline to a much lower rate in teenage children; conversely, low levels of meningococcal carriage are detected in infants during the first four years of life with increased carriage in adolescence (Gold et al., 1978; Cartwright et al., 1987; Bakir et al., 2001). The same patterns may not be observed elsewhere. Inoculating volunteers with Neisseria lactamica to induce nasopharyngeal colonization was shown to induce opsonophagocytosis but not SBA response to Neisseria meningitidis (Evans et al., 2011). It has also been hypothesized that carriage of other species with cross-reacting antigens (Robbins et al., 1972; Vann et al., 1976; Guirguis et al., 1985) can also contribute to the acquisition of protective SBA. However, the role of these organisms in inducing SBA activity to meningococci has been questioned (Trotter et al., 2007) and the same inverse relationship between SBA and disease incidence has not been observed in populations of the African meningitis belt (Trotter et al., 2013).





Source: Goldschneider et al., 1969a.

The direct evidence of the role of SBA in protective immunity to meningococcal disease was confirmed by studies performed by Goldschneider and colleagues in the 1960s (Goldschneider et al., 1969a). This study involved collecting blood samples from American (United States) army recruits at the start of their basic training, and related the occurrence of meningococcal disease due to capsular group C during basic training, to the presence or absence of SBA at recruitment. Disease occurred in only 1% of individuals who had detectable SBA at the start of training, but in 22% of those who had undetectable SBA. This was further confirmed by the population study, performed by the same authors, who demonstrated the inverse relationship between disease incidence and the presence of SBA (Goldschneider et al., 1969a) (Figure 3).

The activation of the complement cascade by antibody is one of the critical mechanisms of immunity to meningococci. Evidence of this is provided by studies of individuals who have a deficiency in the complement cascade and are more susceptible to meningococcal disease (Nicholson & Lepow, 1979). Individuals with late complement component deficiency (C5–C9) are at a greater risk of infection and also recurrent infection (Ross & Densen, 1984; D'Amelio et al., 1992). Patients receiving complement inhibitors, such as eculizumab, are also at increased risk of meningococcal disease (McNamara et al., 2017; Parikh et al., 2017). Infections in such individuals differ from those in immunocompetent individuals as they usually occur at an older age and may involve a rare capsular group or non-capsulated meningococcus (Petersen et al., 1979; Ross & Densen, 1984; Fijen et al., 1989; D'Amelio et al., 1992). Those individuals with deficiency in the properdin or factor D, both components of the alternative complement pathway, have a much higher case-fatality rate (Ross & Densen, 1984; Densen, 1991) but there is rarely a recurrence of infection among survivors. Hence the alternative complement pathway also has a role to play in the immunity to meningococci.

In the study of military recruits (Goldschneider et al., 1969a), more than half of the recruits had undetectable SBA and were colonized with the circulating epidemic strain but did not develop disease. This suggests that other mechanisms can contribute to immunity to meningococci, such as opsonophagocytosis and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (Lowell et al., 1980; Halstensen et al., 1989).

Although meningococci colonize the nasopharynx, our understanding of mucosal immunity is still limited. The process of colonization involves a complex interaction between the meningococci and host factors (Bourdoulous & Nassif, 2006). This results in many different factors with the potential to be involved in host defense mechanisms. Secretory immunoglobin (IgA) and other antibodies are present in nasopharyngeal secretions (Brandtzaeg, 1992) and are likely to contribute to the prevention of carriage. Meningococcal-reactive T-cells have been identified in the mucosa, suggesting cellular immunity at this localized site (Davenport et al., 2003). Since carriage of meningococci is hypothesized to induce the development of natural immunity, the interaction at the mucosa will contribute to the generation of both localized and systemic immunity.

3. Immunological assays

3.1 Serum bactericidal antibody assay

Following the studies of Goldschneider and colleagues in the 1960s (Goldschneider et al., 1969a; Goldschneider et al., 1969b), the measurement of SBA in vitro became the gold standard assay for the assessment of immunity to meningococci. The SBA assay allows for the determination of antibody-mediated complement lysis of meningococcal cells, and is therefore a measurement of functional antibodies. The original SBA assays used human complement lacking anti-meningococcal antibodies as the source of exogenous complement. Obtaining suitable human complement involves screening many donors or sources, and is quite often not suitable for universal use against a panel of diverse meningococcal strains. It is also difficult to standardize, and therefore is a factor to be considered when comparing data generated from different laboratories.

In an effort to standardize the assay, a recommended protocol using baby rabbit complement as the exogenous source was published (Maslanka et al., 1997) and was adopted by the WHO as the recommended assay for the assessment of SBA titres following immunization with meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines (WHO, 1976). Meningococci are known to be more susceptible to complement-mediated lysis in the presence of exogenous rabbit complement compared to human complement (Griffiss & Goroff, 1983; Zollinger & Mandrell, 1983). Studies have shown a positive correlation between titres following serogroup C conjugate vaccination obtained from an SBA assay using either human or baby rabbit complement (Borrow et al., 2001a; Trotter et al., 2003; Trotter et al., 2008). Serogroup C conjugate vaccines were licensed in the United Kingdom partly on the basis of immunogenicity data generated using an SBA assay with baby rabbit complement (Miller et al., 2001). For the assessment of future vaccines containing a serogroup C conjugate component or serogroup A conjugate vaccines, assessment of immunogenicity by SBA assay, using either human or baby rabbit complement, is recommended (WHO, 2004; WHO, 2006). This is now also the case for serogroups W and Y.

Human complement is still the preferred choice of exogenous complement for the determination of SBA against serogroup B because the use of baby rabbit complement has been associated with elevated SBA titres due to the presence of low-avidity anti-serogroup B capsular polysaccharide antibody in test sera (Zollinger & Mandrell, 1983; Mandrell et al., 1995). Efforts have been made to investigate the use of non-human complement sources for group B SBA assays but, to date, a viable alternative has not been found (Zollinger & Mandrell, 1983; Zollinger et al., 1997; Findlow et al., 2007b; Brookes et al., 2013). The difficulties of trying to standardize SBA assays for serogroup B have been highlighted (Borrow et al., 2005a; Borrow et al., 2006a).

It has become more apparent that the selection of target strain in the SBA assay is of critical importance. Strains for serogroups A (strain F8238) and C (strain C11) have been recommended for use in a standardized SBA assay (Maslanka et al., 1997), but for serogroups B, W and Y there is no consensus on target strains. Even for serogroup A, an alternative target strain, strain 3125, has been chosen by various researchers as it bears the lipooligosaccharide (LOS) immunotype L10 associated with invasive disease isolates as opposed to strain F8238 which habours L11, more commonly associated with carriage (Poolman et al., 2011; Trotter et al., 2013; Tall et al., 2015). It has been postulated that SBA activity measured against strain 3125 is a more specific measure of vaccine-induced immunity as it does not capture bactericidal action of antibodies directed against L11 resulting from natural exposure during carriage, while it is equally sensitive to antibody induced by vaccination with serogroup A polysaccharide-containing vaccines (Poolman et al., 2011).

For serogroup B, the diverse epidemiology of prevalent strains and the fact that the antigens inducing protective antibodies are subcapsular, make it necessary to analyse multiple strains to evaluate vaccine candidates. Two approaches have been taken here for evaluation of the new generation broad coverage protein-based vaccines (including 4CMenB and Bivalent rLP2086). Firstly, the use of target strains that are matched for one vaccine antigen but mismatched for the other vaccine antigens allows researchers to quantify the response to the matched antigen (Findlow et al., 2010; Snape et al., 2010). The second approach is to perform antigenic characterization of disease-causing strains from a global collection following selection of the primary test strains and taking into account specific selection criteria to ensure that test strains appropriately represent the antigenic diversity of strains globally. Such criteria include: firstly, expression of fHbp variants that differed from the vaccine antigens; secondly, expression of fHbp variants prevalent in contemporary strains from various countries; thirdly, representative in vitro surface expression levels of the fHBP vaccine antigens across all strains; lastly, demonstration of low baseline bactericidal hSBA titres with the selected strains (Donald et al., 2017). In outbreak situations, it has been informative to utilize the outbreak strain isolated from a case as the reference strain when conducting hSBA with vaccinees' sera, to gauge the potential effectiveness of the vaccine strain coverage (Basta et al., 2016; Lujan et al., 2017).

The choice of target strain regardless of serogroup is important, particularly for studies of natural immunity, because the subcapsular makeup, in addition to the serogroup, are representative of the circulating strains. This was illustrated by a study in Niger where the proportion of individuals protected against the outbreak of serogroup W strain bearing a PorA subtype P1.5,2, increased from 26% to 42% within 10 months, whereas against a reference strain (M.01, 0240070) with a PorA subtype P1.18-1,3, the proportion of individuals protected did not increase significantly (34% to 37%) (Boisier et al., 2006).

Studies have highlighted that strains considered to be phenotypically or genotypically similar can result in variable SBA titres from the same serum samples (Vermont et al., 2003; Findlow et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2006; Findlow et al., 2007a). Differences in SBA titres have been observed in different laboratories using the same serogroup B strain (Borrow et al., 2005a). This was attributed to the different methods used to prepare stocks of the strain for use in the SBA assay that resulted in different expression of minor outer membrane proteins.

3.2 Measurement of serogroup-specific immunoglobulin

The determination of serogroup-specific immunoglobulin (Ig) provides a measurement of antibody that recognizes the individual capsular groups but does not necessarily reflect the level of functional (protective) antibody. Original assays were performed as radioimmunoassay or precipitation assays and tended to measure total Ig. The only correlate of protection suggested to date that is based on an antibodybinding assay comes from the Finnish efficacy trials of a meningococcal polysaccharide serogroup A vaccine and was determined to be 2 µg/mL Ig by radioimmunoassay (Makela et al., 1975; Peltola et al., 1977). Serological evaluation of vaccines normally includes measurement of serogroup-specific IgG. A standardized enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the determination of serogroups A and C-specific IgG was recommended and used by most laboratories (Carlone et al., 1992; Gheesling et al., 1994). Protocols for measuring serogroup W and Y-specific IgG have been developed, although there is no consensus on a standardized assay (Elie et al., 2002; Giardina et al., 2003; Joseph et al., 2004; Giardina et al., 2005). Multiplex immunoassays for the measurement of IgG to serogroups A, C, W and Y using bead-based assays have been developed that have the advantage of using very small volumes of sera, usually less than 5 µL, to determine the concentrations of IgG to four serogroups in one assay and are also more sensitive than a traditional ELISA due to their greater dynamic range (Lal et al., 2004; Martins et al., 2009; Bårnes et al., 2015). The measurement of serogroupspecific IgG subclasses has also been described and used in evaluating response to vaccination (Giardina et al., 2003; Holme et al., 2015). The correlation between serogroup-specific IgG and SBA titres is not sufficiently strong to allow measurement only of IgG when evaluating immune response to vaccines (Granoff et al., 1998b).

3.3 Opsonophagocytic assays

Phagocytosis of meningococci has been demonstrated (Roberts, 1970; Halstensen et al., 1989; Plested et al., 2001; Jack et al., 2005) and it is thought that this contributes to protection. The original method of measuring opsonophagocytosis (OPA) was by fluorescence microscopy and visual inspection; however, this was very labour-intensive and alternative methods have been developed. Chemiluminescence (Sjursen et al., 1992) and flow cytometry (Halstensen et al., 1989; Aase et al., 1995; Aase et al., 1998; Humphries et al., 2015) protocols have been developed with various end-points using cell lines or freshly isolated peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Different targets can be used in these assays with killed meningococci (Aase et al., 1998), or latex particles coated with capsular polysaccharide or outer membrane proteins (Lehmann et al., 1999). A multiplex flow cytometric assay has been developed that allows determination of the OPA activity to groups A, C, W and Y (Martinez et al., 2002). Cell surface labelling assays have also been developed and may be an alternative to the OPA assays (Findlow et al., 2015).

The importance of this mechanism of immunity to protection against meningococcal infection is still unclear. The production of SBA is established as the correlate of protection for serogroup C and is likely to have a similar role for serogroups A, W and Y where the capsular polysaccharide is immunogenic. However, for serogroup B, OPA has been suggested to contribute to protection, and consequently has been used in the assessment of immune responses to candidate serogroup B vaccines (Lehmann et al., 1997; Naess et al., 1999; Findlow et al., 2006; Wedege et al., 2007). There is currently no standardized protocol for OPA assays.

3.4 Other assays

Several other immunological assays have been utilized in the assessment of immunological responses to meningococcal infection or vaccination. The whole-blood assay analyses the bactericidal killing observed in an individual's whole blood rather than just the antibody-mediated killing in serum (Ison et al., 1999). This assay has been used in the study of outer membrane vesicle (OMV) vaccine responses (Morley et al., 2001) and is reported to be more sensitive than the SBA assay (Ison et al., 1999; Morley et al., 2001); however, due to the labour-intensive nature of the assay, the requirement for fresh blood to be analysed and the difficulty in standardizing such an assay, it is more suitable for vaccine development purposes or small-scale studies, not evaluation of candidate vaccines.

Animal models for the study of meningococcal pathogenesis have been developed and used in the assessment of protection against meningococci. However, as humans are the only natural hosts for *N. meningitidis*, the results obtained using animal models may not be entirely relevant to human disease. Models using mice have been developed (Miller, 1933) and widely used to analyse active immunization or the role of various host factors in pathogenesis, but tend to be sensitive to the level of challenge bacteria and require iron supplementation (Holbein, 1980; Gray-Owen & Schryvers, 1996). Investigators have used transgenic mice expressing various human molecules such as human carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecules (CEACAMs) ((Johswich et al., 2013), human factor H (Johnson et al., 2012; Lujan et al., 2015) and human transferrin (Zarantonelli et al., 2007), but these are purely research tools. Infant rat models have been developed (Saukkonen, 1988) which allow the demonstration of passive protection and can be used to evaluate human sera for protective immunity to serogroup B meningococci (Toropainen et al., 1999). Other assays, such as immunoblots and T-cell assays, are useful research tools but are not suitable for large-scale studies evaluating meningococcal vaccines.

4. Surrogates of protection

Laboratory markers of immunity are often referred to as correlates or surrogates of protection, although there has been some disagreement and inconsistency in the use and definitions of these terms. Regardless of semantics, such laboratory markers of immunity are particularly useful for meningococci because they can be used to infer the protection provided by vaccines without the need to perform large-scale efficacy trials. For meningococcal vaccines, the low incidence of meningococcal disease in most settings would require tens of thousands of participants to establish vaccine efficacy against invasive disease.

The accepted biomarker of protection for meningococci is SBA activity (Frasch et al., 2009). Protection against disease is not necessarily only mediated by such antibodies, so this may be considered a surrogate (that is, indirect measure) rather than an absolute correlate of protection. The surrogates of protection for meningococci have been extensively reviewed (Balmer & Borrow, 2004a; Borrow et al., 2005b; Borrow & Miller, 2006; Findlow & Borrow, 2017) where more complete details can be found.

The surrogate of protection for serogroup C meningococci was established in the studies of Goldschneider and colleagues (Goldschneider et al., 1969a). These studies demonstrated that the presence or absence of naturally-occurring SBA (a titre of \geq 4 using human complement) to serogroup C in military recruits, predicted the risk of subsequent serogroup C disease in an individual. Confirmation that the SBA was mediating the protection was demonstrated by removal of serogroup C-specific SBA from sera that resulted in a loss of bactericidal activity in vitro (Goldschneider et al., 1969b). As discussed in section 3.1, this surrogate was established using human complement in the SBA assay. Studies have been performed to establish an equivalent surrogate of protection using rabbit complement in the SBA (Borrow et al., 2001a; Andrews et al., 2003). Assessment of the level of natural immunity in the United Kingdom prior to the introduction of the serogroup C conjugate vaccine, aimed to repeat the observation of Goldschneider and colleagues and showed a modest correlation between age-specific risk of disease and a rabbit complement SBA (rSBA) titre \geq 8 (Trotter et al., 2003).

An hSBA titre \geq 4 is an individual-based surrogate of protection, but establishing this for an rSBA titre \geq 8 required a large cohort to be analysed pre- and post-vaccination and then followed up prospectively for occurrence of disease, as in the original Goldschneider study (Goldschneider et al., 1969a). Post-licensure surveillance following the introduction of the serogroup C conjugate vaccine in the United Kingdom allowed age-specific efficacy estimates to be calculated. An rSBA titre \geq 8 was observed to correlate with the vaccine efficacy estimate for young children in the United Kingdom (Andrews et al., 2003). Both an hSBA titre \geq 4 and an rSBA titre \geq 8 are surrogates of short-term protection. It has been shown in the British experience that rSBA titres wane rapidly in young children, following three vaccine doses in infancy, regardless of maufacturer (Richmond et al., 1999; MacLennan et al., 2000; Borrow et al., 2002). The assumption was that immunological memory may be a predictor of long-term protection (Richmond et al., 1999; Borrow et al., 2002), but data have now indicated that this may not be the case and that persistence of SBA is critical (Trotter et al., 2004; Auckland et al., 2006).

The laboratory correlate for the induction of immunological memory following meningococcal serogroup C conjugate (MCC) vaccination used to be an SBA titre greater than or equal to that of the primary response one month following a 10 µg dose of plain polysaccharide administered at least six months after the primary series of immunization (WHO, 2004). However, as depletion of immunological memory and antibody hyporesponsiveness have been observed after a dose of plain polysaccharide meningococcal serogroup C vaccine, particularly in young children, this is no longer recommended (Gold et al., 1979; MacLennan et al., 2000; WHO, 2006). Recommendations for assessing immunological memory now include demonstration of an anamnestic response to a booster dose of conjugate vaccine when administered at least six months after completion of the primary series or changes in the avidity (Goldblatt, 1997) of serogroup-specific IgG from pre- to post-primary series, and before and after a booster dose of conjugate vaccine (WHO, 2006). However, as indicated above, for young children demonstration of memory responses alone may be insufficient.

For serogroups A, B, W and Y there is no established surrogate of protection although there is evidence indicating that SBA is a relevant laboratory marker. In the studies of Goldschneider and colleagues (Goldschneider et al., 1969a), an hSBA titre \geq 4 to serogroups A and B was observed to predict protection against serogroup C disease. These data suggest that the protection observed in this study incorporated both capsular polysaccharide and subcapsular antigen-specific SBA activity. However, other studies have indicated that carriage of serogroup A meningococci induces hSBA activity to both capsular and subcapsular antigens, but in the absence of carriage of serogroup A, any hSBA activity observed naturally is directed to subcapsular antigens (Amir et al., 2005). These data suggest that an hSBA titre \geq 4 could be a generic surrogate of protection against meningococcal disease (Borrow et al., 2006). For serogroup B, hSBA activity has been correlated to clinical efficacy observed with OMV vaccines (Sierra et al., 1991; Holst et al., 2003; Milagres et al., 1994) and has been recommended as a suitable surrogate of protection for predicting the effectiveness of a meningococcal serogroup B vaccine (Borrow et al., 2006a).

Determination of capsular polysaccharide-specific IgG for serogroups A, C, Y and W, or antibodies specific to OMV preparations or proteins for group B, are often performed in conjunction with SBA titre measurement. The correlation between non-functional (SBA was not measured) antibody levels and protection was described in Finnish efficacy studies of a group A polysaccharide vaccine where a level of 2 µg/mL of total Ig determined by radioimmunoassay was the mean level in unimmunized adults (Makela et al., 1975; Peltola et al., 1977). There are no established correlates of protection associated with non-functional antibody levels for the other groups. However, the measurement of specific antibodies (for capsular polysaccharide, OMV or proteins) can be useful in assessing immune responses to immunization for new candidate vaccines.

The lack of an established surrogate for serogroups other than C has not prohibited the licensure of new vaccines that have been licensed based on safety and immunogenicity alone. For serogroup A monovalent and ACWY quadrivalent conjugate vaccines, the key criterion was the demonstration of non-inferiority over existing plain polysaccharide vaccines. Studies of naturally-acquired immunity to serogroup A in Burkina Faso failed to demonstrate a Goldschneider-like inverse relationship between age-specific disease incidence and rSBA titres (Trotter et al., 2013), suggesting that it may be challenging to define an absolute surrogate of protection for serogroup A in this setting. The choice of target strain within the SBA may also be influential (Poolman et al., 2011), as noted in section 3.1.

For multicomponent protein vaccines designed to offer protection against serogroup B disease, alternative approaches were required to identify suitable biomarkers that could not only assess immunogenicity (and therefore likely effectiveness) but also the breadth of coverage against highly variable serogroup B strains. This resulted in the development of the Meningococcal Antigen Typing System (MATS) to predict 4CMenB strain coverage by analysing isolates recovered from disease cases (Donnelly et al, 2010). Early indications from post-licensure surveillance in the United Kingdom, which show good agreement between actual and predicted effectiveness and strain coverage, provide further support that SBA immunogenicity data are a suitable surrogate of protection (Parikh et al., 2016; Findlow & Borrow, 2017).

5. Available vaccines

Licensed vaccines against meningococcal disease have been available for more than 50 years. Over time, there have been major improvements in strain coverage and vaccine availability, but to date no universal vaccine against meningococcal disease exists.

The first plain polysaccharide vaccines were developed in the 1960s, with a serogroup C polysaccharide vaccine licensed in the United States in 1974. A bivalent A/C polysaccharide vaccine followed in 1978, with quadrivalent A, C, W, Y vaccines arriving in 1981 (Immunization Action Coalition). In the 1990s following the success of Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) conjugate vaccines, meningococcal polysaccharide-protein conjugate vaccines were developed. Meningococcal serogroup C conjugate (MCC) vaccines were first introduced in the United Kingdom in 1999. These conjugate vaccines were shown to overcome many of the problems associated with the plain polysaccharides; they were immunogenic in infants, could generate memory responses, overcame hyporesponsiveness and, perhaps most crucially, were able to reduce carriage and transmission leading to herd protection (Borrow & Miller, 2006). Quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccines followed but at a cost out of the reach of many countries. The Meningitis Vaccine Project, a partnership between WHO and PATH established in 2001, successfully developed an affordable vaccine against N. meningitidis serogroup A that has been rolled out across the meningitis belt in preventive mass campaigns since 2010 (Okwo-Bele et al., 2015).

The development of effective vaccines against serogroup B disease has been more challenging. The meningococcal serogroup B capsular polysaccharide is a homolinear polymer of $\alpha(2\rightarrow 8)$ N-acetyl neuraminic acid (polysialic acid), an autoantigen (Finne et al., 1987). The polysaccharide is expressed in a number of human tissues and is poorly immunogenic. Approaches to serogroup B vaccine development have thus focused on surface-exposed subcapsular antigens. In the 1980s, OMV vaccines were developed to protect against particular strains causing hyperendemic disease (first used in Cuba and Norway and later in New Zealand) (Holst et al., 2013). The OMV vaccines did not provide broad protection against a range of group B strains and the first MenB vaccine with broad coverage to be licensed was a combination of an OMV and recombinant proteins (4CMenB). A vaccine composed of two factor H binding protein variants recombinantly expressed in Escherichia coli as native lipoproteins (bivalent rLP2086) is licensed for use in individuals aged 10 years and older.

The plain polysaccharide, polysaccharide-conjugate, OMV and protein-based vaccines available have been implemented in various strategies globally in response to outbreaks or epidemics, or introduced into the routine immunization schedules of selected countries. Details on the licensed vaccines, and vaccines nearing licensure, including composition and recommendations for use, are given in Tables 1 and 2. Conjugate vaccines have now largely replaced polysaccharide vaccines in high-income countries, but the latter still form an important part of the response to epidemics in the meningitis belt (refer to section 1.3.1 and WHO, 2000).

Vaccine Active constituents per Adjuvant Other excipients	Active constituents per Adjuvant Other excipients dose	Adjuvant Other excipients	Other excipients	Presentation	Recommendations
Polysaccharide vaccines	Polysaccharide vaccines	Polysaccharide vaccines	charide vaccines		
Mengivac™ 50 µg each of serogroup A, None Lactose (2 mg) C polysaccharide	50 µg each of serogroup A, None Lactose (2 mg) C polysaccharide	Vone Lactose (2 mg)	Lactose (2 mg)		Manufacturing ceased but remaining product licensed until 2019
Menomune TM 50 µg each of serogroup A, None Lactose (2:5–5:0 mg); C, W, Y polysaccharide Sodium chloride (4:25–4.75 mg)	50 µg each of serogroup A, None Lactose (2:5–5:0 mg); C, W, Y polysaccharide (4:25–4.75 mg)	None Lactose (2.5–5.0 mg); Sodium chloride (4.25–4.75 mg)	Lactose (2.5–5.0 mg); Sodium chloride (4.25–4.75 mg)	1 or 10 dose/ vials	Manufacturing ceased but remaining product licensed until 2019
ACWY Vax TM 50 µg each of serogroup A, None Lactose (12.6 mg) C, W, Y polysaccharide	50 µg each of serogroup A, None Lactose (12.6 mg) C, W, Y polysaccharide	Vone Lactose (12.6 mg)	Lactose (12.6 mg)		
50 µg each of serogroup A, None C, W polysaccharide	50 µg each of serogroup A, None C, W polysaccharide	lone		10 dose vials	WHO stockpiled for use in epidemic situation in Meningitis Belt
50 µg of serogroup A None polysaccharide	50 µg of serogroup A None polysaccharide	lone		Freeze dried, 1, 2, 5 or 10 dose/ vials	China: Two doses at 6–18 months with 3 months interval; 2 further doses at 3 and 6 years, respectively
Meng Ling Kang® 50 µg each of serogroup A, None C polysaccharide	50 µg each of serogroup A, C polysaccharide	None		Freeze dried, 1 dose/vial	China: Used for 2 doses at 3 and 6 years in selected provinces
Menwayc® 50 µg each of serogroups A, None Lactose (3.5 mg) C, W, Y polysaccharide	50 µg each of serogroups A, None Lactose (3.5 mg) C, W, Y polysacchande	None Lactose (3.5 mg)	Lactose (3.5 mg)	Lyophilized	China: high-risk groups more than 2 years of age

Table 1: Currently licensed meningococcal polysaccharide and conjugate vaccines, including those in clinical trial

Manufacturer	Vaccine	Active constituents per dose	Adjuvant	Other excipients	Presentation	Recommendations
-	c	Ē	Conju	gate vaccines	-	
SmithKline	Menjugate™ and Menjugate™ Kit	10 µg O-acetylated serogroup C oligosaccharide conjugated to 12.5 to	AI(OH) ₃	Mannitol, sodium phosphate buffer	Single dose, freeze dried, vial reconstituted with	2 doses in infancy 2 months apart, booster in second year of life or 1 dose at ≥12 months
		25.0 mg CRM ₁₉₇			diluent	Infants < 12 months, 3 doses from 2 months onwards, interval of at least 1 month
						Children ≥ 12 months, adolescents and adults, 1 dose
	NeisVac-C TM	10 µg de-O-acetylated serogroup C oligosaccharide conjugated to 10–20 µg tetanus toxoid	AI(OH) ₃	Sodium chloride	Single dose, liquid suspension vial	
m Institute of India	MenAfriVac TM	10 µg serogroup A polysaccharide conjugate to 10–33 µg tetanus toxoid	AIPO4	Thiomersal	Ten dose, freeze dried, vial reconstituted with diluent	Sub-Saharan Africa: Single dose 1–29 years of age
m Institute of India	EPI formulation of MenAfriVac TM	5 µg serogroup A polysaccharide conjugate to 10–20 µg tetanus toxoid	AIPO4	Thiomersal	Ten dose, freeze dried, vial reconstituted with diluent	Sub-Saharan Africa: Single dose between 9 and 18 months
ıgqing Zhifei gical Products Ltd.	MeningACon®	Serogroups A and C polysaccharide conjugated to tetanus toxoid			Liquid	China only. For children with 3–24 months age 3 doses and a booster; above 2 years of age single dose
ian Walvax	Wo Er Kang	Serogroups A and C polysaccharide conjugated to tetanus toxoid			One dose , freeze dried, vial reconstitured with diluentl	China
jrui (also known sijing Sanroad)	Nao Man Ning	Serogroups A and C polysaccharide conjugated to tetanus toxoid				China

Recommendations	UK and Australia routine: single dose at 12 months	USA routine: adolescents 11–12 yrs, 1 dose, booster at 16 yrs At-risk groups: 2 months–55 yrs Canada routine: not recommended (unless epidemiology warrants use in 11–24 yrs) At-risk: 2–55 yrs, 1 dose; ≥ 56 yrs 1 dose of Menactra™	USA indication: 2 years and older (single dose) Phase III toddler trial in progress	Licensed from 2 months of age. Infant schedule 2, 4, 6 and 12 months of age In children 7–23 months, 2 doses with second dose in second year of life and at least 3 months after the first. Single dose from 2 years of age USA routine: adolescents 11–12 yrs, 1 dose, booster at 16 yrs At-risk groups: 2 months–55 yrs Canada routine: not recommended (unless epidemiology warrants use in 11–24 yrs) At-risk: 2–55 yrs, 1 dose, ≥ 56 yrs 1 dose
Presentation	Single dose, freeze-dried vial reconstituted with prefilled syringe	Single dose, prefilled syringe	Liquid single-dose vial	Single-dose vial with lyophilized serogroup A component; single- dose vial with the 3 other components in liquid form
Other excipients	Trometamol, Sucrose, Sodium chloride	Sodium chloride	Sodium acetate buffered saline	Sucrose, potassium dihydrogen phosphate, sodium dihydrogen phosphate monohydrate, disodium mmm phosphate dehydrate, sodium chloride
Adjuvant	None	None	None	None
Active constituents per dose	5 µg Hib polysaccharide and 5 µg group C polysaccharide each conjugated to ~ 17.5 µg of tetanus toxoid	4 µg each of serogroups A, C, Y and W polysaccharide conjugated to ~ 48 µg of diphtheria toxoid	10 μg of each serogroup A, C, Υ, and W and approximately 55 μg of tetanus toxoid	5 µg each of serogroups C, Y and W oligosaccharide and 10 µg of serogroup A oligosaccharide conjugated to 33–64 µg CRM ₁₉₇
Vaccine	Menitorix®	Menactra®	MenQuadfi TM	Menveo TM
Manufacturer	GlaxoSmithKline	Sanofi Pasteur	Sanofi Pasteur	GlaxoSmithKline

Manufacturer	Vaccine	Active constituents per dose	Adjuvant	Other excipients	Presentation	Recommendations	
	Single-dose vial with lyophilized serogroup A component; single-dose vial with the 3 other components in liquid form	Licensed from 2 months of age. Infant schedule 2, 4, 6 and 12 months of age	None	Sucrose, trometamol and sodium chloride	Single dose, freeze-dried vial reconstituted with prefilled syringe	Infants from 6–12 weeks of age, series consists of 3 doses, first dose from 6 weeks of age and an interval of 2 months to second dose, third (booster) dose at 12 months of age	
Pfizer	Nimenrix TM	5 each of serogroups A, C, Y and W polysaccharide conjugated to 44 µg of tetanus toxoid	None	Sucrose, trometamol and sodium chloride	Single dose, freeze-dried vial reconstituted with prefilled syringe	Infants from 6–12 weeks of age, series consists of 3 doses, first dose from 6 weeks of age and an interval of 2 months to second dose; third (booster) dose at 12 months of age	
Protein-based vaccine:	S						
GlaxoSmithKline	Bexsero®	Recombinant GNA2091- fHbp fusion protein ID 1*: recombinant NHBA-GNA130 fusion protein; recombinant NadA; OMV (strain NZ98/254 PorA P1.4)	AI(OH) ₃	Sucrose, histidine, and sodium chloride	Liquid single dose	USA: 10 through 25 years of age, 2 doses 1 month apart UK: Routine: 2, 4 and 12 months of age	
Pfizer	Trumenba®	Recombinant fHbp protein ID 45 and ID 55*	AIPO4	Polysorbate-80 (E433), histidine-buffered saline and sodium chloride	Liquid 1, 5, and 10 prefilled syringes	EU: 10 years and older, either 2 doses at a 6 month interval or 3 doses; 2 doses at least 1 month apart, followed by a third dose at least 4 months after the second dose USA: Same as EU except from 10–55 years of age only	
Finlay Institute	VA-MENGOC-BC	OMV (B:4:P1.19,15) and 50 µg of serogroup C polysaccharide	AI(OH) ₃	Thimerosal, disodium hydrogen phosphate, dehydrated sodium dihydrogen phosphate, sodium chloride	Liquid 1, 10 and 20 dose vials	Cuba: 2 doses at 3 and 5 months of age	

* fHbp peptide ID by (http://pubmlst.org/Neisseria/fHbp/).

Table 2: Meningococcal vaccines in clinical trials

Manufacturer	Vaccine	Active constituents per dose	Adjuvant	Other excipients	Presentation	Recommendations
Serum Institute of India	Pentavalent	5 µg each of serogroups A, C, W, Y and X. Serogroups C, W and Y conjugated to CRM ₁₉₇ ; serogroups A and X conjugated to tetanus toxoid	AIPO ₄	Sodium chloride	Lyophilized 1 and 5 dose vials	Phase I trial complete
GlaxoSmithKline	ACWYX conjugate	Those found in Bexsero and Menveo	Data not in public domain	Data not in public domain	Data not in public domain	Phase II trials

6. Response to immunization

6.1 Meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines

Polysaccharide vaccines are T-cell independent antigens and elicit SBA responses in the absence of T-cell involvement, hence these vaccines tend to be immunogenic in older children and adults, but fail to be as immunogenic in young children. This age-related response is likely to reflect intrinsic B-cell maturation, possibly due to natural priming as the antibodies elicited in adults are isotype-switched that is normally representative of a secondary antibody response (Barington et al., 1996; Baxendale et al. 2000; Zhou et al., 2002). Immunization of infants with polysaccharide vaccine can induce anticapsular antibody, but often this is not bactericidal (Lieberman et al., 1996; Campagne et al., 2000) and SBA titres elicited by polysaccharide immunization in older children and adults tend to be greater than those observed in young children (Maslanka et al., 1998; Campagne et al., 2000; Harris et al., 2003). Age-dependent responses to immunization are seen with polysaccharide vaccines (Jokhdar et al., 2004; Al-Mazrou et al., 2005; Khalil et al., 2005) and with a quadrivalent ACYW polysaccharide vaccine were observed in children and adults in Saudi Arabia (Lepow et al., 1977; Makela et al., 1977; Kayhty et al., 1980; Jokhdar et al., 2004; Khalil et al., 2005; Al-Mazrou et al., 2005). This age-dependent increase in antibody responses is shown clearly in the studies of the quadrivalent A, C, W, Y polysaccharide vaccine in Saudi Arabia (Figure 4). Children aged ≤ 2 years received two doses two months apart and children aged \geq 3 years received one dose, with a significant increase in the proportions with an rSBA titre ≥ 8 for each serogroup pre- to post-immunization only observed in those aged \geq 3 years).
Figure 4: Age-dependent response to meningococcal serogroups A, C, W and Y polysaccharide vaccine in children and adolescents in Saudi Arabia



Source: Borrow & Balmer, 2010 (original data from Jokhdar et al., 2004; Khalil et al., 2005; Al-Mazrou et al., 2005).

The antibody response following polysaccharide immunization is usually present after 10 days and is traditionally assessed by four weeks post-immunization (Zangwill et al., 1994; Borrow et al., 2001b). In adults, the SBA declines over the next two years, but remains above baseline for approximately 10 years (Zangwill et al., 1994). The decline is more rapid in children with serogroup C SBA titres, returning to baseline by one year in 18 month to 5 year-olds (Espin Rios et al., 2000). Gambian children given two doses at 3 and 6 months had SBA titres similar to an unimmunized cohort at 18–23 months of age and also three years later following a further dose at 18–23 months (Leach et al., 1997; MacLennan et al., 1999).

Response to re-immunization with meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines is dependent upon the individual polysaccharides. An initial dose of serogroup A polysaccharide primes for a booster response to a second dose of serogroup A polysaccharide, even in young children (Gold et al., 1979; Leach et al., 1997; Jokhdar et al., 2004). However, serogroup A polysaccharide does seem to be different to serogroup C, W and Y polysaccharides in that it is not strictly acting in the traditional T-cell independent manner associated with polysaccharide antigens. There are extensive data published on antibody hyporesponsiveness to serogroup C polysaccharide immunization in all age groups (Gold et al., 1975; Leach et al., 1997; MacDonald et al., 1998; Granoff et al., 1998a; Richmond et al., 2000; Borrow et al., 2000b; Jokhdar et al., 2004). Hyporesponsiveness is when the immune response is of lower magnitude following a second or more dose of vaccine as opposed to the initial dose. As mentioned above, one dose of serogroup C polysaccharide vaccine is immunogenic in older children and adults, but it has been demonstrated that it can also impair the subsequent immune response to a dose of conjugate vaccine (Southern et al., 2004; Keyserling et al., 2005; Vu et al., 2006a). The disparity in the responses to repeated doses of the individual polysaccharides reflects potential differences in the respective mechanism(s) of immunogenicity that currently

remain poorly understood. The clinical relevance of antibody hyporesponsiveness is unknown, as polysaccharide vaccines have been extensively used with no reported increase in risk of acquiring meningococcal disease (Jackson et al., 1995; Rosenstein et al., 1998; De Wals et al., 2001). In many countries, polysaccharide vaccines have been replaced by conjugate vaccines.

6.2 Meningococcal conjugate vaccines

To overcome the problem of the T-cell independent nature of meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines, and the lack of immunogenicity in infants and young children, the successful approach was taken of conjugating the polysaccharide to a protein carrier applied to Hib vaccines. These conjugate vaccines stimulate T-cells to provide the necessary co-stimulation for B-cells, improving the immunogenicity of the polysaccharide even in infants who are unresponsive to unconjugated polysaccharide. Conjugate vaccines also stimulate the induction of immunologic memory through the generation of long-lasting memory B-cells (Goldblatt, 1998; Joseph et al., 2001; Richmond et al., 2001a; Kelly et al., 2005; Kelly et al., 2006) which, upon challenge with a low dose of meningococcal polysaccharide, produce a rapid antibody response at a greater magnitude than that of the primary response and the antibody tends to be of increased avidity. Commonly-used carrier proteins include tetanus toxoid, non-toxigenic natural variant of diphtheria toxin (CRM197) and diphtheria toxoid.

6.2.1 Monovalent serogroup C conjugate vaccines

In 1999, the United Kingdom became the first country to introduce MCC vaccine into the national childhood immunization schedule, together with a catch-up campaign up to 18, then 24 years of age (Miller et al., 2001). Extensive pre-licensure studies had demonstrated the safety and immunogenicity of these vaccines in infants (Richmond et al., 1999; MacLennan et al., 2000; Richmond et al., 2001a) and toddlers (Richmond et al., 2001b). No large-scale efficacy trials were performed; the vaccines were granted licensure by the demonstration of immunogenicity relative to the accepted surrogate of protection (Goldschneider et al., 1969a; Goldschneider et al., 1969b) and the known efficacy of the polysaccharide vaccine in children aged > 2 years.

The MCC vaccines were observed to be highly immunogenic in infants, stimulating high rSBA titres after two doses, as was shown in Figure 4. The proportion of infants with rSBA titres ≥ 8 was $\geq 98\%$ after two doses, irrespective of the vaccine formulation (Fairley et al., 1996; Richmond et al., 1999; Borrow et al., 2000a; Richmond et al., 2001a). The SBA geometric mean titres (GMTs) observed in infants were similar to those observed in adults receiving one dose of polysaccharide vaccine (Richmond et al., 2000). Toddlers receiving one dose of MCC had high rSBA titres following vaccination, with \geq 91% achieving an rSBA titre \geq 8 (Richmond et al., 2001b). Immunization of pre-school children (3.5-6 years) and school leavers (13-18 years) in the United Kingdom, with one dose of serogroup C conjugate vaccine, resulted in high GMTs and virtually all subjects achieved protective rSBA titres ≥ 8 (Burrage et al., 2002). Serogroup C conjugate vaccines are highly immunogenic in adults, with significant increases in GMT and fourfold increases observed before, and one month following vaccination (Richmond et al., 2000; Borrow et al., 2001b; Goldblatt et al., 2002). The high immunogenicity of MCC vaccines allowed studies of a single primary dose in infancy to be performed and then implemented, for two of the three MCC vaccines in the United Kingdom primary infant immunization schedule. This study demonstrated one dose of MCC-TT (NeisVac-C®) or MCC-CRM197 (Menjugate®) at 3 months gave comparable responses (SBA titres \geq 8) to two doses, both post-primary vaccination and post-booster Hib/MCC-TT (Menitorix®) at 12 months (Findlow et al., 2012). However, the magnitude of the SBA GMT was higher in the MCC-TT primed postbooster.

Infants immunized at 2, 3 and 4 months have high SBA titres one month after the third dose, but this declines during the first year of life (Richmond et al., 1999) with between 47% and 70% of infants still having protective rSBA titres \geq 8 at 12–13 months of age (Richmond et al., 2001a; Borrow et al., 2003). In children aged 3to 4 years of age who had received three doses of MCC in infancy, 61% had hSBA titres \geq 4 (Vu et al., 2006b) and 12% had rSBA titres \geq 8 respectively (Borrow et al., 2002). Analysis of longer-term persistence of rSBA, up to 4–5 years, in children immunized at 2, 3 and 4 months, has revealed a rapid decline during the first 12 months but the rate of decline is not as great over the next three to four years with approximately 33% of children with rSBA titres \geq 8.

A decline in SBA titres has been observed in toddlers following a single dose of MCC vaccine, with 75% and 37% protected (rSBA titres \geq 8) at 6 months and 1.2–2.7 years post-vaccination, respectively (Richmond et al., 2001b; Snape et al., 2005). Twenty-five percent of children either 1.6–3 years, following one dose of MCC vaccine, had hSBA titres \geq 4 (Vu et al., 2006b). The antibody persistence following a 12 months of age booster dose of MCC/Hib-TT, was investigated in young children who had been primed with each of the three MCC vaccines at 2, 3 or 2, 4 months of age (Borrow et al., 2010). The rSBA geometric mean titre was higher for those subjects primed with the MCC vaccine conjugated to tetanus toxoid (NeisVac-C) than for those primed with one of two MCC vaccines conjugated to CRM197 (Menjugate or Meningitec) up to one year following boosting. Two years after boosting, the percentages of subjects with SBA titres of \geq 8 for children primed with NeisVac-CTM, MenjugateTM and MeningitecTM were 43%, 22% and 23%, respectively (Borrow et al., 2010) (Figure 5).

Figure 5: Percentages of subjects with rSBA titres ≥ 8 before, 1, 12 and 24 months following administration of MCC/Hib-TT booster by priming MCC vaccine



Source: Borrow et al., 2010.

Following MCC vaccination in adolescents, there is only a slight decline in SBA GMT during the initial 12-month period following a single dose of MCC vaccine (Choo et al., 2000). Ninety-six percent of adolescents vaccinated at 11–15 years with one dose of MCC vaccine have rSBA titres \geq 8 at one to two years post-immunization (Borrow et al., 2007). In a study of healthy adolescents aged 11–20 years, previously immunized with MCC vaccine five years previously at age 6–15 years, 84.1% (95% CI: 81.6–86.3%) of 987 participants had a rSBA of \geq 8. The rSBA GMTs were significantly lower in 11–13 year olds, 147 (95% CI: 115–188) as opposed to 14–16 year olds, 300 (95% CI: 237–380) and 17–20 year olds, 360 (95% CI: 252–515) (Snape et al., 2008a). Therefore, SBA GMTs were higher in those immunized at aged 10 years or above, as opposed to those immunized before the age of 10 years. Also, persistence of antibody appears to be age-dependent and is critical for the long-term persistence of SBA effectiveness of the group C conjugate vaccines (refer to section 7.2.1 on serogroup C conjugate vaccine efficacy).

6.2.2 Quadrivalent meningococcal polysaccharide-protein conjugate vaccines

As of April 2020, four quadrivalent meningococcal A, C, W, Y conjugate vaccines (MCV4) with different carrier protein are licensed in numerous countries.

6.2.2.1 MCV4-DT

MCV4-DT (Menactra®) contains 4 µg of each of the capsular polysaccharides conjugated to a total of approximately 48 µg of diphtheria toxoid protein. MCV4-DT has been shown to be highly immunogenic in adolescents and adults and non-inferior to immunization with the quadrivalent polysaccharide vaccine (Campbell et al., 2002; Bilukha & Rosenstein, 2005; Keyserling et al., 2005; National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI), 2007). In a study in infants, MCV4-DT was administered at 2, 4 and 6 months of age with between 54% and 92% achieving an rSBA titre \geq 8 depending on the serogroup and amount of polysaccharide in the vaccine (Rennels et al., 2004). On this basis, MCV4-DT was not considered suitable in infants. In a similar study in toddlers aged 12–22 months, 78–100% achieved an rSBA titre \geq 8 to each of the four serogroups following two doses 6–12 weeks apart (Rennels et al., 2002). One dose of MCV4-DT in children aged 2–10 years was observed to induce greater rSBA titres for all four serogroups compared to those children receiving one dose of quadrivalent polysaccharide vaccine (Pichichero et al., 2005). The number of subjects with $a \ge 4$ -fold rise in baseline rSBA titres (from < 8) was also greater in children receiving one dose of MCV4-DT vaccine. In a study of children aged 2–10 years of age in Chile, MCV4-DT was found to be non-inferior to one dose of quadrivalent polysaccharide vaccine with between 66% (serogroup Y) and 92% (serogroup W) of children, receiving either vaccine, achieving a \geq 4-fold rise in rSBA one month following vaccination (Lagos et al., 2005). SBA persists in children aged 2–10 years of age 6 months after one dose of MCV4-DT, at a greater level than those who had received one dose of quadrivalent polysaccharide (Pichichero et al., 2005). However, waning of SBA titres at six months following vaccination was evident in both groups. Higher rSBA titres have been observed in children (24-36 months of age in the initial study) two years following a dose of MCV4-DT, compared to age-matched vaccine-naive children. Persistence of antibody in 11-17 year-olds three years after initial vaccination was reported to be greater in those receiving MCV4-DT compared to a quadrivalent polysaccharide vaccine (Keyserling et al., 2005).

Immunological interference has been demonstrated when MCV4-DT was given concomitantly with pneumococcal 7-valent conjugate vaccine (PCV7) and also when MCV4-DT was given 30 days after DTaP (Sanofi Pasteur, 2018). In one study where MCV4-DT was given alone at nine months of age and a second dose with PCV7 at 12 months, lower pneumococcal serotype GMTs were demonstrated for serotypes 4, 6B and 18C. No data are published on the coadministration of MCV4-DT and the currently used PCV13. A second study in children aged 4–6 years where DTaP was given 30 days before MCV4-DT and lower antibodies were recorded for all four serogroups. This was not observed when MCV4-DT was given concomitantly with DTaP.

6.2.2.2 MCV4-CRM

MCV4-CRM (MenveoTM) contains 5 µg of each serogroups C, W and Y and 10 µg of serogroup A conjugated to 33–64 µg of CRM197. A study in British and Canadian infants, who received either MCV4-CRM or MCC at 2 and 4 months of age, demonstrated that over 80% of quadrivalent conjugate vaccine recipients had hSBA titres \geq 4 following vaccination for serogroups C, Y and W and over 60% for serogroup A (Snape et al., 2008b). In a randomized study of a single dose of MCV4-CRM or MCV4-DT in children 2–10 years of age, hSBA GMTs were similar for serogroups A and C but ~2-fold higher in the MCV4-CRM group for serogroups Y and W (Halperin et al., 2010). In a study of MCV4-CRM or MCV4-DT in 11–18 year olds, higher hSBA titres were seen for the MCV4-CRM group for serogroups A, W and Y, while for serogroup C the GMTs were comparable (Figure 6) (Jackson et al., 2009).



Figure 6: Comparison of hSBA GMTs 1 month after vaccination with MCV4-CRM or MCV4-DT in 11–18 year old children

Source: Jackson et al., 2009.

6.2.2.3 MCV4rix-TT

MCV4rix-TT (NimenrixTM) contains 5 µg of each capsular polysaccharide conjugated directly to tetanus toxoid (W + Y) or via a spacer (A + C). MCV4rix-TT is licensed in Europe for individuals aged \geq 6 weeks against invasive disease caused by meningococcal serogroups A, C, W and Y. Numerous Phase II-IIIb clinical studies showed that MCV4rix-TT administered as primary or booster vaccination was highly immunogenic for all four vaccine serogroups and had an acceptable reactogenicity profile in individuals aged 6 weeks to \geq 56 years. MCV4rix-TT is as immunogenic and safe as other previously-licensed monovalent serogroup C or quadrivalent serogroup A, C, W and Y vaccines and can be coadministered with other routine vaccines without adversely affecting the immunogenicity or safety profiles of either vaccine (Figure 7) (Nimenrix, SPC; Dhillon & Pace, 2017).



Figure 7: Non-inferiority of MCV4rix-TT serogroup C component to MCC-CRM or MCC-TT

Source: Nimenrix, SPC.

First dose given at 6–12 weeks, second dose after an interval of two months, booster dose at 12 months of age.

One study compared MCV4rix-TT with MCV4-DT showing that vaccine responses ranging from 51.0% to 82.5% for the four serogroups after MCV4rix-TT and 39.0–76.3% for MCV4-DT where a vaccine response was defined as a hSBA titre of \geq 8 in subjects with pre-vaccination hSBA titres of < 4 or for those subjects with pre-vaccination titres of \geq 4, a \geq 4-fold rise pre- to post-vaccination (Halperin et al., 2014).

Studies of MCV4rix-TT and MCV4-CRM have been reported in toddlers, adolescents and young adults (Ishola et al., 2015; Pellegrino et al., 2015; Bona et al., 2016). In toddlers aged 12–15 months, receiving either one dose of MCV4rix-TT or MCV4-CRM, immunogenicity was comparable between the two vaccines with the exception of serogroup A where higher rSBA GMTs were seen for MCV4-CRM, reflecting the higher quantity of serogroup A oligosaccharide in MCV4rix-CRM (Figure 8) (Bona et al., 2016).

Figure 8: rSBA GMTs (95% CI) against serogroups A, C, W and Y at baseline, one and six months following vaccination of toddlers with MCV4rix-TT or MCV4-CRM



Source: Bona et al., 2016.

A randomized study compared MCV4rix-TT and MCV4-CRM in adolescents who had been primed in childhood with one of the three MCC vaccines (Ishola et al., 2015). Both MCV4 vaccines were highly immunogenic giving rSBA titres \geq 8 in \geq 98% of subjects. The highest serogroup C rSBA titres were seen in those MCC–TT-primed and MCV4rix-TT boosted, rSBA GMT ~ 22 000 followed by those boosted with MCV4-CRM irrespective of priming, rSBA GMT ~ 12 000.

6.2.2.4 MCV4fi-TT

MCV4fi-TT (MenQuadfiTM) contains 10 µg of each serogroup A, C, Y, and W and approximately 55 µg of tetanus toxoid protein carrier per 0.5 mL dose. MCV4fi-TT is licensed for use in the United States from 2 years of age. MCV4fi-TT has been shown to be non-inferior to MCV-CRM in healthy adolescents in the United States (Chang et al., 2020). MCV4fi-TT has also been demonstrated to be immunogenic and safe in adults aged \geq 56 years (Kirstein et al., 2020). Although awaiting confirmation in a Phase III study, an exploratory Phase II study of a single dose of MCV4fi-TT, as compared to MCV4fi-TT, has demonstrated the possibility of the use of a single dose of MCV4fi-TT in toddlers (Vesikari et al., 2020).

6.2.3 Monovalent serogroup A conjugate vaccines

In 2001, a 10-year grant was awarded to WHO and PATH from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation to support the Meningitis Vaccine Project (MVP) with the goal of eliminating meningococcal epidemics in sub-Saharan Africa through vaccination. The candidate vaccine had to meet a defined profile: induce long-lasting immunity after one dose; interrupt transmission (herd protection); be produced in accordance with the highest standards for quality control, production and rigorous licensure process; be available as quickly as possible, and have enough capacity for approximately 25 million doses per year over 10 years at a cost that would facilitate its widespread use in Africa. To fit this profile, a monovalent serogroup A conjugate (MenAfriVac, PsA-TT), manufactured at a cost agreeable to African countries, was agreed upon. The Serum Institute of India, Pune, was selected to manufacture the vaccine (which contains 10 µg of serogroup A polysaccharide conjugated to 10-20 µg tetanus toxoid and aluminium phosphate as adjuvant. A Phase I study to assess safety, immunogenicity and antibody persistence in adults was performed in India (Kshirsagar et al., 2007). The vaccine was observed to be immunogenic with a \geq 4-fold increase in rSBA titres in 83% of individuals compared to 72% of the control group who received one dose of meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine A + C. Significantly higher geometric mean concentrations of serogroup A-specific IgG were observed after PsA-TT immunization, as compared to bivalent A + C polysaccharide vaccine. After one year, rSBA titres were significantly higher in those receiving PsA-TT vaccine in comparison to the control group (Figure 9).





Source: Kshirsagar et al., 2007.

Following the encouraging results of the Phase I trial, a Phase II trial was performed to assess the responses in African toddlers aged 12–23 months. A total of 601 children, 12–23 months of age, were randomly assigned to receive PsA-TT, a quadrivalent polysaccharide reference vaccine (PsACWY), or a control vaccine (Hib-TT). Ten months later, these children underwent a further round of randomization within each group to receive a full dose of PsA-TT, a one-fifth dose of PsACWY, or a full dose of Hib-TT (Sow et al., 2011). A total of 96.0% of the subjects in the PsA-TT group and 63.7% of those in the

PsACWY group had rSBA titres that were ≥ 4 times as high as those at baseline. The rSBA GMT in the PsA-TT group was greater by a factor of 16 than the rSBA GMT in the PsACWY group. At week 40, the PsA-TT group had higher rSBA GMTs than the PsACWY group and demonstrated immunological memory after receiving a polysaccharide challenge. These children were then followed up for evaluation of antibody persistence up to five years after primary vaccination (Tapia et al., 2015a). The rSBA titres were shown to decline in the year following vaccination, but plateaued at levels significantly above baseline for up to five years following primary vaccination.

The next study was performed in 900 African subjects between 2 and 29 years of age who were randomly assigned to receive PsA-TT or PsACWY (Sow et al., 2011). In total, 78.2% of subjects in the PsA-TT group and 46.2% of those in the PsACWY group had rSBA titres that were \geq 4 times as high as those at baseline. These subjects were followed up for four years; substantial rSBA decay was observed at six months post-vaccination in both vaccine groups and this was more marked in the PsACWY group (Diallo et al., 2015). The rSBA titres were significantly higher in the PsA-TT group than the PsACWY group for all time-points and the majority (over 93%) of subjects in the PsA-TT group, regardless of age, maintained rSBA titres \geq 128 up to 4 years post-vaccination (Diallo et al., 2015). Seroprevalence studies following the introduction of PsA-TT one year following the campaign from Burkina Faso, and two years following the campaign in Mali (Basta et al., 2015; Tall et al., 2015). Both studies showed high levels of protection of the population targeted for vaccination.

6.2.4 Pentavalent ACWYX vaccines

Due to a number of outbreaks of serogroup X in the Meningitis belt (Campagne et al., 1999; Gagneux et al., 2002; Djibo et al., 2003; Boisier et al., 2007; Caugant et al., 2012), a pentavalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine targeting serogroups A, C, W, Y and X (MCV5) was trialled in a single-centre, double-blind, randomized controlled Phase I trial. Participants were healthy adults aged 18-45 years and randomized to a single, 0.5 mL, intramuscular injection of aluminium-phosphate adjuvanted MCV5, non-adjuvanted NmCV-5, or control (the quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine MCV4-DT). Serum was collected before vaccination, and 28 days after vaccination, for immunological assessment with an rSBA assay (Chen et al., 2018). Both adjuvanted and non-adjuvanted MCV5 elicited high rSBA titres against all five meningococcal serogroups. The pre-vaccination GMTs ranged from 3.36 to 53.80 for the control, from 6.28 to 187.00 for the adjuvanted vaccine and from 4.3 to 350 for the nonadjuvanted vaccine, and the post-vaccination GMT ranged from 3.1 to 3214 for the control, from 1351 to 8192 for the adjuvanted vaccine and from 1607 to 11,191 for the non-adjuvanted vaccine. This Phase I trial allowed progression to Phase II trials in young children.

6.2.5 Combination vaccines

Combination vaccines provide a useful way to deliver a wide range of vaccines in a single injection. One meningococcal serogroup C and Hib tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine was licensed in the United Kingdom in December 2005 (Electronic Medicines Compendium, 2005). This combination, administered as a single dose, is mainly utilized to boost immunity to meningococcal serogroup C and Hib in toddlers that have previously completed a primary immunization series with other meningococcal group C or Hib conjugate vaccines, such as in the United Kingdom childhood immunization programme (Department of Health, 2006). Coadministration of the combined meningococcal serogroup C and Hib conjugate vaccine with the measles, mumps and rubella (MMR) vaccine does not interfere with the immune response and is safe and well tolerated (Carmona et al., 2010). Limited data are published on the immunogenicity of the combined meningococcal serogroup C and Hib conjugate vaccine as a booster in the second year of life, although large increases in SBA indicating successful priming with induction of immune memory are demonstrated. Whether infants are primed with either a meningococcal CRM197-based or TT-based conjugate, the proportion of infants putatively protected with SBA titres ≥ 8 is similar at 98–100% (Electronic Medicines Compendium, 2005). However, if a TT-based conjugate is used to prime in infancy, SBA GMTs and SBA titres \geq 128 are higher, as opposed to those if primed with a CRM197-based conjugate (Electronic Medicines Compendium, 2005). Hib responses are also enhanced when the priming and/or boosting serogroup C conjugate is conjugated to tetanus toxoid (TT), whether as a combined meningococcal serogroup C and Hib conjugate vaccine or as an MCC vaccine (Electronic Medicines Compendium, 2005; Kitchin et al., 2007). The combined meningococcal serogroup C and Hib conjugate vaccine, coadministered with DTaP3-HBV-IPV has been demonstrated in a randomized pre-licensure study to induce a higher SBA GMT than an MCC-CRM197 vaccine, coadministered with DTaP-HBV-IPV/Hib at 2, 4 and 6 months of age (Tejedor et al., 2007). However, a similar study, but using a 2, 3 and 4-month schedule, demonstrated lower SBA GMTs for the combined meningococcal serogroup C and Hib conjugate vaccine than for a MCC-CRM197 vaccine (Schmitt et al., 2007).

6.3 Meningococcal protein vaccines

6.3.1 Outer membrane vesicle (OMV) vaccines

Serogroup B meningococci are a major cause of invasive meningococcal disease in the Americas and in many European countries, and over the last 30 years there have been epidemics in Brazil (Sacchi et al., 1992), Chile (Cruz et al., 1990), Cuba (Sierra et al., 1991), New Zealand (Martin et al., 1998) and Norway (Bjune et al., 1991). Most cases during a serogroup B epidemic are clonal and share the same PorA subtype. Therefore, for the development of a vaccine against a single group B strain, the PorA outer membrane protein (OMP) is considered as the most important protein with regard to protection, although in addition, SBA responses to other OMPs and antigens are also induced (Rosengvist et al., 1995). This was clearly demonstrated in a randomized controlled trial in Chile where the Finlay Institute OMV vaccine (PorA P1.15) and Norwegian vaccine (PorA P1.7,16) were used, and sera were assayed by hSBA against both vaccine strains. Responders were defined as a subject with $a \ge 4$ -fold rise preto one-month post third dose of OMV vaccine. Good responses were seen in those vaccinated with the Finlay vaccine against the corresponding vaccine strain but not against the Norwegian strain, and also in those vaccinated with the Norwegian vaccine against the Norwegian strain, but not the Finlay strain (Tappero et al., 1999) (Figure 10).

OMV vaccines can be tailor-made for the control of a particular epidemic and are usually based on a clinical isolate from the actual epidemic (Holst et al., 2005). Immunization with an OMV vaccine induces SBA activity that correlates with protection (Holst et al., 2003), and the licensure of serogroup B vaccine will be on the basis of SBA activity together with safety data, without large-scale efficacy studies (Borrow et al., 2006a).

Figure 10: Percentage of hSBA responders by target strain, vaccine group and age group one month following three doses of vaccine



Source: Tappero et al., 1999.

In order to broaden further the coverage provided by OMV vaccines, a multivalent PorA vaccine was developed in the Netherlands. The vaccine, containing six different PorA OMPs, was based on two strains, each expressing three different PorA OMPs (P1.7,16; P1.5-1,2-2; P1.19,15-1; P1.5-2,10; P1.12-1,13; P1.7-2,4) (van der Ley et al., 1995; Claassen et al., 1996). It was found to be safe, well tolerated and immunogenic in infants (Cartwright et al., 1999) and in toddlers and schoolchildren (de Kleijn et al., 2000). To expand coverage further, a nonavalent OMV vaccine was next developed adding a third trivalent vesicle (containing PorAs P1.7-2,4; P1.22,14; P1.7-1,1 and P1.18-1,3,6) (Van den Dobbelsteen et al., 2007). This nonavalent PorA OMV vaccine is yet to undergo clinical evaluation. Numerous approaches have been taken in modifying meningococcal OMV vaccines, by either using native as opposed to detergent extracted OMVs and/or down regulation of unwanted antigens and/or upregulation of immunogenic antigens, and some have entered clinical evaluation (Zollinger et al., 2012; Marsay et al., 2015). An OMV vaccine has also been prepared from a strain of N. lactamica (Gorringe, 2005). It has been hypothesized that the development of natural immunity to meningococci in young children may be due to cross-protection induced by carriage of the commensal N. lactamica that has many common surface structures with N. meningitidis but not PorA or capsular polysaccharide. Thus, immunization with an OMV vaccine that lacks PorA may, theoretically, shift the antibody responses to other

antigens that are poorly immunogenic in the presence of PorA but capable of eliciting protective antibodies in its absence. A Phase I study analysing the immunogenicity of three doses of an *N. lactamica* OMV vaccine has been completed and the vaccine was shown to elicit increases in SBA responses similar to those seen with a meningococcal OMV vaccine against PorA heterologous strains (Gorringe et al., 2009).

6.3.2 4CMenB

Developments in the meningococcal genomics and proteomics have provided additional approaches to identification of novel vaccine candidate antigens (Pizza et al., 2000; Sun et al., 2000) with the term "reverse vaccinology" being adopted for antigens first identified by computer analysis of the genome (Rappuoli, 2000; Kurz et al., 2003; Capecchi et al., 2004; Mora et al., 2006). Using reverse vaccinology, a large number of novel meningococcal antigens were identified, five of which were taken forward and expressed in a form suitable for large-scale manufacturing. The antigens included were Neisseria Heparin Binding Antigen (NHBA) (GNA2132) (Welsh et al., 2003), ubiquinone-8 binding protein (GNA1030) (Pizza et al., 2000; Donnarumma et al., 2015), a lipoprotein (LP) (GNA2091) (Pizza et al., 2000), factor H binding protein (fHbp) (GNA1870) (LP 2086) (Masignani et al., 2003; Welsch et al., 2004; Giuliani et al., 2005; Hou et al., 2005; Cantini et al., 2006; Madico et al., 2006) and NadA (Comanducci et al., 2002; Capecchi et al., 2005). To increase the immunogenicity and stability, fHbp is fused with GNA2091 and NHBA with GNA1030 (Pizza et al., 2000). These two fusion proteins were formulated with NadA to produce a novel recombinant MenB vaccine (rMenB). The formulation consisting of five different recombinant antigens was at that time called Five Component Vaccine against Meningococcus B (5CVMB) (Jacobsson et al., 2009). To investigate ways of increasing immunogenicity, rMenB was then formulated with OMVs derived from either the Norwegian strain 44/76-SL, B:15:P1.7,16 (rMenB+OMVnw) or New Zealand strain NZ98/254, B:4:P1.7-2.4 (rMenB+OMVnz) (Toneatto et al., 2011). The final vaccine formulation, which progressed through clinical trials (Toneatto et al., 2011) and was submitted in December 2010 to the European Medicines Agency for a marketing authorization, is rMenB+OMVnz and has been assigned the trade name of Bexsero® and is also termed four component meningococcal serogroup B vaccine (4CMenB).

Following the Phase I study, the first Phase II was performed in infants with a 2, 4, 6 and 12-month schedule (Findlow et al., 2010). Serum was collected at five time-points, pre-vaccination, one month post 2 doses, one month post 3 doses and pre- and one month-post booster. The percentage of infants with hSBA titres \geq 4 and hSBA GMTs are depicted, respectively, in Figure 11 and Figure 12. Good responses were seen both in terms of hSBA titres \geq 4 and hSBA GMTs one month following the second dose at 4 months of age. These data, together with cost-effectiveness data, were used in formulating the United Kingdom 4CMenB national infant immunization schedule which is 2, 4 and 12 months of age (Ladhani et al., 2016). Following the third dose, antibody levels declined to 12 months of age, rapidly for the PorA antigen with only 34% of infants having hSBA titres \geq 4 pre-booster. Following the booster, 93–100% of infants had hSBA titres \geq 4 (Findlow et al., 2010). The antibody persistence and response to a booster was studied in a subset of these children at 40-44 months of age (Snape et al., 2013). Although antibody levels declined over the 28–32 months following the 12-month booster, 41–76% of infants had hSBA titres \geq 4 depending on the antigen. One month following a booster of 4CMenB given at 40–44 months of age, hSBA titres \geq 4 was 89–100% depending on the antigen.

Figure 11: Percentage of infants with hSBA \geq 4 (95% CIs) one month after 2 and 3 doses of 4CMenB at 2, 4, 6 months of age and before, and one month after a booster dose at 12 months of age and at 40–44 months of age



Source: Findlow et al., 2010 & Snape et al., 2013.

Figure 12: hSBA GMTs (95% CIs) one month after 2 and 3 doses of 4CMenB at 2, 4, 6 months of age and before, and one month after a booster dose at 12 months of age and at 40–44 months of age



Source: Findlow et al., 2010 & Snape et al., 2013.

A Phase IIIb study in infants compared three different 4CMenB schedules, 2 + 1 schedule at 3.5, 5, 11 months or 6, 8, 11 months of age or a 3 + 1 schedule at ages 2.5, 3.5, 5, 11 months (Martinón-Torres et al., 2017). No major differences were noted one month following the booster in terms of hSBA ≥ 4 or GMTs between 3.5, 5, 11 months or 2.5, 3.5, 5, 11 schedules. These children were followed out to 24–36 months post-booster and antibody persistence was also similar for both schedules (Martinón-Torres et al., 2018).

Adolescents aged 11–17 years received one, two, or three doses of 4CMenB at one month, two months, or six-month intervals in a key randomized study in Chile (Santolaya et al., 2012; Santolaya et al., 2013). High baseline levels of hSBA \geq 4 were noted ranging from 21–42% depending on vaccine group and target antigen. For those receiving a single dose of 4CMenB, 93% of subjects had hSBA titres \geq 4 one month post-vaccination, declining to 62–73% at 18–24 months post-vaccination, depending on the target antigen. For each of the different 2- and 3-dose schedules, no major differences in % hSBA \geq 4 ranging from 98–100% (Figure 13). These were the main data for the licensure of a 2-dose schedule, not less than one month apart for those over 11 years of age (Bexsero, SPC). The hSBA GMTs are shown in Figure 14 with, as for the percentage of subjects with hSBA \geq 4, the 1-dose schedule performing poorly (Santolaya et al., 2013). For the fHbp antigen, no major differences were seen between the different two and 3-dose schedule, but for NadA and PorA the 3-dose schedules, in particular, 0,1,6 and 0,2,6, gave higher hSBA GMTs with better antibody persistence.





Source: Santolaya et al., 2013.



Figure 14: hSBA GMTs (95% CI) of adolescents at baseline one month after last dose and at 18–24 months after last dose according to vaccine schedule

Source: Santolaya et al., 2013.

6.3.3 Bivalent rLP2086

Bivalent rLP2086 (Trumenba®) is composed of two recombinant fHbp proteins. fHbp was identified as a potential vaccine target due to its ability to stimulate a strong antibody response capable of killing diverse serogroup B strains (Fletcher et al., 2004). fHbp can be immunologically categorized into two distinct protein subfamilies, A and B, with 83–99% sequence identity within subfamilies but only 60–75% sequence identity between subfamilies (Murphy et al., 2009). Preclinical studies and data from early clinical studies confirmed that antibodies directed to fHbp are predominantly subfamily-specific and therefore supported a vaccine formulation composed of a protein from each fHbp subfamily (Fletcher et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2010; Marshall et al., 2013). Two Phase III studies assessed the immunogenicity of the vaccine against diverse strains of group B meningococcus (Ostergaard et al., 2017). A total of 3596 adolescents (10–18 years of age) were randomized to receive bivalent rLP2086 or hepatitis A virus vaccine and saline, and 3304 young adults (18-25 years of age) to receive bivalent rLP2086 or saline at baseline, at two months and six months. The percentage of adolescents who had a \geq 4-fold rise in the hSBA titre against each of four primary target strains ranged from 56.0% to 85.3% after dose 2 and from 78.8% to 90.2% after dose 3. The percentages of young adults ranged from 54.6% to 85.6% and 78.9% to 89.7% after doses 2 and 3, respectively. Bivalent rLP2086 is licensed from 10 years of age, either as a 2-dose 0, 6 month schedule or a 3-dose 0, 1–2, 6-month schedule (Trumenba, SPC).

6.4 Clinical risk groups

6.4.1 Asplenia

Asplenic individuals are known to be at increased risk of infection with encapsulated bacteria such as *N. meningitidis* (Eraklis et al., 1967; Krivit, 1977). The immune response of 103 asplenic individuals in the United Kingdom has been investigated following MCC vaccine (Balmer et al., 2004b). Asplenic individuals had significantly lower SBA GMTs when compared to an age-matched control group. However, 80% of asplenic individuals achieved the putative protective SBA titre \geq 8. A significant reduction in SBA GMT, or the number of subjects with a SBA titre \geq 8, was observed if the reason for splenectomy was a medical cause, or if vaccination occurred < 10 years after splenectomy. The United States recommendations are for vaccination with an age- and formulation-appropriate MCV4 vaccine from two months of age and serogroup B vaccine from 10 years of age (CDC MMWR, 2014; CDC MMWR, 2017). The United Kingdom guidance is similar; however, serogroup B vaccine (4CMenB) is recommended from two months of age (Department of Health, 2016).

6.4.2 Pre-term infants

Pre-term infants are at greater risk of infection than those born at full term due to the relative immaturity of their immune system. A follow-up of meningococcal group C disease in the United Kingdom, following the introduction of the MCC vaccine, found that, of 21 subjects with vaccine failure vaccinated at less than one year of age, two (10%) had a history of prematurity (Auckland et al., 2006). This proportion is similar to that found in a study of Hib conjugate vaccine failures, in which 12% of those vaccinated at less than one year were premature (Heath et al., 2000). Pre-term infants have been shown to generate adequate responses and equivalent protective antibody titres to term infants following administration of routine infant vaccines (Bernbaum et al., 1985; D'Angio et al., 1995; Ramsay et al., 1995; Kristensen et al., 1996). This led to recommendations that pre-term infants should receive the routine infant immunization schedule in accordance with their chronological age; for example, in Australia (The Australian Immunization Handbook, 2017), the United Kingdom (Department of Health, 2016) and the United States (Saari et al., 2003), provided they are well and there are no contraindications to immunization.

There are, however, several studies of premature infants that report decreased antibody responses to a number of vaccine antigens including, diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus toxoid and Hib (Conway et al., 1993; Kirmani et al., 2002; Heath et al., 2003). In the United Kingdom, the immune response of premature infants has been shown to elicit comparable protective SBA titres to term infants following immunization with an MCC conjugate vaccine in the two, three, four-month schedule when coadministered with either whole-cell pertussis (Collins et al., 2005) or acellular pertussis vaccines (Slack et al., 2001; Slack et al., 2005). An Italian study of a three, five and 11-month booster dose of MCC conjugate vaccine also showed comparable protective SBA titres between pre-term and term infants (Esposito et al., 2007).

6.4.3 Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)

Meningococcal infection, although rare in individuals living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), has been observed (Nitta et al., 1993; Couldwell, 2001; Pearson et al., 2001; Simmons et al., 2015; Harris et al., 2016), with reports of disseminated meningococcal that may present with a variety of clinical manifestations, such as pneumonia or arthritis (Nitta et al., 1993). A data linkage of national datasets in England between 2011 and 2013 demonstrated the incidence among persons diagnosed with HIV was 6.6 per 100 000 compared to 1.5 per 100 000 among HIV-negative individuals, with a relative risk of 4.5 (95% CI: 2.7–7.5) (Simmons et al., 2015).

Limited data are available for immune responses following meningococcal vaccination in HIV-infected children. A United Kingdom study in 51 children (median age 7.3 years, range 1.2–15.7 years), of whom 74% were on antiviral therapy, reported only 49% of the children having protective SBA titres \geq 8 following a single dose of MCC vaccine (Ruggeberg et al., 2002). Data were available in 11 of the non-responders who received a booster dose, of whom 63% had SBA titres \geq 8; hence, the immunogenicity of MCC vaccines is compromised in this patient group. A study in Brazil showed high seroconversion following a booster dose of MCC in 2–18 years old HIV-infected subjects who had been previously primed 12–18 months previously with MCC (Frota et al., 2017).

MCV4-DT has now been trialled in HIV-infected individuals aged 11–24 years in the United States (Lujan-Zilbermann et al., 2012). In subjects infected with HIV with a CD4% \geq 15, a second dose of MCV4-DT given six months after the initial dose significantly improves response rates. Subjects with CD4% < 15 at entry had lower response rates despite two doses of MCV4-DT. MCV4-DT was also studied in a trial in HIV-infected children aged 2–10 years with CD4 \geq 25% (Siberry et al., 2012). Two doses of MCV4-DT were given at entry and at week 24; rSBA responses were high after one dose for serogroups A (92%) and W (98%) but the second dose of MCV4-DT improved responses to serogroup C (43–80%) and serogroup Y (76–84%).

6.4.4 Complement deficiency

Persons with terminal complement defects and properdin deficiency, including those on eculizumab therapy, are at highest risk, with reported invasive meningococcal disease incidences up to ten thousand-times higher than in the general population (Hellenbrand et al., 2015). Repeat episodes are relatively common in this patient group (Platonov et al., 2003). The meningococcal strains that cause disease in complementdeficient persons are usually those associated with carriage; hence, these are more likely to be either non-encapsulated or uncommon serogroups (McNamara et al., 2017; Rosain et al., 2017). In a study in 45 Russian patients with late complement component deficiency (LCCD) who had experienced between one and five episodes of meningococcal infection, 31 were immunized with a meningococcal ACWY polysaccharide vaccine and were followed for 3-8 years (Platonov et al., 2003). Total and Ig class-specific concentration of antibodies to meningococcal polysaccharides in sera increased significantly one month following vaccination and remained elevated for three years. Revaccination of LCCD patients with ACWY polysaccharide vaccine three years after the first dose restored the total Ig concentrations to those observed one year after the first vaccination. Six new episodes of meningococcal infection developed in four patients in the group of 31 vaccinees; six episodes in six patients developed in the same period in the group of 14 non-vaccinated LCCD persons, demonstrating that the risk of contracting meningococcal disease decreased significantly for those vaccinated as opposed to not vaccinated.

Eculizumab is indicated for therapy of patients with symptomatic paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria (PNH) and atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome (aHUS). Owing to inhibition of terminal complement cascade, patients on eculizumab are susceptible to meningococcal infections. The two main means to reduce the risk of infection are vaccination and antibiotic prophylaxis. In an evaluation of 23 PNH patients, immunogenicity of meningococcal vaccination, either MCV4-DT (n = 17), MCC-CRM197 (n = 1), or meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine, either ACWY or AC (n = 4) was analysed by measuring rSBA titres against serogroups A, C, W, and Y (Alashkar et al., 2017). Protection was defined by an rSBA titre \geq 8. Forty-three percent had been vaccinated more than once. Overall serological responses for the meningococcal serogroups were serogroup A 78%, C 87%, W 48% and Y 70%. This is difficult to interpret as a mixture of conjugate and polysaccharide vaccine; also due to differences in valency of the vaccine, that is, all patients received serogroup C but not all the other serogroups. No meningococcal infections were observed.

6.4.5 Standard and intensive chemotherapy

After treatment of patients with acute leukaemia, there is a decrease in vaccine-specific antibody and an increased susceptibility to certain vaccine-preventable diseases. In a United Kingdom study of 59 children, median age 5.4 years (range 1.1–15.9) with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) or acute myeloid leukemia (AML), 96% had rSBA titres \geq 8 following a single dose of MCC vaccine administered \geq 6 months following standard chemotherapy (Patel et al., 2007a). Before revaccination, only 12% had rSBA titres \geq 8; therefore, protection can be achieved following revaccination of children after completion of standard chemotherapy for acute leukaemia.

Another United Kingdom study demonstrated that all 38 children after haematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) had rSBA titres \geq 8 following revaccination with MCC at a median age of 13 years of age (range 3.7–18.8) (Patel et al., 2007b). Only 11% had rSBA titres \geq 8 before revaccination; hence, revaccination of paediatric HSCT recipients provides good levels of protection.

6.4.6 Malaria, malaria chemoprophylaxis and sickle-cell trait

The immune response to capsular polysaccharide antigens, including meningococcal polysaccharide, is suppressed by malaria (Williamson & Greenwood, 1978). Antibody levels are better maintained in children who are receiving chemoprophylaxis for malaria, as opposed to those who are not, as malaria increases the γ -globulin turnover (Cohen et al., 1961). Two years following vaccination, in Gambian children aged 1–3 years, with a bivalent meningococcal A/C polysaccharide vaccine, the mean serogroup A-specific IgG concentrations were significantly higher in 26 children who had received chemoprophylaxis; Maloprim (pyrimethamine/dapsone) once every 14 days during the rainy season, as opposed to 27 children who received placebo (Ceesay et al., 1993). Similar findings have also been found in Nigerian children 1-2 years of age following meningococcal bivalent A and C polysaccharide vaccine, where the malaria chemoprophylaxis used was chloroquine, with control children receiving vitamin C (Bradley-Moore et al., 1985). Subjects with the haemoglobin genotype AS (sickle-cell trait), have been reported to have higher antibody responses (Greenwood et al., 1980a) and better antibody persistence (Greenwood et al., 1980c) to serogroup C polysaccharide vaccine, compared to those with the genotype AA.

7. Effectiveness

7.1 Polysaccharide vaccines

7.1.1 Serogroup C

In 1969 and 1970, two large-scale field trials of serogroup C polysaccharide vaccine were performed among American military recruits (Artenstein et al., 1970; Gold & Artenstein, 1971). A total of 28 245 recruits from both trials received group C polysaccharide vaccination, with 114 481 men included as unimmunized controls. The route of vaccination was either needle or jet gun. During the eight-week follow-up, 73 culture-confirmed cases of serogroup C occurred among the controls (an attack rate of 0.64 per 1000) as compared to two cases among the vaccinated (excluding one case that occurred nine days after vaccination); an attack rate of 0.07/1000. Vaccine efficacy (VE) from the combined dataset was 89% (95% CI: 55–97%). The protection induced by the serogroup C polysaccharide vaccine was group-specific. In the first trial, there was no reduction in disease due to serogroup B in the vaccinated group, while in the second trial there were no cases of serogroup B disease in the vaccinated or control groups.

The first evaluation of efficacy of serogroup C polysaccharide vaccination in young children was conducted as a prospective field trial in 1974 during a large serogroup C epidemic in São Paulo, Brazil (Taunay et al., 1974; Taunay et al., 1978). Approximately 67 000 children aged 6–36 months were randomized 1:1 to receive either a dose of group C polysaccharide vaccine (via jet injector) or, as a control, diphtheria-tetanus toxoid. During the 17-month follow-up, there were 32 culture-or serologically-confirmed cases among the vaccinated group and 45 cases among the controls (VE 31%, 95% CI: 11–58%). For children aged 6–23 months, vaccine efficacy was 12% (95% CI: –55–62%); however, for children 24–36 months, vaccine efficacy was 55% (95% CI: 4–72%).

In Quebec, Canada, approximately 1.6 million doses of meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine were administered to persons aged six months to 20 years in 1992–1993 in response to an increase in the incidence of meningococcal serogroup C disease. This provided an opportunity to evaluate the effect of a large so-called real-world programme to assess duration of protection and examine vaccine effectiveness by age (De Wals et al., 2001). Overall, there was evidence of vaccine protection from serogroup C disease in the first two years following vaccination (VE, 65%; 95% CI: 20–84%), but not in the subsequent three years (VE, 0%; 95% CI, –5–65%). Age at vaccination was also an important determinant of vaccine effectiveness: 83% (95% CI: 39–96%) for 15–20 year olds, 75% (95% CI: –17–93%) for 10–14 year olds and 41% (95% CI: –106–79%) for those aged 2–9 years. There was no evidence of protection in children younger than two years.

Vaccine effectiveness of serogroup C polysaccharide has also been evaluated in an epidemic setting using a case-control design (Rondy et al., 2016). In 2015 in Niger, in reaction to a large outbreak of serogroup C disease, 2–15 year olds were targeted with two different C-containing polysaccharide vaccines. Vaccine effectiveness at more than 10 days following vaccination was 84% (95% CI: 75–89%) and 97% (95% CI: 94–99%) for the tri- and quadrivalent vaccines, respectively.

7.1.2 Serogroup A

In the 1970s, there were seven controlled field trials measuring the efficacy of meningococcal serogroup A polysaccharide vaccines in Eygpt (Wahdan et al., 1973; Wahdan et al., 1977), Finland (Makela et al., 1975; Peltola et al., 1977), Sudan (Erwa et al., 1973) and Upper Volta and Mali (Saliou et al., 1978). Interpretation of these trial data is hampered by the small number of cases and also the short duration of observation. Even so, high VE levels were reported in all studies, in all age groups, including infants.

The effectiveness of the serogroup A polysaccharide vaccine has also been reported from observational studies performed during mass immunization campaigns in epidemic conditions. In 1979, Nigeria suffered a serogroup A epidemic for the third year in succession. Due to limited vaccine supplies, immunization was only carried out in children over one year of age from villages who had at least two cases of meningitis. A population of 10 000 was immunized with a serogroup A and C polysaccharide vaccine, and subsequently there were 10 cases of meningococcal disease. Of these 10 cases, two occurred in vaccinated individuals, but were not vaccine failures as their symptoms commenced on the day of vaccination (Greenwood & Wali, 1980b). Almost two-thirds of the estimated 671 000 population of Bamako were vaccinated with a single dose of bivalent serogroup A and C polysaccharide between January and April 1981 in response to a group A epidemic (Binkin & Band, 1982). Those aged 1–30 years were targeted. The vaccine was effective in limiting further spread of the epidemic, and the attack rate among those who received vaccine was lower than that in the unvaccinated (0.7/10 000 versus 4.7/10 000).

Vaccine effectiveness was also studied in a serogroup A epidemic in Auckland, New Zealand, between 1985 and 1986 (Lennon et al., 1992). The age group targeted for vaccination were those aged three months to 13 years, with special emphasis on reaching populations at highest risk (Maori and Pacific Island Polynesian children). Two doses of monovalent serogroup A polysaccharide vaccine were administered to children aged 3–23 months, with those aged 2–13 years receiving a single dose. Although coverage was approximately 90% for a single dose, only 26% of children less than two years of age received their second dose. There were no cases of serogroup A disease (100% efficacy) observed among children following a single dose of vaccine at 18 months of age or older. In contrast there was no evidence of significant protection in children vaccinated between 3–18 months, with efficacy during the first year of follow-up at 52% (95% CI: -330–95%), then 16% (95% CI: -538–90%) after one year. Of those children who received their second dose, none developed disease. Evidence on the duration of protection is limited but suggests that protection wanes rapidly. One of the controlled field trials in Egypt followed children aged 6-15 years for two years following immunization (Wahdan et al., 1977). There was high efficacy for the first year but no efficacy between one and two years. However, these data must be interpreted with caution as a sub-optimal, low molecular weight polysaccharide was used in the study. Efficacy was also shown to wane over time in a retrospective study in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, where approximately 103 000 infants and children aged three months to 16 years were vaccinated in response to a group A epidemic (Reingold et al., 1985). The results of case-control studies conducted one, two and three years after vaccination indicated overall efficacy of 87% (95% CI not given) for year one, 70% for year two and 54% for year three. This also indicated age differences in the duration of protection by age. In the first year after vaccination, age had no effect on the observed efficacy and, although efficacy remained relatively high in children four years of age, it dropped progressively in those < 4 years of age at the time of vaccination, such that three years following vaccination there was no evidence of protection in children less than four years of age (VE 8%; 95% CI: -102-58%), compared to 67% (95% CI: 40-82%) for children aged four to 16 years of age.

A Cochrane review concluded that serogroup A polysaccharide vaccines are strongly protective for the first year in children over five and adults, but its efficacy beyond the first year could not be determined with precision. Children aged 1–5 years in low-income countries were also protected but the efficacy in this age group could not be determined (Patel & Lee, 2005).

7.1.3 Serogroups Y and W

The licensure of the quadrivalent meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines was obtained through immunogenicity data based on \geq 4-fold rises in rSBA responses (WHO, 1980) and from this the efficacy of the serogroup Y and W components was inferred. There are no data on the efficacy of serogroups Y and W polysaccharides.

7.2 Conjugate vaccines

7.2.1 Serogroup C (MCC)

Meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccines were licensed on the basis of safety and immunogenicity data; a Phase II efficacy trial was not carried out, hence, post-licensure surveillance data, particularly from the United Kingdom, which was the first country to use MCC vaccines in a national immunization programme, was crucial for determining effectiveness. Within 12-18 months of vaccine introduction, there was a marked decline observed in the number of cases and deaths caused by serogroup C disease in the age groups targeted for immunization (Miller et al., 2001; Gray et al., 2006). Formal estimates of age-specific VE in England up to September 2001 were approximately 90% or above for all vaccinated age groups (Miller et al., 2001; Ramsay et al., 2001). When effectiveness was measured again more than one year after vaccination, there was a significant decline in effectiveness for infants vaccinated in the routine infant immunization programme (Trotter et al., 2004). The effectiveness in infants vaccinated at two, three and four months of age significantly declined from the first year 88% (95% CI: 58-93%) to up to June 2006 where there was no demonstrable efficacy 7% (95% CI: -3733-85%). For those infants aged 5-11 months in the catch up who received two doses of MCC vaccine,

effectiveness remained high 91% (95% CI: 8–100%) within the first year and 84% (95% CI: 31–97%) after more than one year. In toddlers, the youngest group to receive a single dose, efficacy was 71% (95% CI: 40–93%) compared to 89% (95% CI: 64–98%) in the first year. The confidence intervals for both the infant and toddler effectiveness data are wide, and so the true extent of protection is uncertain. For those 3–18 years of age, efficacy remained high at 92% (95% CI: 85–96%) more than one year on following vaccination.

In Spain, infants vaccinated in the routine two, four and six-month schedule, also received high levels of protection, although declines in effectiveness over time were also noted. Vaccine effectiveness in infants fell from 98% (95% CI: 96–99%) within one year from vaccination, to 78% (95% CI: 3–95%) one year following vaccination (Larrauri et al., 2005). In Canada, mass immunization campaigns with MCC vaccine were undertaken in 2001 with the schedules followed varying by age and location. Similar to the United Kingdom, declines in the burden of disease were rapidly observed. After seven years of follow-up, VE was measured to be 87% (95% CI: 75–94%) overall with lower and waning protection in children vaccinated at < 2 years of age (De Wals et al, 2011).

In the Netherlands, MCC was introduced into the routine immunization programme in September 2002 in a one-dose schedule at 14 months of age. In addition, a catch-up campaign was conducted from June to November 2002 targeting nearly three million children up to 19 years of age. The reasons for commencing at 14 months of age, and not immunizing infants, were based partially on the evidence of herd protection as demonstrated in the United Kingdom, as well as a low incidence of serogroup C disease in the under one year olds. Up to February 2007, no vaccine failures have been reported and the number of serogroup C cases fell from 276 in 2001 to four in 2006 (de Greeff et al., 2006; De Greeff et al., 2007). Both the Flanders and Wallonie regions in Belgium also adopted a single-dose strategy from 12 months of age, with a catch up to 20 years; however, impact was more difficult to assess due to disease incidence already falling before vaccine introduction in New South Wales. Nevertheless, there was over 75% reduction in disease from 213 cases in 2002, to 50 in 2005 (Booy et al., 2007).

In Brazil, routine infant immunization with MCC vaccines began in November 2010, scheduled at three and five months plus a booster at 12–15 months of age. Although vaccine effectiveness was not estimated, an interrupted time-series analysis for 2008–2014 showed that incidence of serogroup C disease was reduced by 67% (95% CI: 43–91%) for infants < 12 months of age, 92% (95% CI: 77–106%) for children aged 12–23 months and 65% (95% CI: 25–105%) for children aged 2–4 years (Andrade et al., 2017). Effectiveness of MCC vaccines has not yet been assessed in an African outbreak setting, although 800 000 doses were deployed in northern Nigeria, in 2017, in response to a serogroup C epidemic.

7.2.2 Serogroup A

As with MCC vaccines, there was no Phase III efficacy trial for a monovalent serogroup A conjugate vaccine produced specifically for use in African populations (PsA-TT). The vaccine was first used in a national campaign in Burkina Faso in 2010. After vaccine introduction, there was a 71% decline in risk of meningitis (hazard ratio 0.29; 95% CI: 0.28-0.30, p<0.0001) and a 64% decline in risk of fatal

meningitis (0.36; 0.33-0.40: p<0.0001) (Novak et al., 2012). There were no cases of confirmed serogroup A disease in vaccinated individuals, although a few cases were reported in 2010 before vaccination commenced. Compelling evidence of vaccine impact comes from a study in Chad that was experiencing an ongoing serogroup A epidemic at the time of vaccine introduction (Daugla et al., 2014). Approximately 1.8 million individuals aged 1–29 years received one dose of PsA-TT during a vaccination campaign in three regions in and around N'Djamena during December of 2011. Here, the incidence of meningitis in the following dry season was 2.5 per 100 000, whereas in the unvaccinated, incidence was 43.8 per 100 000, that is, a 94% difference in crude incidence (p<0.0001) and an incidence rate ratio of 0.096 (95% CI: 0.046–0.198). No cases of confirmed serogroup A meningococcal meningitis were reported in the three vaccinated regions despite enhanced surveillance. Incidence of serogroup A disease subsequently declined across Chad after PsA-TT was rolled out nationally (Gamougam et al., 2015). Vaccine impact has subsequently been evaluated in nine countries of the meningitis belt with consistent surveillance (Trotter et al, 2017). The incidence of suspected meningitis cases was 57% (95% CI: 55-59%) lower in vaccinated populations and there was a > 99% decline in the incidence of confirmed serogroup A disease. In addition, a 59% (95% CI: 44-69%) decline in the risk of a district reaching or exceeding the epidemic threshold of 10 cases per 100 000, per week, was observed in vaccinated populations.

7.2.3 Quadrivalent ACWY

There is more limited evidence on the effectiveness of MCV4 vaccines. These vaccines have been recommended for adolescents aged 11–18 years and others at increased risk (including college freshmen) in the United States since 2007, with effectiveness estimated specifically for the main vaccine in use, that is, ACWY polysaccharide conjugated to diphtheria toxin. An early assessment of breakthrough cases in the United States estimated that the observed number of cases was consistent with effectiveness of 80–85% (McNeil et al., 2011). Subsequently, a case-control study was used to estimate VE and duration of protection (Cohn et al., 2017). The overall VE estimate 0–8 years post-vaccination was 69% (95% CI: 51–80%); VE was 79% (95% CI: 49–91%) at < 1 year, 69% (95% CI: 44–83%) at 1 to < 3 years and 61% (95% CI: 25–79%) at 3 to < 8 years. In terms of effectiveness against different serogroups, VE was 77% (95% CI: 57–88%) against serogroup C and 51% (95% CI: 1–76%) against serogroup Y.

7.3 Protein vaccines

7.3.1 Outer membrane vesicle (OMV) vaccines

OMV vaccines have been tailor-made in response to hyper-endemic disease incidence due to particular group B clones. In Norway, an epidemic of a ST32 clonal complex serogroup B meningococci (phenotype B:15:P1.7,16) commenced in the late 1980s. A placebo-controlled double-blind efficacy trial was conducted in Norwegian secondary schools, with randomization at the school level. Vaccine effectiveness of 57% (lower 95% interval of 27.7%) was reported (Bjune et al., 1991). A Cuban vaccine based on a phenotype B:4:P1.15 strain, belonging to the ST32 clonal complex, also includes serogroup C polysaccharide and alum (Sierra et al., 1991). Following two doses of this OMV vaccine in 10–14 year olds, the efficacy was found to be 83% (95% CI: 42–95%) (Sierra et al., 1991). The same vaccine was evaluated in São Paolo, Brazil, again as a 2-dose schedule, and the efficacy was found to vary with the age group studied (de Moraes et al., 1992). Efficacy was 37% (95% CI: <-100–73%) in 3–23 month olds, 47% (95% CI: -72–84%) in 24–47 month olds and 74% (95% CI: 16–92%) in the 4–7 year olds. In Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, the same OMV vaccine showed efficacy of 41% (95% CI: -96–82%) in 6–23 month olds and 14% (95% CI: -165–72%) in 24–47 month olds (Noronha et al., 1995).

In New Zealand, vaccination of 0–20 year olds with an OMV vaccine commenced in July 2004 in response to a B:4:P1.7–2,4, ST-41/44 clonal complex epidemic (Dyet & Martin, 2005). Vaccine effectiveness was estimated, using an observational cohort study and a two-year follow-up period, as 80% (95% CI: 53–92%) for children aged six months to < 5 years and 85% (95% CI: 59–94%) for those aged six months to < 3 years (Galloway et al., 2009). Vaccine effectiveness in everyone aged under 20 years was estimated with a Poisson regression model in the years 2001–2008, including adjustments for year, season, age, ethnicity, region and socioeconomic status. This estimated VE to be 77% (95% CI: 62–85%) after three doses, given a mean followup time of 3.2 years (Arnold et al, 2011).

7.3.2 4CMenB vaccine

The United Kingdom was the first country to introduce a new vaccine offering broad protection against serogroup B meningococcal disease, in September 2015, incorporating the vaccine into the routine immunization schedule at two, four and 12 months. For cases diagnosed between 1 September 2015 and 30 June 30 2016, VE was assessed using the screening method (Parikh et al., 2016). Two-dose VE was 83% (95% CI: 24-95%) against all serogroup B cases, equivalent to VE of 94.2% against the highest predicted serogroup B strain coverage of 88%. The effectiveness was then evaluated for the first three years of the programme (Ladhani et al., 2020). Vaccine effectiveness against meningococcal group B disease was 52.7% (95% CI: -33.5-83.2%) with a 2-dose priming schedule for infants and 59.1% (95% CI: -31.1-87.2%) with a 2-dose priming schedule, plus a booster at one year. Significantly, over the three-year period there were 169 cases of meningococcal group B disease in the vaccine-eligible cohorts and an estimated 277 cases (95% CI: 236-323%) that were prevented. The 4CMenB programme was associated with continued positive effect against meningococcal group B disease in children in England over the first three years of the programme.

8. Safety

8.1 Polysaccharide vaccines

Since the 1970s, until the development of conjugate vaccines, meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines were administered to millions of individuals, including: those enlisted in the military; in certain at-risk groups; international travellers and, as part of mass vaccination campaigns to prevent or control outbreaks (WHO, 2011; Vipond et al., 2012). The widespread use of polysaccharide vaccines became more limited with the introduction of conjugate vaccines in the early 2000s. However, polysaccharide vaccines are still used to control outbreaks in many endemic and resource-limited regions (Greenwood, 1999; WHO, 2011). Until recently, they were the only licensed vaccines for those aged over 55 years in the United States, but now production has ended and recommendations have been updated to include the use of conjugate vaccines in this age group (Cohn et al., 2013).

Overall, meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines have been demonstrated to be safe and well-tolerated. The most common adverse events include minor pain and redness at the site of injection that tends to last between one and two days. Less than 5% of vaccinees report low-grade fever, although it occurs in infants more commonly than other age groups; high-grade fevers are even more rare (<1%) (Gold et al., 1975; Peltola et al., 1978). Severe adverse events are uncommon (Gold et al., 1975; Peltola et al., 1976; Makela et al., 1977; Peltola et al., 1978; Hankins et al., 1982; Ambrosch et al., 1983; Lepow et al., 1986; Roberts & Bryett, 1988; Scheifele et al., 1994; Yergeau et al., 1996; Aseffa et al., 2007; Bentsi-Enchill et al., 2007) although wheezing or urticaria is estimated to occur in one per 1 million doses administered and anaphylaxis in <1 per 1 million doses administered (CDC MMWR, 2000). In 2014, the United States Institute of Medicine (IOM) assessed the epidemiologic and mechanistic evidence to assess whether a causal relationship exists between meningococcal vaccination and anaphylaxis, and found that the clinical evidence presented by Yergeau et al. (1996) based on their study of meningococcal AC and meningococcal ACWY polysaccharide vaccines, indicated strong clinical evidence to support a causal link (Stratton et al., 2014). Studies of vaccination during pregnancy have not reported an increase in adverse events among either newborns or pregnant women (de Andrade Carvalho et al., 1977; McCormick et al., 1980; Leston et al., 1998); hence, there are no special considerations regarding meningococcal polysaccharide vaccination during pregnancy (WHO, 2011).

8.2 Conjugate vaccines

Overall, conjugate meningococcal vaccines of various formulations have also been found to be safe and well-tolerated. They have been associated with few adverse events, both when assessed during clinical trials and following licensure.

8.2.1 Meningococcal C conjugate vaccines

During the United Kingdom MCC vaccine campaign, three manufacturers' vaccines were utilized, each of which had been evaluated for safety in a comprehensive series of pre-licensure studies (Richmond et al., 1999; MacLennan et al., 2000; Richmond et al., 2001a; Richmond et al., 2001b; Southern et al., 2006). The most commonly observed adverse event in the first three days following vaccination was transient headache of mild to moderate severity (among an estimated 12% of participants in these prelicensure studies). As with polysaccharide vaccines, reports of local reactions of mild to moderate severity at the injection site were reported, and consisted of temporary pain, tenderness and occasional redness. Following licensure, safety continued to be monitored rigorously through passive surveillance of adverse events reported to the United Kingdom's Committee on Safety of Medicines (formerly Medicines Control Agency) that indicated a frequency of one adverse event per 2875 doses of MCC vaccine distributed in the first 10 months of the campaign (Medicines Control Agency et al., 2000). The majority of the adverse events reported consisted of headache, local reactions, fever, or dizziness. Anaphylaxis was reported at a frequency of one per 500 000 doses administered. Though post-licensure monitoring identified some additional adverse events (such as headache, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and malaise, in all age groups), the benefits of preventing meningococcal disease were judged to outweigh the risks (Snape & Pollard, 2005).

During enhanced post-licensure surveillance, health professionals were requested to report any suspected adverse events following MCC vaccination, regardless of severity, to the United Kingdom licensing authorities. Based on this passive surveillance, concerns were raised about a possible association between MCC vaccination and convulsions and purpura. To investigate this further, all hospital admissions among children in the South East of England due to convulsions (n=1715) and purpura (n=363), between November 1999 and September 2003, were identified and linked to vaccination records for MCC vaccine and other childhood vaccines. The analysis found no evidence of an increased relative incidence of convulsions two weeks after, or pupura four weeks after MCC vaccination (Andrews et al., 2007).

No association between vaccination and relapse of nephrotic syndrome was identified during a follow-up study (Taylor et al., 2007) to a previous study published in 2003 (Abeyagunawardena et al., 2003) that had initially suggested that the relapse rate of nephrotic syndrome had increased after the MCC mass-vaccination campaign. In the follow-up study, researchers used an active population-based surveillance system and also undertook ecological analyses to compare outcomes pre- and post-MCC vaccination in various age groups to assess the potential association; no evidence of an increased risk was found in either analysis (Taylor et al., 2007). Five cases of Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) were reported following administration of millions of doses of MCC vaccine during the United Kingdom catch-up campaign. The frequency of these reports was considered by the United Kingdom Department of Health to be lower than the expected background rate of GBS. Subsequently, a study among 1.9 million vaccinees aged two months to 20 years, in Canada, found no link between MCC and GBS (de Wals et al., 2008). Furthermore, United States IOM assessed the epidemiologic and mechanistic evidence to assess whether a causal relationship could be established between MCC vaccination and GBS; they concluded that the evidence is not sufficient to establish a causal link (Stratton et al., 2014).

Currently, the most commonly reported adverse events associated with MCC (occurring in more than one in 10 vaccinees) include injection-site reactions such as temporary redness, swelling and tenderness/pain (all ages), vomiting (infants), irritability, drowsiness, difficulty sleeping, loss of appetite and diarrhoea (infants/ toddlers), headache (schoolchildren), malaise (older children and adults) and muscle/ joint pains/nausea (adults) (Menjugate package leaflet; Menjugate SPC).

8.2.2 Meningococcal A conjugate vaccines

Both before and after the introduction of meningococcal A conjugate vaccine mass-vaccination campaigns in the African meningitis belt, beginning in 2010, numerous studies assessed MenAfriVacTM (PsA-TT) vaccine safety. Of note, the meningococcal A conjugate vaccine trials conducted in multiple countries of sub-Saharan Africa, and in India, reported extensively on the vaccine's safety profile (Kshirsagar et al., 2007; Sow et al., 2011; Tapia et al., 2015b; Hirve et al., 2012). Briefly, in a Phase I study in Indian adults, the most frequent local and systemic solicited reactions within seven days after vaccination were pain, redness, swelling, headache, fatigue, malaise and arthralgia; no differences were observed compared to adverse events reported following licensed meningococcal bivalent polysaccharide or tetanus toxoid (TT) vaccines administered to those in the comparison groups. In addition, no serious adverse events (SAEs) were reported during the one-year safety follow-up period (Kshirsagar et al., 2007). In a Phase II study in the Gambia and Mali where 200 toddlers received the PsA-TT, the five SAEs reported were unrelated to the study vaccines (Sow et al., 2011). More extensive evaluation during Phase III trials enrolling infants found mild, transient local reactions, including pain and tenderness at the injection site, to be the most common adverse event observed (Sow et al., 2011; Enwere et al. 2015; Tapia et al., 2015b; WHO, 2015b). In addition, lessons learned from monitoring safety during and following multiple PsA-TT mass-vaccination campaigns in low-resource settings have been reported (Diomandé et al., 2015; Enwere et al. 2015; Vannice et al., 2015; Ateudjieu et al., 2016;), highlighting the need for robust surveillance efforts to assess post-introduction safety.

Of note, an assessment of meningococcal A vaccination during pregnancy found an acceptable safety profile and no concern about adverse birth outcomes (Wak et al., 2015; WHO, 2015b).

8.2.3 Meningococcal quadrivalent ACWY conjugate vaccines

Meningococcal quadrivalent ACWY vaccines conjugated to diphtheria toxin (MenACWY-D) were introduced in the United States in 2005 and meningococcal quadrivalent ACWY vaccines conjugated to CRM (MenACWY-CRM) were licensed in 2010.

Prior to introduction of MenACWY-D vaccines, they had been administered to thousands of individuals during pre-licensure studies and found to be safe and well-tolerated (Campbell et al., 2002; Keyserling et al., 2005; Lagos et al., 2005; Pichichero et al., 2005). In randomized studies of both polysaccharide and conjugate quadrivalent vaccines among 11-18 year olds in the United States, local reactions were more common in the adolescent conjugate vaccine recipients (72.3%) than in the adolescent polysaccharide vaccine recipients (34.7%) (Keyserling et al., 2005). A study enrolling 2–10 year old children in Chile and the United States, found similar frequencies of reported adverse events among conjugate vaccine recipients compared to polysaccharide vaccine recipients (Lagos et al., 2005; Pichichero et al., 2005). The overall frequency of systemic reactions among adolescents and children was similar for both conjugate and polysaccharide vaccine recipients (Keyserling et al., 2005; Pichichero et al., 2005). Serious systemic reactions, including high fevers or headache, fatigue, malaise, chills or arthralgias requiring bed rest, anorexia (missing three or more meals), three or more episodes of vomiting, or five or more episodes of diarrhoea, or the presence of rash or seizures, occurred in less than 5% of conjugate or polysaccharide vaccine recipients in these studies.

Between March 2005 and April 2007, multiple confirmed cases of GBS with onset within six weeks of quadrivalent polysaccharide-diphtheria conjugate vaccination were reported to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) (CDC MMWR, 2006; WHO, 2007a). An increased rate of GBS has not been observed after vaccination with meningococcal quadrivalent polysaccharide or diphtheria toxoids, the two principal components of quadrivalent polysaccharide-diphtheria conjugate vaccine. The suggestion of a small increased risk of GBS after the administration of quadrivalent polysaccharide-diphtheria conjugate vaccine via a passive surveillance system like VAERS, given the inherent limitations of passive surveillance and the uncertainty regarding background incidence rates for GBS, must be viewed with caution and investigated further. Using health plan and claims data, Velentgas and colleagues (2012) conducted a follow-up study in a large retrospective cohort of 12.6 million adolescents aged 11–21 years between 2005 and 2008. While no confirmed cases of GBS were documented within six weeks of vaccination, the analysis, including approximately 1.4 million MenACWY-D vaccinations, estimated that the attributable risk for GBS ranged from zero to 1.5 additional cases of GBS per 1 million vaccines within the six-week period following vaccination (Velentgas et al., 2012). Further follow-up through the Vaccine Safety Datalink from January 2005 to March 2010 did not identify any cases of GBS in the 1-42 days following vaccination after 889 684 vaccine doses of MenACWY-D were administered (Cohn et al., 2013). Based on this evidence, the United States Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) "determined that the potential small increased risk for GBS post-MenACWY-D vaccination was outweighed by the protection that the vaccine offers against meningococcal disease" and removed the precaution for individuals with a history of GBS (Cho et al., 2010; Cohn et al., 2013).

8.3 Meningococcal B vaccines

While the meningococcal B multi-component protein-based vaccines have been licensed relatively recently compared to other meningococcal vaccines, several clinical trials and studies have evaluated the safety profile of both 4CMenB (Vesikari et al., 2013; Findlow et al., 2015) and MenB-fHbp (Richmond et al., 2012; Vesikari et al. 2016; Fiorito et al., 2018) meningococcal B vaccines.

Based on data from seven clinical trials evaluating MenB-fHbp vaccine safety among more than 4000 participants, a review by the ACIP found no significant increase in the risk for SAEs among adolescents aged 10–25 years (ACIP, 2014; FDA, 2014; MacNeil et al., 2015; Patton et al., 2017). However, common adverse events following MenB-fHbp vaccination included pain at the injection site, fatigue, headache, myalgia and chills that were reported among a high proportion of participants (Patton et al., 2017 FDA, 2014; Vesikari et al., 2016).

A recent systematic review of 10 clinical trials and eight additional studies of 4CMenB vaccine found that the most commonly reported mild-to-moderate adverse events included a significant increase in fever, pain at the injection site and any local or systemic reaction when compared with other vaccines (Flacco et al., 2018). In addition, the authors noted that cases of SAEs, including febrile convulsions, arthritis and Kawasaki disease, were reported, although further investigation would be needed to assess the potential causal relationship and to quantify the impact of 4CMenB vaccination on the incidence of these outcomes (Flacco et al., 2018). A mass-vaccination campaign implemented in Canada identified only two SAEs (bronchospasms) following vaccine administration to more than 43 000 vaccinees, although nearly all recipients experienced pain at the injection site (de Serres et al., 2014).

Since 4CMenB introduction in the infant vaccination schedule in the United Kingdom in 2015, there has been an increase in medically attended fever (Kapur et al., 2017; Ladhani & Riordan, 2017; Murdoch et al., 2017; Nainani et al. 2017; Harcourt et al., 2018). An estimated 50–60% of infants experience a fever following administration of 4CMenB along with other routine vaccinations, and the United Kingdom recommended concomitant administration of paracetamol prophylactically, that has been shown to significantly reduce the occurrence of fever among infants receiving 4CMenB (Martin & Snape, 2013). However, no major safety concerns have been identified by the Medicine and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency among those receiving the first 3 million doses administered after roll-out (Ladhani et al., 2018). Through a comprehensive, prospective assessment of outcomes among the 1.29 million infants immunized through the United Kingdom immunization programme, from its start in 2015 until mid-2017, researchers used an extensive passive surveillance system to determine whether any safety concerns warranted additional follow-up. Reassuringly, no significant concerns were identified (Bettinger et al., 2018; Bryan et al., 2018). Additional post-licensure surveillance will be needed to continue to monitor the safety and efficacy of meningococcal B vaccines now that they are being recommended for routine use in several countries.

8.4 Combination vaccines

Combination vaccines that have been developed to deliver protection against multiple antigens in a single injection, undergo the same level of rigorous testing in clinical trials and evaluation in post-licensure studies, to assess their safety, as all new vaccine formulations. Studies have been undertaken to evaluate the safety profile of both the combined Hib/MenC TT conjugate vaccine (Hib-MenC-TT) and the combined Hib/MenCY TT conjugate vaccine (Hib-MenCY-TT). Clinical trials and more recent follow-up studies have not raised any significant safety concerns for either vaccine (Schmitt et al., 2007; Tejedor et al., 2007; Habermehl et al., 2010; Marchant et al., 2010; Nolan et al., 2011). For example, from pre-licensure studies with vaccination at two, three and four months (Schmitt et al., 2007) or at two, four and six months (Tejedor et al., 2007), administered concomitantly with DTaP-HBV-IPV, redness at the injection site and drowsiness/irritability were the most commonly-reported local and general solicited adverse events, with no differences in reports compared to the MCC conjugate vaccine group. There were no SAEs deemed to result from the combined Hib-MenC-TT vaccine; evaluation of the Hib-MenCY-TT vaccine found an acceptable safety profile compared to Hib-TT (Nolan et al., 2011).

9. Concomitant use

The success of conjugate vaccines has led to the introduction of meningococcal conjugate vaccines into the immunization schedules of numerous countries. In addition, the recent development of meningococcal B multi-component protein vaccines has also led to their inclusion in both infant and adolescent schedules in various countries.

Incorporating new vaccines into an existing recommended schedule of vaccinations raises questions about whether the concomitant administration of multiple antigens and vaccine formulations might interfere with robust immune responses, and whether coadministration may lead to enhanced immune responses, or whether there will be no difference. In addition, questions about whether additional safety concerns might be raised when vaccines are coadministered at various ages must also be addressed. Research into these questions must take into consideration, in particular, the age at vaccination and the number and type of vaccines that are coadministered with a given meningococcal vaccine. To address any potential concerns, studies must be undertaken to compare the safety and immunogenicity of each meningococcal vaccine formulation administered concomitantly with other infant, adolescent or adult vaccines, compare to each meningococcal vaccine formulation administered alone.

Overall, evidence suggests that concomitant administration of meningococcal vaccines with a wide range of other vaccines is feasible, safe and effective. Different sites of administration should be used for each vaccine, and specific guidance issued by manufacturers should be consulted for further information prior to administration (WHO, 2011).

This is an area of active inquiry, as new vaccine formulations are tested in combination with routinely administered existing vaccines and new combination vaccinations are developed. For some vaccine formulations, such as MCV4 vaccines, more evidence is available relative to other vaccines given the longer history of their use. For example, a recent review of 10 Phase III and post-licensure Phase IV studies did not find evidence of reduced immune responses to MCV4-CRM197 vaccines after coadministration with multiple other routinely administered vaccines among infants, adolescents, or adults (Gaspirini et al., 2016). Less evidence is available for the recently licensed MenB vaccines, but interest in these questions persists as recommendations for routine vaccination using these vaccines are implemented. Below, a subset of the growing body of evidence aimed at addressing these questions is summarized.

9.1 Coadministration in infants, toddlers and young children

Meningococcal C conjugate vaccines, conjugated to either TT or to CRM197, have been evaluated extensively to understand whether coadministration with routinely administered infant vaccines may alter their immunogenicity. For instance, the utilization of whole-cell pertussis (wP) versus acellular pertussis (aP) vaccine has been shown to affect the magnitude of the rSBA response following vaccination with MCC-TT (Kitchin et al., 2007). In this randomized study, infants received either a wP or aP vaccine, and each group was further randomized to receive either an MCC-CRM197 or MCC-TT vaccine. There were no differences in the proportion of subjects with rSBA titres \geq 8 following the accelerated two, three and four-month schedule, but the rSBA GMT was significantly lower for the MCC-TT conjugate group when administered with aP versus wP vaccine. No effect on the MCC-CRM197 conjugate vaccine response was demonstrated, regardless of whether aP or wP vaccines were coadministered. A randomized study of pre-school (3.5–6 year old) children administered one of three MCC vaccines either concomitantly with, before, or after vaccination with diphtheriatetanus (DT) or tetanus diphtheria toxoid (Td) booster vaccines, showed all three MCC vaccines were highly immunogenic in any combination with DT or Td vaccines (Burrage et al., 2002). The rSBA GMT among the MCC-TT vaccinees was lower when DT or Td was administered before the conjugate vaccine, although levels remained high among all groups.

Coadministration of the combined DTaP-IPV-HB-PRP-T hexavalent vaccine at two, three and four months, with MCC-TT vaccine at two and four months, among infants aged 46–74 days did not provide evidence of an altered immune response to MenC (with 100% of participants demonstrating an rSBA titre \geq 8 one month after the second dose) or raise any safety concerns (Vesikari et al., 2017). Coadministration of MCC vaccine with routine administration of DTaP-IPV-Hib vaccine plus RotaTeq® vaccine (administered as a 3-dose oral vaccine) to infants aged 6–7 weeks old, produced comparable immune responses compared with sequential administration of MenC and RotaTeq vaccines (Vesikari et al., 2011). However, the authors did note that "concomitant administration of the first doses of MCC, diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine, inactivated poliovirus vaccine, and *Haemophilus influenzae* type b conjugate vaccine (DTaP-IPV-Hib) and RotaTeq was associated with a higher rate of vomiting and diarrhoea than concomitant administration of MCC and DTaP-IPV-Hib, but that was not observed after the second concomitant administration" (Vesikari et al., 2011).

Questions surrounding the possible interaction of meningococcal vaccines with other routinely administered vaccines have been extended to investigate whether combination vaccines containing MCC can be coadministered along with other vaccines offered in infant vaccination programmes. For example, a study of MCC-Hib combination vaccine administered along with PCV7 and MMR vaccine, compared with administering MCC-Hib separately, was undertaken among 12 month olds; the researchers found few notable differences in safety and immunogenicity, although there was a tendency towards higher immunogenicity and lower post-vaccination fever with coadministration (Miller et al., 2011).

Other studies have investigated the response to MCC vaccines administered with PCV13 compared to PCV7 (Diez-Domingo et al., 2013) and administered with or without MMRV vaccines (Durando et al., 2016) and found no concerns respecting the robustness of the immune response.

Similarly, studies that have investigated the concomitant use of MCV4 vaccines with other routine and recently-introduced vaccines, did not report any clinically meaningful differences in meningococcal antibody responses among infants when MCV4-CRM was administered with routine vaccinations (Abdelnour et al., 2014; Nolan et al., 2014; Tregnaghi et al., 2014) or among toddlers when MCV4-CRM was administered with MMRV (Klein et al., 2012).

With the recent development of meningococcal B vaccines, efforts to protect infants against as many strains of meningococci capable of causing invasive disease as possible, have led to studies of the effect of coadministration of MCC vaccine alongside MenB vaccines. A study of MCC-CRM and 4CMenB found non-inferior MCC responses and sufficient MenB responses (against fHbp, NadA, PorA) among infants aged 83–104 days compared to administration of MCC-CRM alone, though mild and moderate adverse events were reported more frequently with concomitant administration (Safadi et al., 2017).

A number of studies have investigated the concomitant administration of MenB vaccines with routine infant vaccinations, given the introduction of 4CMenB into the routine infant vaccination schedule in the United Kingdom, and its consideration elsewhere. In general, studies have found that 4CMenB is immunogenic against vaccine reference strains when administered in different dosing schedules alongside routine infant vaccines, but that reactogenicity is increased with concomitant administration (Gossger et al., 2012; Vesikari et al., 2013; Chiu et al., 2018). To address concerns about increased adverse events, primarily fevers, following coadministration with MenB vaccines, studies have investigated the potential benefits of giving infants paracetamol prior to vaccination. By comparing infants randomized to receive four doses of 4CMenB along with DTaP-HBV-IPV/Hib and PCV7, with or without prophylactic paracetamol, to one another and to a group receiving only MenC vaccine, researchers found that immune responses to 4CMenB were not decreased by the use of paracetamol prophylaxis and there were no clinically relevant effects on immune responses to routine vaccines. In addition, occurrence of fever was higher in infants coadministered with 4CMenB compared with those given MCC vaccine, but was significantly decreased by prophylactic paracetamol, as were other solicited reactions to vaccination, both local and systemic (Prymula et al., 2014).

9.2 Coadministration in adolescents

Overall, evidence suggests that coadministration of MCV4 vaccines or MenB vaccines with human papillomavirus (HPV), DTap, Tdap, or with each other, elicited similar immune responses as measured by SBA titres, though the specific reference strain used and the definition of non-inferiority differed between studies, as described below. As noted above, administration of DT or Td prior to MCC-TT, resulted in lower rSBA GMTs among 13–18 year olds in a randomized trial, although levels remained higher than the threshold for putative protection (Burrage et al., 2002). There is significant interest in coadministration of adolescent vaccines because of the potential to increase vaccine uptake and sustain high vaccination coverage by delivering multiple vaccines during a single health-care encounter. Among adolescents aged 11–18 years who received one dose of bivalent rLP2086 (recombinant meningococcal B vaccine) concurrently with DTaP/IPV (diphtheria, tetanus and acellular pertussis and inactivated poliovirus vaccine) followed by two more MenB vaccine doses, 55–100% of vaccinees exhibited an hSBA response over the pre-specified thresholds when the hSBA assays were quantified for four MenB reference strains expressing fHbp proteins different from the antigens used to develop the vaccine (Vesikari et al., 2016). These responses were evaluated one month after the second and third doses (Vesikari et al., 2016); however, this trial did not include a bivalent rLP2086-only group for comparison.

In a study of adolescents aged 11–15 years, participants who received three doses of 9vHPV (9-valent human papillomavirus) vaccine administered concomitantly (both doses on day one) with either MCV4 or Tdap, were compared with those who received their MCV4 and Tdap doses at month one (non-concomitantly). The researchers reported that the proportion of participants with a fourfold rise, or greater, in the rSBA titres for each of the MenA, C, W and Y serogroups in the concomitant administration group, were non-inferior to those who received MCV4 and Tdap one month after starting the HPV9 series, when evaluated one month after MCV4 receipt. However, local reactions, primarily injection-site swelling, were more common in the group that received the vaccines concomitantly (Schilling et al., 2015).

Among adolescents aged 11–17 years who received three doses of bivalent rLP2086 coadministered with three doses of HPV-4 vaccine, the non-inferiority of the hSBA response against two MenB strains well matched to the vaccine, evaluated one month after the third dose, was demonstrated (Senders et al., 2016).

Among adolescent girls aged 11–18 years who received three doses of HPV-2 (human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted) vaccine coadministered with MCV4 at month 0 or HPV vaccine coadministered with both MCV and Tdap at month 0 compared to MCV administered alone, non-inferiority of rSBA-MenA, rSBA-MenC, rSBA-MenY and rSBA-MenW geometric mean antibody titres (GMTs), evaluated one month after the MCV dose, was demonstrated (Wheeler et al., 2011).

Efforts to provide broad protection against as many disease-causing strains of *N. meningitis* as possible, as early in adolescence as possible, would require administration of both MCV4 vaccines and MenB vaccines. In a Phase II trial among 10–13 year olds, researchers investigated concomitant administration of bivalent rLP2086 and MCV4 and Tdap vaccines and found that "bivalent rLP2086 given concomitantly with MCV4 + Tdap met all non-inferiority immunogenicity criteria without a clinically meaningful increase in reactogenicity" (Muse et al., 2016).

9.3 Coadministration in Adults

Coadministration of meningococcal vaccines among adults primarily takes place in the context of vaccines administered prior to travel, given that some countries recommend meningococcal vaccination for travellers of all ages to areas of increased risk of meningococcal disease. Recent studies have investigated administration of MenACWY-CRM conjugate vaccines alongside other common travel vaccines, including typhoid Vi polysaccharide, live attenuated yellow-fever (YF) vaccine, adjuvanted Japanese encephalitis (JE) vaccine, a purified chick embryo cell-culture rabies vaccine, hepatitis A and hepatitis B vaccine, among adults aged 18–60 (Alberer et al., 2014; Alberer et al., 2015a; Alberer et al., 2015b). The researchers reported that coadministration with any of these vaccines did not compromise the immunogenicity of meningococcal vaccine when evaluated in the month following vaccination using hSBA assays; coadministration also did not raise any safety concerns (Alberer et al., 2014; Alberer et al., 2015a).
10. Effect of vaccines against carriage

10.1 Polysaccharide vaccines

A systematic review identified twenty-five studies that examined the effect of meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines on meningococcal carriage (Dellicour & Greenwood, 2007). While it was noted that many studies had methodological weaknesses, there was evidence that in high-risk groups in industrialized countries, such as military recruits, meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines reduced carriage prevalence at least in the short term. The results were less clear for civilian populations, with only one of five studies in Africa showing a significant reduction in carriage. Substantial herd protection has not been observed following widespread polysaccharide vaccination, suggesting that effects on carriage are very limited.

10.2 Conjugate vaccines

In general, the effect of conjugate vaccines on meningococcal carriage has been measured using observational studies rather than randomized controlled clinical trials. These before-and-after vaccine studies for monovalent conjugate vaccines, against serogroups C and A, have demonstrated a marked reduction in the prevalence of carriage in vaccinated compared with unvaccinated populations.

In the United Kingdom, extensive carriage studies in teenagers were conducted between 1999 and 2001 immediately before the introduction of MCC vaccines; then at one and two years after vaccination (Maiden et al., 2008). Across all three years, over 48 000 participants were swabbed and 8599 meningococci were isolated. Although carriage of group C was relatively rare, there was a significant reduction in prevalence of meningococci that expressed serogroup C capsule, and also in carriage of meningococci that contained the siaDC gene responsible for C capsule production (rate ratio, 0.19 and 0.46, respectively; P < .001 for both). Vaccine effectiveness against serogroup C carriage was estimated to be 75% (95% CI: 23–92%). There was no evidence of replacement with other virulent meningococci in either carriage (Maiden et al., 2008) or disease (Trotter et al, 2006).

The findings from MCC vaccines and the generation of substantial herd protection that resulted from declines in carriage prevalence, particularly by targeting teenagers in whom carriage prevalence is highest (Trotter & Maiden, 2009) were influential in the design of vaccine strategies for serogroup A conjugate vaccines in Africa. It was important to demonstrate that the anticipated herd effects would also be observed against serogroup A in the African meningitis belt, and so carriage studies across the meningitis belt were established before PsA-TT introduction (Kristiansen et al., 2011; MenAfriCar Consortium, 2013). In Burkina Faso, cross-sectional meningococcal carriage studies in 1–29 year olds were performed in three districts before and up to 13 months after vaccination. Compared with a baseline serogroup A carriage prevalence of 0.39%, no serogroup A meningococci were identified after vaccination (Kristiansen et al., 2013). In Chad, 32 serogroup A carriers were identified in 4278 age-stratified individuals (0.75% prevalence) living in a rural area near the capital 2–4 months before vaccination, whereas only one serogroup A meningococcus was isolated from 5001 people living in the same community 4–6 months after vaccination (adjusted odds ratio 0.019, 95% CI: 0.002–0.138; p<0.0001) (Daugla et al., 2014). In Burkina Faso, carriage of serogroup A meningococci remained rare two years after MenAfriVacTM introduction, with only one carrier identified out of 4964 individuals swabbed (Kristiansen et al., 2014).

The effect of quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccines on carriage was measured in a randomized controlled trial in England (Read et al., 2014). Significantly lower carriage rates were observed in the MenACWY-CRM group compared with controls. There was a 39% (95% CI: 17–55%) carriage reduction for serogroup Y and 36% (95% CI: 15–52%) carriage reduction for serogroup CWY. An uncontrolled observational study of University students in Nottingham, United Kingdom, found that carriage prevalence of serogroup W meningococci increased between September (15%, n=786) and March (46%, n=288) despite vaccine coverage of 71% in this population (Oldfield et al., 2018).

10.3 Protein vaccines

Three randomized controlled trials of OMV vaccines did not show any impact on carriage with serogroup B meningococci (Dellicour & Greenwood, 2007). The 4CMenB vaccine was evaluated in a three arm randomized controlled trial among university students aged 18-24 years from ten sites in England. Individuals were allocated to receive two doses one month apart of JE vaccine (controls), 4CMenB, or one dose of MenACWY-CRM then placebo. No specific effect on carriage of group B meningococci was observed (Read et al., 2014). From three months after dose two, there was a significant reduction in carriage of any meningococcal strain (18%, 95% CI: 3-31%) in the 4CMenB arm compared to controls. In South Australia, a cluster randomized study was used to assign, according to school, students in years 10–12 (aged 15–18 years) to receive 4CMenB vaccination either at baseline (intervention) or at 12 months (control) (Marshall et al., 2020). The primary outcome was oropharyngeal carriage of disease-causing meningococci (group A, B, C, W, X, or Y) in students in years 10 and 11, as identified by PCR assays for PorA and genogroups. A total of 24 269 students in years 10 and 11 and 10 220 students in year 12, were enrolled. At 12 months, there was no difference in the prevalence of carriage of disease-causing meningococci between the vaccination group (2.55%; 326 of 12 746) and the control group (2.52%; 291 of 11 523) (adjusted odds ratio, 1.02; 95% CI: 0.80–1.31; P = 0.85). Among Australian adolescents, 4CMenB had no discernible effect on the carriage of disease-causing meningococci, including group B. A further study of the effect of 4CMenB and MenB-fHbp on carriage is underway in United Kingdom teenagers.

10.4 Mechanism of action

Although the ability of conjugate vaccines to reduce the acquisition of carriage (certainly of serogroups A, C and Y) has been demonstrated, leading to remarkable herd protection, the mechanisms by which this is achieved have not been clearly elucidated. There are no established correlates of protection against meningococcal carriage, particularly as vaccine evaluation relies on measures of serum antibody, and not activity at the mucosal surfaces. A study in Ethiopia has shown that serogroup-specific IgG antibody levels in saliva increased significantly after vaccination with both monovalent A and quadrivalent ACWY vaccines, with the former also inducing increased salivary IgA antibodies. Serogroup-specific IgG concentrations in saliva and serum were found to correlate (Barnes et al., 2016).

11. Implications for immunization

A diverse range of meningococcal vaccination programmes designed to reduce the burden of meningococcal disease are now in place across the world. Given that the epidemiology of disease varies considerably by time, place and age group, there is no, so-called, one-size fits all policy. Mass campaigns targeting 1–29 year olds with PsA-TT were appropriate for the high-burden African meningitis belt, but in settings with a lower burden of disease, vaccines may be more suitably directed towards selected age groups or at-risk populations. Policy-makers should consider not only the burden of disease, but also the epidemiology of carriage, in their decisions about recommended vaccination strategies when considering vaccines that prevent or reduce carriage. Particularly for conjugate vaccines, the benefits of indirect (herd) protection, as a result of reductions in carriage and transmission, have been profound, and potentially have a greater impact on reducing disease than the benefits of direct protection against disease. Two large studies to date have shown no effect of 4CMenB on carriage of either genogroup B or all pathogenic genogroups (Read et al., 2014; Marshall et al., 2020). Further studies are underway to evaluate the effect of other multicomponent serogroup B vaccines on carriage. The future development of affordable multivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccines will strengthen our ability to prevent epidemics due to serogroups other than A, reducing the need for inefficient (though currently essential) reactive vaccination campaigns and vaccine stockpiles. High-quality post-licensure surveillance systems for meningococcal vaccines, licensed on the basis of limited safety and immunogenicity data, are essential to confirm vaccine safety, effectiveness, duration of protection and mode of action. Vaccine strategies and policies should adapt over time as more evidence is gathered and lessons are learned about the optimal use of meningococcal vaccines.

12. References

Aase A, Bjune G, Høiby EA, Rosenqvist E, Pedersen AK, Michaelsen TE (1995). Comparison among opsonic activity, antimeningococcal immunoglobulin G response, and serum bactericidal activity against meningococci in sera from vaccinees after immunization with a serogroup B outer membrane vesicle vaccine. *Infect Immun*, 63:3531–3536.

Aase A, Høiby EA, Michaelsen TE (1998). Opsonophagocytic and bactericidal activity mediated by purified IgG subclass antibodies after vaccination with the Norwegian group B meningococcal vaccine. *Scand J Immunol*, 47:388–396.

Abad R, López EL, Debbag R, Vàzquez JA (2014). Serogroup W meningococcal disease: global spread and current effect on the Southern Cone in Latin America. *Epidemiol Infect*, 142:2461–2670.

Abdelnour A, Silas P, Valdés Lamas MR, Aragón C, Chiu N, Chiu et al. (2014). Safety of a quadrivalent meningococcal serogroups A, C, W and Y conjugate vaccine (MenACWY-CRM) administered with routine infant vaccinations: results of an openlabel, randomized, phase 3b controlled study in healthy infants. *Vaccine*, 32:965–972.

Abeyagunawardena AS, Goldblatt D, Andrews N, Trompeter RS (2003). Risk of relapse after meningococcal C conjugate vaccine in nephrotic syndrome. *Lancet*, 362:449–450.

Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (2014). Grading of recommendations, assessment, development, and evaluation (GRADE) for use of serogroup B meningococcal (MenB) vaccines in persons at increased risk for serogroup B meningococcal disease. Atlanta, GA: Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (<u>https://www.cdc.gov/</u><u>vaccines/acip/recs/grade/mening-serogroup-b.html</u> last accessed 29 June 2018).

Aku FY, Lessa FC, Asiedu-Bekoe F, Balagumyetime P, Ofosu W, Farrar J et al. (2017). Meningitis outbreak caused by vaccine-preventable bacterial pathogens - Northern Ghana, 2016. MMWR. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 66:806–810.

Ala'aldeen DAA, Oldfield NJ, Bidmos FA, Abouseada NM, Ahmed NW, Turner DPJ et al. (2011). Carriage of meningococci by university students, United Kingdom. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 17:1762–1763.

Alberer M, Burchard G, Jelinek T, Reisinger E, Beran J, Meyer S et al. (2014). Co-administration of a meningococcal glycoconjugate ACWY vaccine with travel vaccines: a randomized, open-label, multi-center study. *Travel Med Infect Dis*, 12:485–493. Alberer M, Burchard G, Jelinek T, Reisinger E, Beran J, Hlavata LC et al. (2015a). Safety and immunogenicity of typhoid fever and yellow fever vaccines when administered concomitantly with quadrivalent meningococcal ACWY glycoconjugate vaccine in healthy adults. *J Travel Med*, 22:48–56.

Alberer M, Burchard G, Jelinek T, Reisinger E, Meyer S, Forleo-Neto E et al. (2015b). Immunogenicity and safety of concomitant administration of a combined hepatitis A/B vaccine and a quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine in healthy adults. *J Travel Med*, 22:105–114.

Al-Mazrou Y, Khalil M, Borrow R, Balmer P, Bramwell J, Lal G et al. (2005). Serologic responses to ACYW135 polysaccharide meningococcal vaccine in Saudi children under 5 years of age. *Infect Immun*, 73:2932–2939.

Alashkar F, Vance C, Herich-Terhürne D, Preising N, Dührsen U, Röth A et al. (2017). Serologic response to meningococcal vaccination in patients with paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH) chronically treated with the terminal complement inhibitor eculizumab. *Ann Hematol*, 96:589–596.

Ambrosch F, Wiedermann G, Crooy P, George AM (1983). Immunogenicity and side-effects of a new tetravalent meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine. *Bull World Health Organ*, 61:317–323.

Amir J, Louie L, Granoff DM (2005). Naturally-acquired immunity to Neisseria meningitidis group A. Vaccine, 23:977–983.

Andrade AL, Minamisava R, Tomich LM, Lemos AP, Gorla MC, De Cunto Brandileone MC et al. (2017). Impact of meningococcal C conjugate vaccination four years after introduction of routine childhood immunization in Brazil. *Vaccine*, 35:2025–2033.

Andrews N, Borrow R, Miller E (2003). Validation of serological correlate of protection for meningococcal C conjugate vaccine by using efficacy estimates from post-licensure surveillance in England. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*, 10:780–786.

Andrews N, Stowe J, Miller E, Taylor B (2007). Post-licensure safety of the meningococcal group C conjugate vaccine. *Hum Vaccin*, 3:59–63.

Arnold R, Galloway Y, McNicholas A, O'Hallahan J (2011). Effectiveness of a vaccination programme for an epidemic of meningococcal B in New Zealand. *Vaccine*, 29:7100–7106.

Artenstein MS, Gold R, Zimmerley JG, Wyle FA, Schneider H, Harkins C (1970). Prevention of meningococcal disease by group C polysaccharide vaccine. *N Engl J Med*, 282:417–420.

Aseffa A, Bedru A, Yamuah L, Arga D, Worku A, Chandramohan D et al. (2007). Safety of a trivalent meningococcal ACW135 vaccine among young children in Ethiopia. *Vaccine*, 25:A79–A82.

Ateudjieu J, Stoll B, Nguefack-Tsague G, Yakum MN, Menguo MN, Genton B (2016). Incidence and types of adverse events during mass vaccination campaign with the meningococcal a conjugate vaccine (MENAFRIVACTM) in Cameroon. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*, 25:1170–1178.

Auckland C, Gray S, Borrow R, Andrews N, Goldblatt D, Ramsay M et al. (2006). Clinical and immunologic risk factors for meningococcal C conjugate vaccine failure in the United Kingdom. *J Infect Dis*, 194:1745–1752.

The Australian Immunisation Handbook 10th Edition. (2017) (<u>http://www.immunise.</u> <u>health.gov.au/internet/immunise/publishing.nsf/</u>Content/Handbook10-home last accessed 2 July 2018).

Bakir M, Yagci A, Ulger N, Akbenlioglu C, Ilki A, Soyletir G (2001). Asymptomatic carriage of *Neisseria meningitidis* and *Neisseria lactamica* in relation to *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae* colonization in healthy children: apropos of 1400 children sampled. *Eur J Epidemiol*, 17:1015–1018.

Balmer P, Borrow R (2004a). Serologic correlates of protection for evaluating the response to meningococcal vaccines. *Expert Rev Vaccines*, 3:77–87.

Balmer P, Falconer M, McDonald P, Andrews N, Fuller E, Riley C et al. (2004b). Immune response to meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccine in asplenic individuals. *Infect Immun*, 72:332–337.

Barington T, Hougs L, Juul L, Madsen HO, Ryder LP, Heilmann C et al. (1996). The progeny of a single virgin B cell predominates the human recall B cell response to the capsular polysaccharide of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b. *J Immunol (Baltimore, Md.: 1950)*, 157:4016–4027.

Bårnes GK, Kristiansen PA, Caugant DA, Næss LM (2015). Development and evaluation of a multiplex microsphere assay for quantitation of IgG and IgA antibodies against *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup A, C, W, and Y polysaccharides. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 22:697–705.

Bårnes GK, Workalemahu B, Kristiansen PA, Beyene D, Merdekios B, Fissiha P et al. (2016). Salivary and serum antibody response against *Neisseria meningitidis* after vaccination with conjugate polysaccharide vaccines in Ethiopian volunteers. *Scand J Immunol*, 84:118–129.

Basta NE, Borrow R, Berthe A, Dembélé AT, Onwuchekwa U, Townsend K et al. (2015). Population-level persistence of immunity 2 years after the PsA-TT mass-vaccination campaign in Mali. *Clin Infect Dis*, 61(Suppl 5): S547–S553.

Basta NE, Mahmoud AAF, Wolfson J, Ploss A, Heller BL, Hanna S et al. (2016). Immunogenicity of meningococcal B vaccine 4CMenB during a university outbreak. *N Engl J Med*, 375:220–228.

Baxendale HE, Davis Z, White HN, Spellerberg MB, Stevenson FK, Goldblatt D (2000). Immunogenetic analysis of the immune response to pneumococcal polysaccharide. *Eur J Immunol*, 30:1214–1223.

Bentsi-Enchill AD, Zongo I, Khamassi S, Pless R, Thombiano R, Tiéndrebéogo S et al. (2007). Monitoring of adverse events during the 2003 mass vaccination campaign with a trivalent meningococcal A/C/W135 polysaccharide vaccine in Burkina Faso. *Vaccine*, 25:A72–A78.

Bernbaum JC, Daft A, Anolik R, Samuelson J, Barkin R, Douglas S et al. (1985). Response of preterm infants to diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis immunizations. *J Pediatr*, 107:184–188.

Bettinger J (2018). Reassuring safety data from the UK multicomponent meningococcal group B vaccine (4CMenB) programme. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*, 2:380–381.

Bexsero® Summary Product Characteristics (SPC). (<u>https://www.medicines.org.uk/</u> <u>emc/medicine/28407/SPC/Bexsero+Meningococcal+Group+B+vaccine+for+injection</u> <u>+in+pre-filled+syringe/</u> last accessed 2 July 2018).

Bilukha OO, Rosenstein N (2005). Prevention and control of meningococcal disease. Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep, CDC Surveillance Summaries/ Centers for Disease Control*.54:1–21.

Binkin N, Band J (1982). Epidemic of meningococcal meningitis in Bamako, Mali: epidemiological features and analysis of vaccine efficacy. *Lancet*, 2:315–318.

Bjune G, Høiby EA, Grønnesby JK, Arnesen O, Fredriksen JH, Halstensen A et al. (1991). Effect of outer membrane vesicle vaccine against group B meningococcal disease in Norway. *Lancet*, 338:1093–1096.

Boisier P, Nicolas P, Djibo S, Hamidou AA, Tenebray B, Borrow R et al. (2006). Carriage of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup W135 ST-2881. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 12:1421–1423.

Boisier P, Nicolas P, Djibo S, Taha M-K, Jeanne I, Maïnassara HB et al. (2007). Meningococcal meningitis: unprecedented incidence of serogroup X-related cases in 2006 in Niger. *Clin Infect Dis*, 44:657–663.

Bona G, Castiglia P, Zoppi G, de Martino M, Tasciotti A, D'Agostino D et al. (2016). Safety and immunogenicity of a CRM or TT conjugated meningococcal vaccine in healthy toddlers. *Vaccine*, 34:3363–3370.

Booy R, Jelfs J, El Bashir H, Nissen MD (2007). Impact of meningococcal C conjugate vaccine use in Australia. *The Med J Aust*, 186:108–109.

Borrow R, Miller E (2006). Surrogates of Protection. In: Frosch M, Maiden MJ, editors. Handbook of Meningococcal Disease. Weinheim, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co., KggA: 323-341.

Borrow R, Balmer P (2010). The immunological basis for immunization series. Module 15: Meningococcal disease. Geneva, World Health Organization.

Borrow R, Fox AJ, Richmond PC, Clark S, Sadler F, et al. (2000a). Induction of immunological memory in UK infants by a meningococcal A/C conjugate vaccine. *Epidemiol Infect*, 124:427–432.

Borrow R, Joseph H, Andrews N, Acuna M, Longworth E, Martin S et al. (2000b). Reduced antibody response to revaccination with meningococcal serogroup A polysaccharide vaccine in adults. *Vaccine*, 19:1129–1132. **Borrow R, Andrews N, Goldblatt D, Miller E (2001a).** Serological basis for use of meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccines in the United Kingdom: Reevaluation of correlates of protection. *Infect Immun*, 69:1568–1573.

Borrow R, Southern J, Andrews N, Peake N, Rahim R, Acuna M et al.(2001b). Comparison of antibody kinetics following meningococcalserogroup C conjugate vaccine between healthy adults previously vaccinated with meningococcal A/C polysaccharide vaccine and vaccine-naive controls. *Vaccine*, 19:3043–3050.

Borrow R, Goldblatt D, Andrews N, Southern J, Ashton L, Deane S et al. (2002). Antibody persistence and immunological memory at age 4 years after meningococcal group C conjugate vaccination in children in the United Kingdom. *J Infect Dis*, 186:1353–1357.

Borrow R, Goldblatt D, Finn A, Southern J, Ashton L, Andrews N et al. (2003). Immunogenicity of, and immunologic memory to, a reduced primary schedule of meningococcal C-tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine in infants in the United Kingdom. *Infect Immun*, 71:5549–5555.

Borrow R, Aaberge IS, Santos GF, Eudey TL, Oster P, Glennie A et al. (2005a). Interlaboratory standardization of the measurement of serum bactericidal activity by using human complement against meningococcal serogroup b, strain 44/76-SL, before and after vaccination with the Norwegian MenBvac outer membrane vesicle vaccine. *Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immunology*, 12:970–976.

Borrow R, Balmer P, Miller E (2005b). Meningococcal surrogates of protection – serum bactericidal antibody activity. *Vaccine*, 23:2222–2227.

Borrow R, Carlone GM, Rosenstein N, Blake M, Feavers I, Martin D et al. (2006a). Neisseria meningitidis group B correlates of protection and assay standardization – International Meeting Report Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, United States, 16–17 March 2005. Vaccine, 24:5093–5107

Borrow R, Findlow J, Balmer P, Yero D, Niebla O, Pajón R (2007). Lessons learnt from the meningococcal group C conjugate vaccination programme in England and Wales. In: Proceedings of Neisseria Vaccines. Varadero, Cuba, 16–19 April 2007.

Borrow R, Andrews N, Findlow H, Waight P, Southern J, Crowley-Luke A et al. (2010). Kinetics of antibody persistence following administration of a combination meningococcal serogroup C and *Haemophilus influenzae* type b conjugate vaccine in healthy UK infants primed with two doses of one of three monovalent meningococcal serogroup C vaccines. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 17:154–159.

Borrow R, Lee J-S, Vázquez JA, Enwere G, Taha M-K, Kamiya H et al. (2016). Meningococcal disease in the Asia-Pacific region: Findings and recommendations from the Global Meningococcal Initiative. *Vaccine*, 34:5855–5862.

Borrow R, Caugant DA, Ceyhan M, Christensen H, Dinleyici EC, Findlow J et al. (2017). Meningococcal disease in the Middle East and Africa: Findings and updates from the Global Meningococcal Initiative. *J Infect*, 75:1–11.

Bourdoulous S, Nassif X (2006). Mechanisms of attachment and invasion. In: Frosch M, Maiden MJ, editors. Handbook of Meningococcal Disease. *Weinheim*, *Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co., KggA:* 257-272.

Bradley-Moore AM, Greenwood BM, Bradley AK, Bartlett A, Bidwell DE, Voller A et al. (1985). Malaria chemoprophylaxis with chloroquine in young Nigerian children. II. Effect on the immune response to vaccination. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol*, 79:563–573.

Brandtzaeg P (1992). Humoral immune response patterns of human mucosae: induction and relation to bacterial respiratory tract infections. *J Infect Dis*, 165:S167–S176.

Branham SE (1953). Serological relationships among meningococci. *Bacteriol Rev*, 17:175–188.

Bröker M, Emonet S, Fazio C, Jacobsson S, Koliou M, Kuusi M et al. (2015). Meningococcal serogroup Y disease in Europe: continuation of high importance in some European regions in 2013. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*, 11:2281–2286.

Brookes C, Kuisma E, Alexander F, Allen L, Tipton T, Ram S et al. (2013). Development of a large scale human complement source for use in bacterial immunoassays. *J Immunol Methods*, 391:39–49.

Bruce MG, Rosenstein NE, Capparella JM, Shutt KA, Perkins BA, Collins M (2001). Risk factors for meningococcal disease in college students. *JAMA : the Journal of the American Medical Association*, 286:688–693.

Brynildsrud OB, Eldholm V, Bohlin J, Uadiale K, Obaro S, Caugant DA (2018). Acquisition of virulence genes by a carrier strain gave rise to the ongoing epidemics of meningococcal disease in West Africa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 115:5510–5515.

Burrage M, Robinson A, Borrow R, Andrews N, Southern J, Findlow J et al. (2002). Effect of vaccination with carrier protein on response to meningococcal C conjugate vaccines and value of different immunoassays as predictors of protection. *Infect Immun*, 70:4946–4954.

Byran P, Seabroke S, Wong J, Donegan K, Webb E, Goldsmith C et al. (2018). Safety of multicomponent meningococcal group B vaccine (4CMenB) in routine infant immunisation in the UK: a prospective surveillance study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*, 2:395–403.

Campagne G, Schuchat A, Djibo S, Ousséini A, Cissé L, Chippaux JP (1999). Epidemiology of bacterial meningitis in Niamey, Niger, 1981–1996. *Bull World Health Organ*, 77:499–508.

Campagne G, Garba A, Fabre P, Schuchat A, Ryall R, Boulanger D et al. (2000). Safety and immunogenicity of three doses of a *Neisseria meningitidis* A + C diphtheria conjugate vaccine in infants from Niger. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 19:144–150.

Campbell H, Parikh SR, Borrow R, Kaczmarski Ed, Ramsay ME, Ladhani SN (2016). Presentation with gastrointestinal symptoms and high case fatality associated with group W meningococcal disease (MenW) in teenagers, England, July 2015 to January 2016. *Eurosurveill*, 2016;21(12).

Campbell JD, Edelman R, King Jr JC, Papa T, Ryall R, Rennels MB (2002). Safety, reactogenicity and immunogenicity of a tetravalent meningococcal polysaccharidediphtheria toxoid conjugate vaccine given to healthy adults. *J Infect Dis*, 186:1848–1851.

Cantini F, Savino S, Scarselli M, Masignani V, Pizza M, Romagnoli G et al. (2006). Solution structure of the immunodominant domain of protective antigen GNA1870 of *Neisseria meningitidis*. J Biol Chem, 281:7220–7227.

Capecchi B, Serruto D, Adu-Bobie J, Rappuoli R, Pizza M (2004). The genome revolution in vaccine research. *Curr Issues Mol Biol*, 6:17–27.

Capecchi B, Adu-Bobie J, Di Marcello F, Ciucchi L, Masignani V, Taddei A et al. (2005). *Neisseria meningitidis* NadA is a new invasin which promotes bacterial adhesion to and penetration into human epithelial cells. *Mol Microbiol*, 55:687–698.

Carlone GM, Frasch CE, Siber GR, Quataert S, Gheesling LL, Turner SH et al. (1992). Multicenter comparison of levels of antibody to the *Neisseria meningitidis* group A capsular polysaccharide measured by using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *J Clin Microbiol*, 30:154–159.

Carmona A, Miranda M, Barrio F, De Vicente A, Mares J, Muñoz E et al. (2010). Reactogenicity and immunogenicity of combined *Haemophilus influenzae* type b-meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccine booster dose coadministered with measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 29:269-271.

Cartwright K, Morris R, Rümke H, Fox A, Borrow R, Begg N et al. (1999). Immunogenicity and reactogenicity in UK infants of a novel meningococcal vesicle vaccine containing multiple class 1 (PorA) outer membrane proteins. *Vaccine*, 17:2612–2619.

Cartwright KA, Stuart JM, Jones DM, Noah ND (1987). The Stonehouse Survey: nasopharyngeal carriage of meningococci and *Neisseria lactamica*. *Epidemiol Infect*, 99:591–601.

Cartwright KA, Jones DM, Smith AJ, Stuart JM, Kaczmarski EB, Palmer SR (1991). Influenza A and meningococcal disease. *Lancet*, 338:554–557.

Caugant D, Kristiansen PA, Wang X, Mayer LW, Taha M-K, Ouédraogo R et al. (2012). Molecular characterization of invasive meningococcal isolates from countries in the African meningitis belt before introduction of a serogroup A conjugate vaccine *PLoS One*, 7:e46019.

CDC MMWR (2000). Prevention and control of meningococcal disease. Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 49:1–10.

CDC MMWR (2006). Update: Guillain-Barré syndrome among recipients of Menactra meningococcal conjugate vaccine — United States, June 2005–September 2006. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 55:1120–1124.

CDC MMWR (2014). Use of MenACWY-CRM vaccine in children aged 2 through 23 months at increased risk for Meningococcal disease: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, 2013. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 63:527–530.

CDC MMWR (2017). Updated recommendations for use of MenB-FHbp Serogroup B Meningococcal vaccine — Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 66:509–513.

Ceesay SJ, Allen SJ, Menon A, Todd JE, Cham K, Carlone GM et al. (1993). Decline in meningococcal antibody levels in African children 5 years after vaccination and the lack of an effect of booster immunization. *J Infect Dis*, 167:1212–1216.

Chang LJ, Hedrick J, Christensen S, Pan J, Jordanov E, Dhingra MS (2020). A Phase II, randomized, immunogenicity and safety study of a quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine, MenACYW-TT, in healthy adolescents in the United States. *Vaccine*, 8:3560–3569.

Chen WH, Neuzil KM, Boyce CR, Pasetti MF, Reymann MK, Martellet L et al. (2018). Safety and immunogenicity of a pentavalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine containing serogroups A, C, Y, W, and X in healthy adults: a phase 1, single centre, double-blind, randomised, controlled study. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 18:1088–1096.

Chiu NC, Huang LM, Willemsen A, Bhusal C, Arora AK, Mojares ZR et al. (2018). Safety and immunogenicity of a meningococcal B recombinant vaccine when administered with routine vaccines to healthy infants in Taiwan: A phase 3, open-label, randomized study. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*, 14:1075–1083.

Cho BH, Clark TA, Messonnier NE, Ortega-Sanchez IR, Weintraub E, Messonnier ML (2010). MCV vaccination in the presence of vaccine-associated Guillain-Barré Syndrome risk: a decision analysis approach. *Vaccine*, 28:817–822.

Choo S, Seymour L, Morris R, Quataert S, Lockhart S, Cartwright K, Finn A (2000). Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of a pneumococcal conjugate vaccine administered combined with a *Haemophilus influenzae* type b conjugate vaccine in United Kingdom infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 19:854–862.

Christensen H, May M, Bowen L, Hickman M, Trotter CL (2010). Meningococcal carriage by age: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 10:853–861.

Claassen I, Meylis J, van der Ley P, Peeters C, Brons H, Robert J et al. (1996). Production, characterization and control of a *Neisseria meningitidis* hexavalent class 1 outer membrane protein containing vesicle vaccine. *Vaccine*, 14:1001–1008.

Coen PG, Tully J, Stuart JM, Ashby D, Viner RM, Booy R (2006). Is it exposure to cigarette smoke or to smokers which increases the risk of meningococcal disease in teenagers? *Int J Epidemiol*, 35:330–336.

Cohen C et al. (2010). Increased incidence of meningococcal disease in HIVinfected individuals associated with higher case-fatality ratios in South Africa. *AIDS*, 24:1351–1360. **Cohen S, McGregor IA, Carrington S (1961).** Gamma-globulin and acquired immunity to human malaria. *Nature*, 192:733–737.

Cohn A, MacNeil J. (2015). The changing epidemiology of meningococcal disease. *Infectious Disease Clinics of North America*, 29:667–77.

Cohn AC, MacNeil JR, Clark TA, Ortega-Sanchez IR, Briere EZ, Meissner HC et al. (2013). Prevention and control of meningococcal disease: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *CDC MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 62(RR-2):1–28

Cohn AC, MacNeil JR, Harrison LH, Lynfield R, Reingold A, Schaffner W et al. (2017). Effectiveness and duration of protection of one dose of a meningococcal conjugate vaccine. *Pediatrics*, 139. pii: e20162193.

Coldiron ME, Assao B, Page AL, Hitchings MDT, Alcoba G, Ciglenecki I et al. (2018). Single-dose oral ciprofloxacin prophylaxis as a response to a meningococcal meningitis epidemic in the African meningitis belt: A 3-arm, open-label, cluster randomized trial. *PLoS Med*, 15:e1002593.

Collins CL, Ruggeberg JU, Balfour G, Tighe H, Archer M, Bowen-Morris J et al. (2005). Immunogenicity and immunologic memory of meningococcal C conjugate vaccine in premature infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 24:966–968.

Comanducci M, Bambini S, Brunelli B, Adu-Bobie J, Aricò B, Capecchi B et al. (2002). NadA, a novel vaccine candidate of *Neisseria meningitidis*. *J Exp Med*, 195:1445–1454.

Conway S, James J, Balfour A, Smithells R (1993). Immunization of the preterm baby. J Infect, 27:143–150.

Cookson ST, Corrales JL, Lotero JO, Regueira M, Binsztein N, Reeves MW et al. (1998). Disco fever: epidemic meningococcal disease in northeastern Argentina associated with disco patronage. *J Infect Dis*, 178:266–269.

Cooper LV, Kristiansen PA, Christensen H, Karachaliou A, Trotter CL (2019a). Meningococcal carriage by age in the African Meningitis Belt: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Epidemiol Infect*, 147:e228. doi:10.1017/S0950268819001134.

Cooper LV, Robson A, Trotter CL, Aseffa A, Collard JM, Daugla DM et al. (2019b). Risk factors for acquisition of meningococcal carriage in the African Meningitis Belt. *Trop Med Int Health*, 24, 392–400.

Couldwell DL (2001). Invasive meningococcal disease and HIV coinfection. Communicable Diseases Intelligence, 25:279–280.

Cruz C, Pavez G, Aguilar E, Grawe L, Cam J, Mendez F et al. (1990). Serotypespecific outbreak of group B meningococcal disease in Iquique, Chile. *Epidemiol Infect*, 105:119–126.

Daugla DM, Gami JP, Gamougan K, Naibei N, Mbainadji L, Narbé M et al. (2014). Effect of a serogroup A meningococcal conjugate vaccine (PsA-TT) on serogroup A meningococcal meningitis and carriage in Chad: a community study [corrected]. *Lancet*, 383:40–47.

D'Amelio R, Agostoni A, Biselli R, Brai M, Caruso G, Cicardi M et al. (1992). Complement deficiency and antibody profile in survivors of meningococcal meningitis due to common serogroups in Italy. *Scand J Immunol*, 35:589–595.

D'Angio CT, Maniscalco WM, Pichichero ME (1995). Immunologic response of extremely premature infants to tetanus, *Haemophilus influenzae*, and polio immunizations. *Pediatrics*, 96:18–22.

Davenport V, Guthrie T, Findlow J, Borrow R, Williams NA, Heyderman RS (2003). Evidence for naturally acquired T cell-mediated mucosal immunity to *Neisseria meningitidis*. J Immunol (Baltimore, Md.:1950), 171:4263–4270.

De Andrade Carvalho A, Giampaglia CM, Kimura H, de Pereira OA, Farhat CK, Neves JC et al. (1977). Maternal and infant antibody response to meningococcal vaccination in pregnancy. *Lancet*, 2:809–811.

De Greeff SC, de Melker HE, Spanjaard L, Schouls LM, van Derende A (2006). Protection from routine vaccination at the age of 14 months with meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccine in the Netherlands. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 25:79–80.

De Greeff SC, van der Ende A, Spanjaard L, Schouls LM, de Melker HE (2007). Meningococcal disease in the Netherlands in the era of conjugated MenC vaccination. In: Proceedings of the ninth European Monitoring Group on Meningococci (EMGM). Rome, Italy, 30 May–1 June 2007:37.

De Kleijn ED, de Groot R, Labadie J, Lafeber AB, van den Dobbelsteen G, van Alphen L et al. (2000). Immunogenicity and safety of a hexavalent meningococcal outer-membrane-vesicle vaccine in children of 2–3 and 7–8 years of age. *Vaccine*, 18:1456–1466.

De Moraes JC, Perkins BA, Camargo MC, Hidalgo NT, Barbosa HA, Sacchi CT et al. (1992). Protective efficacy of a serogroup B meningococcal vaccine in São Paulo, Brazil. *Lancet*, 340:1074–1078.

De Serres C, Gariépy MC, Billard MN, Rouleau I, Boulianne N, Toth E et al. (2014). Initial dose of a multicomponent serogroup B meningococcal vaccine in the Saguenay-Lac-Saint-Jean region, Québec, Canada: an interim safety surveillance report (<u>https://www.inspq.qc.ca/pdf/publications/1902 SerogroupB Meningococcal Vaccine.pdf</u>, last accessed 2 July 2018).

De Wals P, Deceuninck G, Boucher RM, Ouakki M (2008). Risk of Guillain-Barré syndrome following serogroup C meningococcal conjugate vaccine in Quebec, Canada. *Clin Infect Dis*, 46(8):e75–77.

De Wals P, Deceuninck G, Lefebvre B, Boulianne N, De Serres G (2011). Effectiveness of serogroup C meningococcal conjugate vaccine: a 7-year follow-up in Quebec, Canada. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 30:566–569.

De Wals P, Hertoghe L, Borlée-Grimée I, De Maeyer-Cleempoel S, Reginster-Haneuse G, Dachy A et al. (1981). Meningococcal disease in Belgium. Secondary attack rate among household, day-care nursery and pre-elementary school contacts. J Infect, 3:53–61. **De Wals P, De Serres G, Niyonsenga T (2001).** Effectiveness of a mass immunization campaign against serogroup C meningococcal disease in Quebec. *JAMA : the Journal of the American Medical Association*, 285:177–181.

Decosas J, Koama JBT (2002). Chronicle of an outbreak foretold: meningococcal meningitis W135 in Burkina Faso. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 2:763–765.

Dellicour S, Greenwood B (2007). Systematic review: Impact of meningococcal vaccination on pharyngeal carriage of meningococci. *Trop Med Int Health*, 12:1409–1421.

Densen P (1991). Complement deficiencies and meningococcal disease. Clin Exp Immunol, 86:S57-S62.

Department of Health (2016). Immunization against infectious disease — the Green Book. Chapter 7. Immunization of individuals with underlying medical conditions (<u>https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads attachment data/</u> <u>file/566853/Green Book Chapter7.pdf</u>, last accessed 2 July 2018).

Dhillon S, Pace D (2017). Meningococcal quadrivalent tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine (MenACWY-TT; Nimenrix®): *A Review. Drugs*, 77:1881–1896.

Diallo A, Sow SO, Idoko OT, Hirve S, Findlow H, Preziosi MP et al. (2015). Antibody persistence at 1 and 4 years following a single dose of MenAfriVac or quadrivalent polysaccharide vaccine in healthy subjects aged 2-29 years. *Clin Infect Dis*, 61 (Suppl 5):S521–S530.

Diez-Domingo J, Gurtman A, Berneola E, Giménez-Sánchez F, Martinón-Torres F, Pineda-Solas V et al. (2013). Evaluation of 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine and concomitant meningococcal group C conjugate vaccine in healthy infants and toddlers in Spain. *Vaccine*, 31:5486–5494.

Diomandé FV, Yaméogo TM, Vannice KS, Preziosi MP, Viviani S, Ouandaogo CR et al. (2015). Lessons learned from enhancing vaccine pharmacovigilance activities during PsA-TT introduction in African Countries, 2010–2013. *Clin Infect Dis*, 61 (Suppl 5): S459–466.

Djibo S, Nicolas P, Alonso JM, Djibo A, Couret D, Riou JY et al. (2003). Outbreaks of serogroup X meningococcal meningitis in Niger 1995–2000. *Trop Med Int Health*, 8:1118–1123.

Donald RG, Hawkins JC, Hao L, Liberator P, Jones TR, Harris SL et al. (2017). Meningococcal serogroup B vaccines: Estimating breadth of coverage. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*, 13:255–265.

Donnarumma D, Golfieri G, Brier S, Castagnini M, Veggi D, Bottomley MJ et al. (2015). *Neisseria meningitidis* GNA1030 is a ubiquinone-8 binding protein. *FASEB Journal*, 29:2260–2267.

Donnelly J, Medini D, Boccadifuoco G, Biolchi A, Ward J, Frasch C et al. (2010). Qualitative and quantitative assessment of meningococcal antigens to evaluate the potential strain coverage of protein-based vaccines. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 107:19490–19495. **Durando P, Esposito S, Bona G, Cuccia M, Desole MG, Ferrera G et al. (2016).** The immunogenicity and safety of a tetravalent measles-mumps-rubella-varicella vaccine when co-administered with conjugated meningococcal C vaccine to healthy children: A phase IIIb, randomized, multi-center study in Italy. *Vaccine*, 34:4278–4284.

Dyet KH, Martin DR (2005). Sequence variation in the porB gene from B: P1.4 meningococci causing New Zealand's epidemic. *J Clin Microbiol*, 43:838–842.

Electronic Medicines Compendium (2005). Menitorix, SPC (<u>https://www.medicines.</u> org.uk/emc/product/167/smpc, last accessed 06 July 2018).

Elie CM, Holder PK, Romero-Steiner S, Carlone GM (2002). Assignment of additional anticapsular antibody concentrations to the *Neisseria meningitidis* Group A, C, Y, and W-135 meningococcal standard reference serum CDC1992. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*, 9:725–726.

Enwere GC, Paranjape G, Kulkarni PS, Ginde M, Hartmann K, Viviani S et al. (2015). Safety monitoring in group A meningococcal conjugate vaccine trials: description, challenges, and lessons. *Clin Infect Dis*, 61 (Suppl 5): S501–506.

Eraklis AJ, Kevy SV, Diamond LK, Gross RE (1967). Hazard of overwhelming infection after splenectomy in childhood. *N Engl J Med*, 276:1225–1229.

Erwa HH, Haseeb MA, Idris AA, Lapeyssonnie L, Sanborn WR, Sippel JE (1973). A serogroup A meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine: studies in the Sudan to combat cerebrospinal meningitis caused by *Neisseria meningitidis* group A. *Bull World Health Organ*, 49:301–305.

Espín Ríos I, García-Fulgueíras A, Navarro Alonso JA, Vazquez Moreno J, Rodriguez Gonzalez T, Navarro Sánchez C et al. (2000). Seroconversion and duration of immunity after vaccination against group C meningococcal infection in young children. *Vaccine*, 18:2656–2660.

Esposito S, Corbellini B, Bosis S, Pugni L, Tremolati E, Tagliabue C et al. (2007). Immunogenicity, safety and tolerability of meningococcal C CRM197 conjugate vaccine administered 3, 5 and 11 months post-natally to pre- and full-term infants. *Vaccine*, 25:4889–4894.

European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Public health management of sporadic cases of invasive meningococcal disease and their contacts. Stockholm: ECDC Guidance; 2010 (<u>https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/</u> <u>Publications/1010 GUI Meningococcal guidance.pdf</u>, last accessed 29 June 2018).

European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Vaccine schedules in all countries of the European Union (<u>https://vaccine-schedule.ecdc.europa.eu/</u>, last accessed 3 July 2018).

Evans CM, Pratt CB, Matheson M, Vaughan TE, Findlow J, Borrow R et al. (2011). Nasopharyngeal colonization by *Neisseria lactamica* and induction of protective immunity against *Neisseria meningitidis*. *Clin Infect Dis*, 52:70–77.

Fairley CK, Begg N, Borrow R, Fox AJ, Jones DM, Cartwright K (1996). Conjugate meningococcal serogroup A and C vaccine: reactogenicity and immunogenicity in United Kingdom infants. *J Infect Dis*, 174:1360–1363.

Fijen CA, Kuijper EJ, Hannema AJ, Sjöholm AG, van Putten JP (1989). Complement deficiencies in patients over ten years old with meningococcal disease due to uncommon serogroups. *Lancet*, 2:585–588.

Fijen CA, Kuijper EJ, Tjia HG, Daha MR, Dankert J (1994). Complement deficiency predisposes for meningitis due to nongroupable meningococci and Neisseria-related bacteria. *Clin Infect Dis*, 18:780–784.

Findlow H, Borrow R, Andrews N, Waight P, Sheasby E, Matheson M et al. (2012). Immunogenicity of a single dose of meningococcal group C conjugate vaccine given at 3 months of age to healthy infants in the United Kingdom. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 31:616–622.

Findlow H, Campbell H, Lucidarme J, Andrews N, Linley E, Ladhani S et al. (2019). Serogroup C *Neisseria meningitidis* disease epidemiology, seroprevalence, vaccine effectiveness and waning immunity, England, 1998/99 to 2015/16. *Euro Surveill*, 24(1). doi: 10.2807/1560–7917.ES.2019.24.1.1700818.

Findlow J, Borrow R. (2017). Does post-implementation vaccine effectiveness data support pre-implementation predictions of 4CMenB utility? *Pathog Dis*, 75(2). doi:10.1093/femspd/ftx025.

Findlow J, Taylor S, Aase A, Horton R, Heyderman R, Southern J et al. (2006). Comparison and correlation of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup B immunologic assay results and human antibody responses following three doses of the Norwegian meningococcal outer membrane vesicle vaccine MenBvac. *Infect Immun*, 74:4557–4565.

Findlow J, Holland A, Andrews N, Weynants V, Sotolongo F, Balmer P et al. (2007a). Comparison of phenotypically indistinguishable but geographically distinct *Neisseria meningitidis* group B isolates in a serum bactericidal antibody assay. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 14:1451–1457.

Findlow J, Holland A, Martin D, Oster P, Balmer P, Borrow R (2007b). Inadequacy of colominic acid as an absorbent intended to facilitate use of complement-preserved baby rabbit serum in the *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup B serum bactericidal antibody assay. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 14:556–561.

Findlow J, Borrow R, Snape MD, Dawson T, Holland A, John TM et al. (2010). Multicenter, open-label, randomized phase II controlled trial of an investigational recombinant meningococcal serogroup B vaccine with and without outer membrane vesicles, administered in infancy. *Clin Infect Dis*, 51:1127–1137.

Findlow J, Bai X, Findlow H, Newton E, Kaczmarski E, Miller E et al. (2015). Safety and immunogenicity of a four-component meningococcal group B vaccine (4CMenB) and a quadrivalent meningococcal group ACWY conjugate vaccine administered concomitantly in healthy laboratory workers. *Vaccine*, 33:3322–3330.

Finn R, Groves C, Coe M, Pass M, Harrison LH (2001). Cluster of serogroup C meningococcal disease associated with attendance at a party. *South Med J*, 94:1192–1194.

Finne J, Bitter-Suermann D, Goridis C, Finne U (1987). An IgG monoclonal antibody to group B meningococci cross-reacts with developmentally regulated polysialic acid units of glycoproteins in neural and extraneural tissues. *J Immunol (Baltimore, Md.: 1950)*, 138:4402–4407.

Fiorito TM, Baird GL, Alexander-Scott N, Bornschein S, Kelleher C, Du N et al. (2018). Adverse events following vaccination with bivalent rLP2086 (Trumenba®): an observational, longitudinal study during a college outbreak and a systematic review. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 37:e13–e19.

Fischer M, Hedberg K, Cardosi P, Plikaytis BD, Hoesly FC, Steingart KR et al. (1997). Tobacco smoke as a risk factor for meningococcal disease. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 16:979–983.

Flacco ME, Manzoli L, Rosso A, Marzuillo C, Bergamini M, Stefanati A et al. (2018). Immunogenicity and safety of the multicomponent meningococcal B vaccine (4CMenB) in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 18:461–472.

Fletcher LD, Bernfield L, Barniak V, Farley JE, Howell A, Knauf M et al. (2004). Vaccine potential of the *Neisseria meningitidis* 2086 lipoprotein. *Infect Immun*, 72:2088–2100.

Food and Drug Administration. Trumenba U.S. package insert. Silver Spring (MD): US Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration; 2014 (https://www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/Vaccines/ApprovedProducts/ UCM421139.pdf, last accessed 2 July 2018).

Fox AJ, Taha MK, Vogel U (2007). Standardized nonculture techniques recommended for European reference laboratories. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*, 31:84–88.

Frasch CE, Borrow R, Donnelly J. (2009). Bactericidal antibody is the immunologic surrogate of protection against meningococcal disease. *Vaccine*, 27 (Suppl 2):B112–B116.

Frota ACC, Ferreira B, Harrison LH, Pereira GS, Pereira-Manfro W, Machado ES et al. (2017). Safety and immune response after two-dose meningococcal C conjugate immunization in HIV-infected children and adolescents in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Vaccine*, 35:7042–7048.

Gagneux SP, Hodgson A, Smith TA, Wirth T, Ehrhard I, Morelli G et al. (2002). Prospective study of a serogroup X *Neisseria meningitidis* outbreak in northern Ghana. *J Infect Dis*, 185:618–626.

Galaid EI, Cherubin CE, Marr JS, Schaefler S, Barone J, Lee W (1980). Meningococcal disease in New York City, 1973 to 1978: recognition of groups Y and W-135 as frequent pathogens. *JAMA : the Journal of the American Medical Association*, 244:2167–2171.

Galloway Y, Stehr-Green P, McNicholas A, O'Hallahan J (2009).Use of an observational cohort study to estimate the effectiveness of the New Zealand group B meningococcal vaccine in children aged under 5 years. *Int J Epidemiol*, 38:413–418.

Gamougam K, Daugla DM, Toralta J, Ngadoua C, Fermon F, Page AL et al. (2015). Continuing effectiveness of serogroup A meningococcal conjugate vaccine, Chad, 2013. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 21:115–118.

Gasparini R, Tregnaghi M, Keshavan P, Ypma E, Han L, Smolenov I (2016). Safety and immunogenicity of a quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine and commonly administered vaccines after coadministration. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 35:81–93.

Gheesling LL, Carlone GM, Pais LB, Holder PF, Maslanka SE, Plikaytis BD et al. (1994). Multicenter comparison of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup C anticapsular polysaccharide antibody levels measured by a standardized enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *J Clin Microbiol*, 32:1475–1482.

Giardina PC, Evans RE, Sikkema DJ, Madore D, Hildreth SW (2003). Effect of antigen coating conditions on enzyme- linked immunosorbent assay for detection of immunoglobulin G antibody to *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup Y and W135 capsular polysaccharide antigens in serum. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*, 10:1136–1140.

Giardina PC, Longworth E, Evans-Johnson RE, Bessette ML, Zhang H, Borrow R et al. (2005). Analysis of human serum immunoglobulin G against O-acetyl-positive and O-acetyl-negative serogroup W135 meningococcal capsular polysaccharide. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*, 12:586–592.

Giuliani MM, Santini L, Brunelli B, Biolchi A, Aricò B, Di Marcello F et al. (2005). The region comprising amino acids 100 to 255 of *Neisseria meningitidis* lipoprotein GNA 1870 elicits bactericidal antibodies. *Infect Immun*, 73:1151–1160.

Gold R, Artenstein MS (1971). Meningococcal infections. 2. Field trial of group C meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine in 1969–70. *Bull World Health Organ*, 45:279–282.

Gold R, Goldschneider I, Lepow ML, Draper TF, Randolph M. (1978). Carriage of *Neisseria meningitidis* and *Neisseria lactamica* in infants and children. J Infect Dis, 137:112–121.

Gold R, Lepow ML, Goldschneider I, Draper TL, Gotschlich EC (1975). Clinical evaluation of group A and group C meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines in infants. *J Clin Invest*, 56:1536–1547.

Gold R, Lepow ML, Goldschneider I, Draper TF, Gotschlich EC (1979). Kinetics of antibody production to group A and group C meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines administered during the first six years of life: prospects for routine immunization of infants and children. *J Infect Dis*, 140:690–697.

Goldblatt D (1997). Simple solid phase assays of avidity. In: Turner MW, Johnson AP, editors. *Immunochemistry 2: a practical approach. Oxford, England, Oxford University Press*:31–51.

Goldblatt D (1998). Immunization and the maturation of infant immune responses. *Developments in Biological Standardization*, 95:125–132.

Goldblatt D, Borrow R, Miller E (2002). Natural and vaccine-induced immunity and immunologic memory to *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup C in young adults. *J Infect Dis*, 185:397–400.

Goldschneider I, Gotschlich EC, Artenstein MS (1969a). Human immunity to the meningococcus. I. The role of humoral antibodies. *J Exp Med*, 129:1307–1326.

Goldschneider I, Gotschlich EC, Artenstein MS (1969b). Human immunity to the meningococcus. II. Development of natural immunity. *J Exp Med*, 129:1327–1348.

Gorringe AR (2005). Can *Neisseria lactamica* antigens provide an effective vaccine to prevent meningococcal disease? *Expert Rev Vaccines*, 4:373–379.

Gorringe AR, Taylor S, Brookes C, Matheson M, Finney M, Kerr M et al. (2009). Phase I safety and immunogenicity study of a candidate meningococcal disease vaccine based on *Neisseria lactamica* outer membrane vesicles. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 16:1113–1120.

Gossger N, Snape MD, Yu LM, Finn A, Bona G, Esposito S et al. (2012). Immunogenicity and tolerability of recombinant serogroup B meningococcal vaccine administered with or without routine infant vaccinations according to different immunization schedules: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*: the Journal of the American Medical Association, 307:573–582.

Granoff DM, Gupta RK, Belshe RB, Anderson EL (1998a). Induction of immunologic refractoriness in adults by meningococcal C polysaccharide vaccination. *J Infect Dis*, 178:870–874.

Granoff DM, Maslanka SE, Carlone GM, Plikyatis BD, Santos GF, Mokatrin A et al. (1998b). A modified enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for measurement of antibody responses to meningococcal C polysaccharide that correlate with bactericidal responses. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*, 5:479–485.

Gray SJ, Trotter CL, Ramsay ME, Guiver M, Fox AJ, Borrow R et al. (2006). Epidemiology of meningococcal disease in England and Wales 1993/94 to 2003/04: contribution and experiences of the Meningococcal Reference Unit. *J Med Microbiol*, 55:887–896.

Gray-Owen SD, Schryvers AB (1996). Bacterial transferrin and lactoferrin receptors. *Trends Microbiol*, 4:185–191.

Greenwood B. (1999). Manson Lecture. Meningococcal meningitis in Africa. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*, 93:341–353.

Greenwood B (2007). The changing face of meningococcal disease in West Africa *Epidemiol Infect*, 135:703–705.

Greenwood B (2006). Editorial: 100 years of epidemic meningitis in West Africa – has anything changed? *Trop Med Int Health*, 11:773–780.

Greenwood BM, Wali SS (1980b). Control of meningococcal infection in the African meningitis belt by selective vaccination. *Lancet*, 1:729–732.

Greenwood BM, Bradley AK, Blakebrough IS, Whittle HC, Marshall TF, Gilles HM (1980a). The immune response to a meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine in an African village. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*, 74:340–346.

Greenwood BM, Whittle HC, Bradley AK, Fayet MT, Gilles HM (1980c). The duration of the antibody response to meningococcal vaccination in an African village. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*, 74:756–760.

Griffiss JM, Goroff DK (1983). IgA blocks IgM and IgG-initiated immune lysis by separate molecular mechanisms. *J Immunol (Baltimore, Md.: 1950)*, 130:2882–2885.

Guibourdenche M, Chippaux JP, Ouedraogo-Traore, Wouafo M, Fagot P, Coulon F et al. (1996). Evolution of the second pandemic due to strains of *Neisseria meningitidis* A:4:P1.9/clone III–I. Survey in four African countries: Niger, Burkina Faso, Cameroon and Chad, October 1995–May 1996. In: Proceedings of the tenth International Pathogenic Neisseria Conference. *Bethesda (MD)*:523–524.

Guirguis N, Schneerson R, Bax A, Egan W, Robbins JB, Shiloach J et al. (1985). *Escherichia coli* K51 and K93 capsular polysaccharides are crossreactive with the group A capsular polysaccharide of *Neisseria meningitidis*. Immunochemical, biological, and epidemiological studies. *J Exp Med*, 162:1837–1851.

Habermehl P, Leroux-Roels G, Sänger R, Mächler G, Boutriau D et al. (2010). Combined *Haemophilus influenzae* type b and *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup C (HibMenC) or serogroup C and Y-tetanus toxoid conjugate (and HibMenCY) vaccines are well-tolerated and immunogenic when administered according to the 2,3,4 months schedule with a fourth dose at 12-18 months of age. *Hum Vaccin*, 6:640–651.

Halperin SA, Gupta A, Jeanfreau R, Klein NP, Reisinger K, Walter E et al. (2010). Comparison of the safety and immunogenicity of an investigational and a licensed quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine in children 2-10 years of age. *Vaccine*, 28:7865–7872.

Halstensen A, Sjursen H, Vollset SE, Frøholm LO, Naess A, Matre R et al. (1989). Serum opsonins to serogroup B meningococci in meningococcal disease. Scand J Infect Dis, 21:267–276.

Hankins WA, Gwaltney Jr JM, Hendley JO, Farquhar JD, Samuelson JS (1982). Clinical and serological evaluation of a meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine groups A, C, Y and W135. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med*, 169:54–57.

Harcourt S, Morbey RA, Bates C, Carter H, Ladhani SN, de Lusignan S et al. (2018). Estimating primary care attendance rates for fever in infants after meningococcal B vaccination in England using national syndromic surveillance data. *Vaccine*, 36:565–571.

Harris SL, King WJ, Ferris W, Granoff DM (2003). Age-related disparity in functional activities of human group C serum anticapsular antibodies elicited by meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine. *Infect Immun*, 71:275–286.

Harrison LH, Armstrong CW, Jenkins SR (1991). A cluster of meningococcal disease on a school bus following epidemic influenza. *Arch Intern Med*, 151:1005–1009.

Harrison LH, Trotter CL, Ramsay ME. (2009). Global epidemiology of meningococcal disease. *Vaccine*, 27 (Suppl 2):B51–63.

Harrison LH, Granoff DM, Pollard AJ. (2018) Meningococcal capsular group A, C, W and Y conjugate vaccines. In: Plotkin's Vaccines, editors Plotkin SA, Ornstein WA, Offit PA, Edwards KM. *Philadelphia (PA): Elsevier, Inc.* 619–643.

Heath PT, Booy R, Griffiths H, Clutterbuck E, Azzopardi HJ, Slack MPE et al. (2000). Clinical and immunological risk factors associated with *Haemophilus influenzae* type b conjugate vaccine failure in childhood. *Clin Infect Dis*, 31:973–980.

Heath PT, Booy R, McVernon J, Bown-Morris J, Griffiths H, Slack MP et al. (2003). Hib vaccination in infants born prematurely. *Arch Dis Child*, 88:206–210.

Hellenbrand W, Koch J, Harder T, Bogdan C, Heininger U, Tenenbaum T et al. (2015). Background Paper for the update of meningococcal vaccination recommendations in Germany: use of the serogroup B vaccine in persons at increased risk for meningococcal disease. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz*, 58:1314–1343.

Hirve S, Bavdekar A, Pandit A, Juvekar S, Patil M, Preziosi MP et al. (2012). Immunogenicity and safety of a new meningococcal A conjugate vaccine in Indian children aged 2–10 years: a phase II/III double-blind randomized controlled trial. *Vaccine*, 30:6456–6560.

Hodgson A, Smith T, Gagneux S, Adjuik M, Pluschke G, Mensah NK et al. (2001). Risk factors for meningococcal meningitis in northern Ghana. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*, 95:477–480.

Holbein BE (1980). Iron-controlled infection with Neisseria meningitidis in mice. Infect Immun, 29:886–891.

Holme D, Findlow H, Sow SO, Idoku OT, Preziosi MP, Carlone G et al. (2015). *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup A IgG1 and IgG2 subclass immune response in African children aged 12–23 months following meningococcal vaccination. *Clin Infect Dis*, 61 (Suppl 5):S563–S569.

Holst J, Feiring B, Fuglesang JE, Høiby EA, Nøkleby H, Aaberge IS et al. (2003). Serum bactericidal activity correlates with the vaccine efficacy of outer membrane vesicle vaccines against *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup B disease. *Vaccine*, 21:734–737.

Holst J, Feiring B, Naess LM, Norheim G, Kristiansen P, Høiby EA et al. (2005). The concept of "tailor-made", protein-based, outer membrane vesicle vaccines against meningococcal disease. *Vaccine*, 23:2202–2205.

Holst J, Oster P, Arnold R, Tatley MV, Naess LM, Aaberge IS et al. (2013). Vaccines against meningococcal serogroup B disease containing outer membrane vesicles (OMV): lessons from past programs and implications for the future. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*, 9:1241–1253.

Honish L, Soskolne CL, Senthilselvan A, Houston S (2008). Modifiable risk factors for invasive meningococcal disease during an Edmonton, Alberta outbreak, 1999–2002. *Can J Public Health*, 99:46–51.

Hou VC, Koeberling O, Welsch JA, Granoff DM (2005). Protective antibody responses elicited by a meningococcal outer membrane vesicle vaccine with overexpressed genomederived neisserial antigen 1870. *J Infect Dis*, 192:580–590.

Hu XJ (2001). Epidemiological surveillance and prophylaxis of epidemic cerebrospinal meningitis. *Chinese Journal of Vaccines and Immunization*, 7:300–303.

Humphries HE, Brookes C, Allen L, Kuisma E, Gorringe A, Taylor S (2015). Seroprevalence of antibody-mediated, complement-dependent opsonophagocytic activity against *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup B in England. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 22:503–509.

Immunization Action Coalition. *Vaccine Timeline* (<u>http://www.immunize.org/</u> <u>timeline/</u>, last accessed 2 July 2018).

Ishola DA, Andrews N, Waight P, Yung CF, Southern J, Bai X et al. (2015). Randomized trial to compare the immunogenicity and safety of a CRM or TT conjugated quadrivalent meningococcal vaccine in teenagers who received a CRM or TT conjugated serogroup C vaccine at preschool age. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 34:865–874.

Ison CA, Anwar N, Cole MJ, Galassini R, Heyderman RS, Klein NJ et al. (1999). Assessment of immune response to meningococcal disease: comparison of a wholeblood assay and the serum bactericidal assay. *Microb Pathog*, 27:207–214.

Jacobsson S, Hedberg ST, Mölling P, Unemo M, Comanducci M, Rappuoli R et al. (2009). Prevalence and sequence variations of the genes encoding the five antigens included in the novel 5CVMB vaccine covering group B meningococcal disease. *Vaccine*, 27:1579–1584.

Jack DL, Lee ME, Turner MW, Klein NJ, Read RC (2005). Mannose-binding lectin enhances phagocytosis and killing of *Neisseria meningitidis* by human macrophages. *J Leukoc Biol*, 77:328–336.

Jackson LA, Schuchat A, Reeves MW, Wenger JD (1995). Serogroup C meningococcal outbreaks in the United States. An emerging threat. *JAMA : the Journal of the American Medical Association*, 273:383–389.

Jackson LA, Baxter R, Reisinger K, Karsten A, Shah J, Bedell L et al. (2009). Phase III comparison of an investigational quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine with the licensed meningococcal ACWY conjugate vaccine in adolescents. *Clin Infect Dis*, 49:e1–10.

Jacobs JH, Viboud C, Tchetgen ET, Schwartz J, Steiner C, Simonsen L et al.(2014). The association of meningococcal disease with influenza in the United States, 1989-2009. *PLoS One*, 9:e107486.

Jiang H-Q, Hoiseth SK, Harris SL, McNeil LK, Duzhang Z, Tan C et al. (2010). Broad vaccine coverage predicted for a bivalent recombinant factor H binding protein based vaccine to prevent serogroup B meningococcal disease. *Vaccine*, 28:6086–6093.

John TJ, Gupta S, Chitkara AJ, Dutta AK, Borrow R (2013). An overview of meningococcal disease in India: knowledge gaps and potential solutions. *Vaccine*, 31:2731–2737.

Johnson S, Tan L, van der Veen S, Caesar J, De Jorge EG, Harding RJ et al. (2012). Design and evaluation of meningococcal vaccines through structure-based modification of host and pathogen molecules. *PLoS Pathog*, 8:e1002981.

Johswich KO, McCaw SE, Islam E, Sintsova A, Gu A, Shively JE et al. (2013). In vivo adaptation and persistence of *Neisseria meningitidis* within the nasopharyngeal mucosa. *PLoS Pathog*, 9:e1003509.

Jokhdar H, Borrow R, Sultan A, Adi M, Riley C, Fuller E et al. (2004). Immunologic hyporesponsiveness to serogroup C but not serogroup A following repeated meningococcal A/C polysaccharide vaccination in Saudi Arabia. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*, 11:83–88.

Jolly K, Stewart G (2001). Epidemiology and diagnosis of meningitis: results of a five-year prospective, population-based study. *Commun Dis Public Health*, 4:124–129.

Joseph H, Miller E, Dawson M, Andrews N, Feavers I, Borrow R (2001). Meningococcal serogroup A avidity indices as a surrogate marker of priming for the induction of immunologic memory after vaccination with a meningococcal A/C conjugate vaccine in infants in the United Kingdom. *J Infect Dis*, 184:661–662.

Joseph H, Balmer P, Bybel M, Papa T, Ryall R, Borrow R (2004). Assignment of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroups A, C, W135, and Y anticapsular total immunoglobulin G (IgG), IgG1, and IgG2 concentrations to reference sera. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*, 11:1–5.

Kapur S, Bourke T, Maney JA, Moriarty P (2017). Emergency department attendance following 4-component meningococcal B vaccination in infants. *Arch Dis Child*, 102:899–902.

Käyhty H, Karanko V, Peltola H, Sarna S, Mäkelä PH (1980). Serum antibodies to capsular polysaccharide vaccine of group A *Neisseria meningitidis* followed for three years in infants and children. *J Infect Dis*, 142:861–868.

Kelly DF, Pollard AJ, Moxon ER (2005). Immunological memory: the role of B cells in long-term protection against invasive bacterial pathogens. *JAMA : the Journal of the American Medical Association*, 294:3019–3023.

Kelly DF, Snape MD, Clutterbuck EA, Green S, Snowden C, Diggle L et al. (2006). CRM197-conjugated serogroup C meningococcal capsular polysaccharide, but not the native polysaccharide, induces persistent antigen-specific memory B cells. *Blood*, 108:2642–2647.

Keyserling H, Papa T, Koranyi K, Ryall R, Bassily E, Bybel MJ et al. (2005). Safety, immunogenicity, and immune memory of a novel meningococcal (groups A, C, Y, and W-135) polysaccharide diphtheria toxoid conjugate vaccine (MCV-4) in healthy adolescents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*, 159:907–913.

Khalil M, Al-Mazrou Y, Balmer P, Bramwell J, Andrews N, Borrow R (2005). Immunogenicity of meningococcal ACYW135 polysaccharide vaccine in Saudi children 5 to 9 years of age. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*, 12:1251–1253. Kirmani KI, Lofthus G, Pichichero ME, Voloshen T, D'Angio CT (2002). Seven-year follow-up of vaccine response in extremely premature infants. *Pediatrics*, 109:498–504.

Kirstein J, Pina M, Pan J, Jordanov E, Dhingra MS (2020). Immunogenicity and safety of a quadrivalent meningococcal tetanus toxoid-conjugate vaccine (MenACYW-TT) in adults 56 years of age and older: a phase II randomized study. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*, 16:1299–1305.

Kitchin NRE, Southern J, Morris R, Hemme F, Thomas S, Watson MW et al. (2007). Evaluation of a diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis- inactivated poliovirus-*Haemophilus influenzae* type b vaccine given concurrently with meningococcal group C conjugate vaccine at 2, 3 and 4 months of age. *Arch Dis Child*, 92:11–16.

Klein NP, Shepard J, Bedell L, Odrljin T, Dull P (2012). Immunogenicity and safety of a quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine administered concomitantly with measles, mumps, rubella, varicella vaccine in healthy toddlers. *Vaccine*, 30:3929–3936.

Knol MJ, Ruijs WL, Antonise-Kamp L, de Melker HE, van der Ende A (2018). Implementation of MenACWY vaccination because of ongoing increase in serogroup W invasive meningococcal disease, the Netherlands, 2018. *Euro Surveill*, 23(16). doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2018.23.16.18–00158.

Koppes GM, Ellenbogen C, Gebhart RJ (1977). Group Y meningococcal disease in United States Air Force recruits. *The Am J Med*, 62:661–666.

Kristensen K, Gyhrs A, Lausen B, Barington T, Heilmann C (1996). Antibody response to *Haemophilus influenzae* type b capsular polysaccharide conjugated to tetanus toxoid in preterm infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 15:525–529.

Kristiansen B-E, Tveten Y, Jenkins A. (1998). Which contacts of patients with meningococcal disease carry the pathogenic strain of *Neisseria meningitidis*? A population based study. *BMJ*, 317:621–625.

Kristiansen PA, Diomandé F, Wei SC, Ouédraogo R, Sangaré L, Sanou I et al. (2011). Baseline meningococcal carriage in Burkina Faso before the introduction of a meningococcal serogroup A conjugate vaccine. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 18:435–443.

Kristiansen PA, Diomandé F, Ba AK, Sanou I, Ouédraogo A-S, Ouédraogo R et al. (2013). Impact of the serogroup A meningococcal conjugate vaccine, MenAfriVac, on carriage and herd immunity. *Clin Infect Dis*, 56:354–363.

Kristiansen PA, Ba AK, Ouédraogo A-S, Sanou I, Ouédraogo R, Sangaré L et al. (2014). Persistent low carriage of serogroup A *Neisseria meningitidis* two years after mass vaccination with the meningococcal conjugate vaccine, MenAfriVac. *BMC Infect Dis*, 14:663.

Krivit W (1977). Overwhelming postsplenectomy infection. Am J Hematol, 2:193–201.

Kshirsagar N, Mur N, Thatte U, Gogtay N, Viviani S, Preziosi MP et al. (2007). Safety, immunogenicity, and antibody persistence of a new meningococcal group A conjugate vaccine in healthy Indian adults. *Vaccine*, 25S:A101–A107.

Kurz S, Hübner C, Aepinus C, Theiss S, Guckenberger M, Panzner U et al. (2003). Transcriptome-based antigen identification for *Neisseria meningitidis*. *Vaccine*, 21:768–775.

Kwara A, Adegbola RA, Corrah PT, Weber M, Achtman M, Morelli G et al. (1998). Meningitis caused by a serogroup W135 clone of the ET-37 complex of *Neisseria meningitidis* in West Africa. *Trop Med Int Health*, 3:742–746.

Ladhani SN, Lucidarme J, Newbold LS, Gray SJ, Carr AD, Findlow J et al. (2012). Invasive meningococcal capsular group Y disease, England and Wales, 2007–2009. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 18:63–70.

Ladhani SN, Ramsay M, Borrow R, Riordan A, Watson JM, Pollard AJ (2016). Enter B and W: two new meningococcal vaccine programmes launched. *Arch Dis Child*, 101:91–95.

Ladhani SN, Riordan A (2017). The yin and yang of fever after meningococcal B vaccination. *Arch Dis Child*, 102:881–882.

Ladhani SN, Borrow R, Andrews NJ (2018). Growing evidence supports 4CMenB effectiveness. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 18:370–371.

Ladhani SN, Andrews N, Parikh SR, Campbell H, White J, Edelstein M et al. (2020). Vaccination of infants with meningococcal group B vaccine (4CMenB) in England. *N Engl J Med*, 382:309–317.

Lagos R, Papa T, Muñoz A, Ryall R, Pina M, Bassily E (2005). Safety and immunogenicity of a meningococcal (Groups A, C, Y, W-135) polysaccharide diphtheria toxoid conjugate vaccine in healthy children aged 2 to 10 years in Chile. *Hum Vaccin*, 1:228–231.

Lal G, Balmer P, Joseph H, Dawson M, Borrow R (2004). Development and evaluation of a tetraplex flow cytometric assay for quantitation of serum antibodies to *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroups A, C, Y and W-135. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*, 11:272–279.

Larrauri A, Cano R, García M, de Mateo S (2005). Impact and effectiveness of meningococcal C conjugate vaccine following its introduction in Spain. *Vaccine*, 23:4097–4100.

Lawrence GL, Wang H, Lahra M, Booy R, McIntyre PB (2016). Meningococcal disease epidemiology in Australia 10 years after implementation of a national conjugate meningococcal C immunization programme. *Epidemiol Infect*, 144:2382–2391.

Leach A, Twumasi PA, Kumah S, Banya WS, Jaffar S, Forrest BD et al. (1997). Induction of immunologic memory in Gambian children by vaccination in infancy with a group A plus group C meningococcal polysaccharide- protein conjugate vaccine. *J Infect Dis*, 175:200–204.

Lehmann AK, Halstensen A, Holst J, Bassoe C-F (1997). Functional assays for evaluation of serogroup B meningococcal structures as mediators of human opsonophagocytosis. *J Immunol Methods*, 200:55–68.

Lehmann AK, Halstensen A, Aaberge IS, Holst J, Michaelsen TE, Sørnes S et al. (1999). Human opsonins induced during meningococcal disease recognize outer membrane proteins PorA and PorB. *Infect Immun*, 67:2552–2560.

Lennon D, Gellin B, Hood D, Voss L, Heffernan H, Thakur S (1992). Successful intervention in a group A meningococcal outbreak in Auckland, New Zealand. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 11:617–623.

Lepow ML, Goldschneider I, Gold R, Randolph M, Gotschlich EC (1977). Persistence of antibody following immunization of children with groups A and C meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines. *Pediatrics*, 60:673–680.

Lepow ML, Beeler J, Randolph M, Samuelson JS, Hankins WA (1986). Reactogenicity and immunogenicity of a quadrivalent combined meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine in children. *J Infect Dis*, 154:1033–1036.

Leston GW, Little JR, Ottman J, Miller JL (1998). Meningococcal vaccine in pregnancy: an assessment of infant risk. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 17:261–263.

Lewis LA, Ram S. (2014). Meningococcal disease and the complement system. *Virulence*, 5:98–126.

Li J, Shao Z, Liu G, Bai X, Borrow R, Chen M et al. (2018). Meningococcal disease and control in China: Findings and updates from the Global Meningococcal Initiative (GMI). *J Infect*, 76:429–437.

Lieberman JM, Chiu SS, Wong VK, Partidge S, Chang SJ, Chiu CY et al. (1996). Safety and immunogenicity of a serogroups A/C *Neisseria meningitidis* oligosaccharideprotein conjugate vaccine in young children. A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA : the Journal of the American Medical Association*, 275:1499–1503.

Lowell G, Smith LF, Griffiss J, Brandt B, Macdermott R (1980). Antibody-dependent mononuclear cell-mediated antimeningococcal activity. Comparison of the effects of convalescent and postimmunization immunoglobulins G, M, and A. *J Clin Invest*, 66:260–267.

Lucidarme J, Hill DMC, Bratcher HB, Gray SJ, du Plessis M, Tsang RSW et al. (2015). Genomic resolution of an aggressive, widespread, diverse and expanding meningococcal serogroup B, C and W lineage. *J Infect*, 71:544–552.

Lujan E, Pajon R, Granoff DM (2015). Impaired immunogenicity of meningococcal Neisserial surface protein A in human complement factor H transgenic mice. *Infect Immun*, 84:452–458.

Lujan E, Winter K, Rovaris J, Liu Q, Granoff DM (2017). Serum bactericidal antibody responses of students immunized with a meningococcal serogroup B vaccine in response to an outbreak on a university campus. *Clin Infect Dis*, [Epub. ahead of print].

Lujan-Zilbermann J, Warshaw MG, Williams PL, Spector SA, Decker MD et al. (2012). Immunogenicity and safety of one versus two doses of quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine in youth infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *J Pediatr*, 161:676–681.

MacDonald NE, Halperin SA, Law BJ, Forrest B, Danzig LE, Granoff DM (1998). Induction of immunologic memory by conjugated vs plain meningococcal C polysaccharide vaccine in toddlers: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA : the Journal of the American Medical Association*, 280:1685–1689.

MacLennan JM, Obaro S, Deeks J, Williams D, Pais L, Carlone G et al. (1999). Immune response to revaccination with meningococcal A and C polysaccharides in Gambian children following repeated immunization during early childhood. *Vaccine*, 17:3086–3093.

MacLennan JM, Shackley F, Heath PT, Deeks JJ, Flamank C, Herbert M et al. (2000). Safety, immunogenicity, and induction of immunologic memory by a serogroup C meningococcal conjugate vaccine in infants: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA : the Journal of the American Medical Association*, 283:2795–2801.

MacLennan JM, Kafatos G, Neal K, Andrews N, Cameron JC, Roberts R et al. (2006). Social behavior and meningococcal carriage in British teenagers. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 12:950–957.

McNamara LA, Topaz N, Wang X, Hariri S, Fox L, MacNeil JR (2017). High risk for invasive meningococcal disease among patients receiving Eculizumab (Soliris) despite receipt of meningococcal vaccine. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 66:734–737.

Madico G, Welsch JA, Lewis LA, McNaughton A, Perlman DH, Costello CE et al. (2006). The meningococcal vaccine candidate GNA1870 binds the complement regulatory protein factor H and enhances serum resistance. *J Immunol (Baltimore, Md.: 1950)*, 177:501–510.

Maiden MC, Bygraves JA, Feil E, Morelli G, Russell JE, Urwin R et al. (1998). Multilocus sequence typing: a portable approach to the identification of clones within populations of pathogenic microorganisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 95:3140–3145.

Maiden MC, Ibarz-Pavón AB, Urwin R, Gray SJ, Andrews NJ, Clarke SC et al. (2008). Impact of meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccines on carriage and herd immunity. *J Infect Dis*, 197:737–743

Mäkelä PH, Käyhty H, Weckström P, Sivonen A, Renkonen OV (1975). Effect of group-A meningococcal vaccine in army recruits in Finland. *Lancet*, 2:883–886.

Mäkelä PH, Peltola H, Käyhty H, Jousimies H, Pettay O, Ruoslahti E et al. (1977). Polysaccharide vaccines of group A *Neisseria meningitidis* and *Haemophilus influenzae* type b: a field trial in Finland. *J Infect Dis*, 136 (Suppl):S43–S50.

Mandrell RE, Azmi FH, Granoff DM (1995). Complement-mediated bactericidal activity of human antibodies to poly alpha 2–8 N-acetylneuraminic acid, the capsular polysaccharide of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup B. *J Infect Dis*, 172:1279–1289.

Marchant CD, Miller JM, Marshall GS, Blatter M, Aris E, Friedland LR et al. (2010). Randomized trial to assess immunogenicity and safety of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b and *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroups C and Y-tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine in infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 29:48–52. Marsay L, Dold C, Green CA, Rollier CS, Norheim G, Sadarangani M et al. (2015). A novel meningococcal outer membrane vesicle vaccine with constitutive expression of FetA: A phase I clinical trial. *J Infect*, 71:326–337.

Marshall HS, Richmond PC, Nissen MD, Wouters A, Baber J, Jiang Q et al. (2013). A phase 2 open-label safety and immunogenicity study of a meningococcal B bivalent rLP2086 vaccine in healthy adults. *Vaccine*, 31:1569–1575.

Marshall HS, McMillan M, Koehler AP, Lawrence A, Sullivan TR, MacLennan JM et al. (2020). Meningococcal B vaccine and meningococcal carriage in adolescents in Australia. *N Engl J Med*, 382:318–327.

Martin DR, Walker SJ, Baker MG, Lennon DR (1998). New Zealand epidemic of meningococcal disease identified by a strain with phenotype B:4:P1.4. *J Infect Dis*, 177:497–500.

Martin DR, Ruijne N, McCallum L, O'Hallahan J, Oster P (2006). The VR2 epitope on the PorA P1.7-2,4 protein is the major target for the immune response elicited by the strain-specific group B meningococcal vaccine MeNZB. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 13:486–491.

Martin NG, Snape MD. (2013). A multicomponent serogroup B meningococcal vaccine is licensed for use in Europe: what do we know, and what are we yet to learn? *Expert Rev Vaccines*, 12:837–858.

Martinez J, Pilishvili T, Barnard S, Caba J, Spear W, Romero-Steiner S et al. (2002). Opsonophagocytosis of fluorescent polystyrene beads coupled to *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup A, C, Y or W135 polysaccharide correlates with serum bactericidal activity. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*, 9:485–488.

Martinón-Torres F, Safadi MAP, Martinez AC, Marquez PI, Torres JCT, Weckx LY et al. (2017). Reduced schedules of 4CMenB vaccine in infants and catch-up series in children: Immunogenicity and safety results from a randomised open-label phase 3b trial. *Vaccine*, 35:3548–3557.

Martinón-Torres F, Martinez AC, Simkó R, Marquez PI, Arimany JL, Gimenez-Sanchez F et al. (2018). Antibody persistence and booster responses 24–36 months after different 4CMenB vaccination schedules in infants and children: A randomised trial. *J Infect*, 76:258–269.

Martins TB, Jaskowski TD, Tebo A, Hill HR (2009). Development of a multiplexed fluorescent immunoassay for the quantitation of antibody responses to four *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroups. *J Immunol Methods*, 342:98–105.

Masignani V, Comanducci M, Giuliani MM, Bambini S, Adu-Bobie J, Aricò B et al. (2003). Vaccination against *Neisseria meningitidis* using three variants of the lipoprotein GNA1870. *J Exp Med*, 197:789–799.

Maslanka SE, Gheesling LL, Libutti DE, Donaldson KB, Harakeh HS, Dykes JK et al. (1997). Standardization and a multilaboratory comparison of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup A and C serum bactericidal assays. The Multilaboratory Study Group. *Clin DiagnLab Immunol*, 4:156–167.

Maslanka SE, Tappero JW, Plikaytis BD, Brumberg RS, Dykes JK, Gheesling LL et al. (1998). Age-dependent *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup C class- specific antibody concentrations and bactericidal titers in sera from young children from Montana immunized with a licensed polysaccharide vaccine. *Infect Immun*, 66:2453–2459.

Materu S, Cox HS, Isaakidis P, Baruani B, Ogaro T, Caugant DA (2007). Serogroup X in meningococcal disease, Western Kenya. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 13:944–945.

McCormick JB, Gusmão HH, Nakamura S, Freire JB, Veras J, Gorman G et al. (1980). Antibody response to serogroup A and C meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines in infants born of mothers vaccinated during pregnancy. *J Clin Invest*, 65:1141–1144.

McNamara LA, Topaz N, Wang X, Hariri S, Fox L, MacNeil JR (2017). High risk for invasive meningococcal disease among patients receiving eculizumab (Soliris) despite receipt of meningococcal vaccine. *Am J Transplant*, 17: 2481–2484.

MacNeil JR, Cohn AC, Zell ER, Schmink S, Miller E, Clark T et al. (2011). Early estimate of the effectiveness of quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 30:451–455.

MacNeil JR, Rubin L, Folaranmi T, Ortega-Sanchez IR, Patel M, Martin SW (2015). Use of serogroup B meningococcal vaccines in adolescents and young adults – Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, 2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 64:1171–1176.

Medicines Control Agency, Committee on Safety of Medicines (2000). Safety of meningococcal group C conjugate vaccines. *Current problems in Pharmacovigilance*, 26:14.

MenAfriCar Consortium. (2013). Meningococcal carriage in the African meningitis belt. *Trop Med Int Health*, 18:968–978.

Menjugate package leaflet (<u>http://www.mhra.gov.uk/home/groups/spcpil/documents/</u> spcpil/con1516944251878.pdf, last accessed 2 July 2018).

Menjugate SPC (<u>https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/product/167/smpc</u>, last accessed 2 July 2018).

Milagres LG, Ramos SR, Sacchi CT, Melles CE, Vieira VS, Sato H et al. (1994). Immune response of Brazilian children to a *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup B outer membrane protein vaccine: comparison with efficacy. *Infect Immun*, 62:4419-4424.

Miller CP (1933). Experimental meningococcal infection in mice. Science, 78: 340-341.

Miller E, Salisbury D, Ramsay M (2001). Planning, registration and implementation of an immunization campaign against meningococcal serogroup C disease in the UK: a success story. *Vaccine*, 20 (Suppl 1) S58–S67.

Miller E, Andrews N, Waight P, Findlow H, Ashton L, England A et al. (2011). Safety and immunogenicity of coadministering a combined meningococcal serogroup C and *Haemophilus influenzae* type b conjugate vaccine with 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine and measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine at 12 months of age. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 18:367–372. Miller L, Arakaki L, Ramautar A, Bodach S, Braunstein SL, Kennedy J et al. (2014). Elevated risk for invasive meningococcal disease among persons with HIV. *Ann Intern Med*, 160:30–37.

Moore PS, Hierholzer J, DeWitt W, Gouan K, Djoré D, Lippeveld T et al. (1990). Respiratory viruses and mycoplasma as cofactors for epidemic group A meningococcal meningitis [see comments]. *JAMA : the Journal of the American Medical Association*, 264:1271–1275.

Mora M, Donati C, Medini D, Covacci A, Rappuoli R (2006). Microbial genomes and vaccine design: refinements to the classical reverse vaccinology approach. *Curr Opin Microbiol*, 9:532–536.

Morley SL, Cole MJ, Ison CA, Camaraza MA, Sotolongo F, Anwar N et al. (2001). Immunogenicity of a serogroup B meningococcal vaccine against multiple *Neisseria meningitidis* strains in infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 20:1054–1061.

Mueller JE, Yaro S, Madec Y, Somda PK, Idohou RS, Njanpop-Lafourcade BM et al. (2008). Association of respiratory tract infection symptoms and air humidity with meningococcal carriage in Burkina Faso. *Trop Med Int Health*, 13:1543–1552.

Murdoch H, Wallace L, Bishop J, Robertson C, Cameron JC (2017). Risk of hospitalisation with fever following MenB vaccination: self-controlled case series analysis. *Arch Dis Child*, 102:894–898.

Murphy E, Andrew L, Lee KL, Dilts DA, Nunez L, Fink PS et al. (2009). Sequence diversity of the factor H binding protein vaccine candidate in epidemiologically relevant strains of serogroup B *Neisseria meningitidis*. J Infect Dis, 200:379–389.

Muse D, Christensen S, Bhuyan P, Absalon J, Eiden JJ, Jones TR et al. (2016). A phase 2, randomized, active-controlled, observer-blinded study to assess the immunogenicity, tolerability and safety of bivalent rLP2086, a meningococcal serogroup B vaccine, coadministered with tetanus, diphtheria and acellular pertussis vaccine and serogroup A, C, Y and W-135 meningococcal conjugate vaccine in healthy US adolescents. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 35:673–682.

Mustapha MM, Marsh JW, Harrison LH (2016). Global epidemiology of capsular group W meningococcal disease (1970-2015): Multifocal emergence and persistence of hypervirulent sequence type (ST)-11 clonal complex. *Vaccine*, 34:1515–1523.

Nadel S, Kroll JS (2007). Diagnosis and management of meningococcal disease: the need for centralized care. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*, 31:71–83.

Naess LM, Aarvark T, Aase A, Oftung F, Høiby EA, Sandin R et al. (1999). Human IgG subclass responses in relation to serum bactericidal and opsonic activities after immunization with three doses of the Norwegian serogroup B meningococcal outer membrane vesicle vaccine. *Vaccine*, 17:754–764.

Nainani V, Galal U, Buttery J, Snape MD (2017). An increase in accident and emergency presentations for adverse events following immunisation after introduction of the group B meningococcal vaccine: an observational study. *Arch Dis Child*, 102:958–962.

National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI) (2007). An Advisory Committee Statement (ACS). Statement on conjugate meningococcal vaccine for serogroups A, C, Y and W135. *Can Commun Dis Rep*, 33:1–23.

National Meningitis Association. Meningococcal Disease on US College Campuses, 2013–2017 (<u>http://www.nmaus.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/College-Cases-Map.</u> pdf, last accessed 29 June 2018).

Nelson SJ, Charlett A, Orr HJ, Barker RM, Neal KR, Taylor C et al. (2001). Risk factors for meningococcal disease in university halls of residence. *Epidemiol Infect*, 126:211–217.

Nicholson A, Lepow IH (1979). Host defense against *Neisseria meningitidis* requires a complement-dependent bactericidal activity. *Science*, 205:298–299.

Nimenrix powder and solvent for solution for injection in pre-filled syringe. Summary of product characteristics (<u>https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/product/4118/smpc</u>, last accessed 2 July 2018).

Nitta AT, Douglas JM, Arakere G, Ebens JB (1993). Disseminated meningococcal infection in HIV-seropositive patients. *AIDS* 1993, 7:87–90.

Nolan T, Richmond P, Marshall H, McVernon J, Alexander K, Mesaros N et al. (2011). Immunogenicity and safety of an investigational combined *Haemophilus influenzae* type B-*Neisseria meningitidis* serogroups C and Y-tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 30:190–196.

Nolan TM, Nissen MD, Naz A, Shepard J, Bedell L, Hohenboken M et al. (2014). Immunogenicity and safety of a CRM-conjugated meningococcal ACWY vaccine administered concomitantly with routine vaccines starting at 2 months of age. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*, 10:280–289.

Noronha CP, Struchiner CJ, Halloran ME (1995). Assessment of the direct effectiveness of BC meningococcal vaccine in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: a case-control study. *Int J Epidemiol*, 24:1050–1057.

Novak RT, Kambou JL, Diomandé FVK, Tarbangdo TF, Ouédragogo Traoré R, Sangaré L et al. (2012). Serogroup A meningococcal conjugate vaccination in Burkina Faso: analysis of national surveillance data. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 12:757–764.

Okwo-Bele JM, LaForce FM, Borrow R, Preziosi MP (2015). Documenting the results of a successful partnership: a new meningococcal vaccine for Africa. *Clin Infect Dis*, 61 (Suppl 5):S389–S390.

Oldfield NJ, Green LR, Parkhill J, Bayliss CD, Turner DPJ (2018). Limited impact of adolescent meningococcal ACWY vaccination on *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup W carriage in university students. *J Infect Dis*, 217:608–616.

Ostergaard L, Vesikari T, Absalon J, Beeslaar J, Ward BJ, Senders S et al. (2017). A bivalent meningococcal B vaccine in adolescents and young adults. *N Engl J Med*, 377:2349–2362.

Parikh SR, Andrews NJ, Beebeejaun K, Campbell H, Ribeiro S, Ward C et al. (2016). Effectiveness and impact of a reduced infant schedule of 4CMenB vaccine against group B meningococcal disease in England: a national observational cohort study. *Lancet*, 388:2775–2782.

Parikh SR, Lucidarme J, Bingham C, Warwicker P, Goodship T, Borrow R et al. (2017). Meningococcal B vaccine failure with a penicillin-resistant strain in a young adult on long-term Eculizumab. *Pediatrics*, 140(3). pii: e20162452.

Parikh SR, Campbell H, Gray SJ, Beebeejaun K, Ribeiro S, Borrow R et al. (2018). Epidemiology, clinical presentation, risk factors, intensive care admission and outcomes of invasive meningococcal disease in England, 2010–2015. *Vaccine*, 36:3876–3881.

Patel JC, George J, Vuong J, Potts CC, Bozio C, Clark TA et al. (2017). Rapid laboratory identification of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup C as the cause of an outbreak – Liberia, 2017. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 66:1144–1147.

Patel M, Lee C-K. Cochrane Database Syst Rev (2005). Polysaccharide vaccines for preventing serogroup A meningococcal meningitis (<u>http://www.cochrane.org/</u> <u>CD001093/ARI polysaccharide-vaccines-for-preventing-serogroup-a-meningococcal-</u> <u>meningitis</u>, last accessed 10 April 2018).

Patel SR, Ortín M, Cohen BJ, Borrow R, Irving D, Sheldon J et al. (2007a). Revaccination of children after completion of standard chemotherapy for acute leukemia. *Clin Infect Dis*, 44:635–642.

Patel SR, Ortín M, Cohen BJ, Borrow R, Irving D, Sheldon J et al. (2007b). Revaccination with measles, tetanus, poliovirus, *Haemophilus influenzae* type b, Meningococcus C, and Pneumococcus vaccines in children after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis*, 44:625–634.

Patton ME, Stephens D, Moore K, MacNeil JR (2017). Updated recommendations for use of MenB-FHbp serogroup B meningococcal vaccine – Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 66:509–513.

Pearson IC, Baker R, Sullivan AK, Nelson MR, Gazzard BG (2001). Meningococcal infection in patients with the human immunodeficiency virus and acquired immunodeficency syndrome. *Int J STD AIDS*, 12:410–411.

Pellegrino P, Perrone V, Radice S, Capuano A, Clementi E (2015). Immunogenicity of meningococcal quadrivalent (serogroup A, C, W135 and Y) tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine: systematic review and metaanalysis. *Pharmacol Res*, 92:31–39.

Peltola H, Mäkelä PH, ELO O, Pettay O, Renkonen OV, Sivonen A (1976). Vaccination against meningococcal group A disease in Finland 1974–1975. Scand J Infect Dis, 8:169–174.

Peltola H, Mäkelä PH, Käyhty H, Jousimies H, Herva E, Hällström K et al. (1977). Clinical efficacy of meningococcus group A capsular polysaccharide vaccine in children three months to five years of age. *N Engl J Med*, 297:686–691. Peltola H, Käyhty H, Kuronen T, Haque N, Sarna S, Mäkelä PH (1978). Meningococcus group A vaccine in children three months to five years of age. Adverse reactions and immunogenicity related to endotoxin content and molecular weight of the polysaccharide. *J Pediatr*, 92:818–822.

Petersen BH, Lee TJ, Snyderman R, Brooks GF (1979). *Neisseria meningitidis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* bacteremia associated with C6, C7, or C8 deficiency. *Ann Intern Med*, 90:917–920.

Pether JVS, Lightfoot NF, Scott RJ, Morgan J, Steele-Perkins AP, Sheard SC (1988). Carriage of *Neisseria meningitidis*: investigations in a military establishment. *Epidemiol Infect*, 101:21–42.

Pichichero M, Casey J, Blatter M, Rothstein E, Ryall R, Bybel M et al. (2005). Comparative trial of the safety and immunogenicity of quadrivalent (A, C, Y, W-135) meningococcal polysaccharide-diphtheria conjugate vaccine versus quadrivalent polysaccharide vaccine in two- to ten-year-old children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 24:57–62.

Pizza M, Scarlato V, Masignani V, Giuliani MM, Aricò B, Comanducci M et al. (2000). Identification of vaccine candidates against serogroup B meningococcus bywholegenome sequencing. *Science*, 287:1816–1820.

Platonov AE, Vershinina IV, Kuijper EJ, Borrow R, Käyhty H (2003). Long term effects of vaccination of patients deficient in a late complement component with a tetravalent meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine. *Vaccine*, 21:4437–4447.

Plested JS, Ferry BL, Coull PA, Makepeace K, Lehmann AK, MacKinnon FG et al. (2001). Functional opsonic activity of human serum antibodies to inner core lipopolysaccharide (galE) of serogroup B meningococci measured by flow cytometry. *Infect Immun*, 69:3203–3213.

Poolman JT, De Vleeschauwer I, Durant N, Devos N, Feron C, Lestrate P et al. (2011). Measurement of functional anti-meningococcal serogroup A activity using strain 3125 as the target strain for serum bactericidal assay. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 18:1108–1117.

Popovic T, Sacchi CT, Reeves MW, Whitney AM, Mayer LW, Noble CA et al. (2000). *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup W135 isolates associated with the ET-37 complex. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 6:428–429.

Prymula R, Esposito S, Zuccotti GV, Xie F, Toneatto D, Kohl I et al. (2014). A phase 2 randomized controlled trial of a multicomponent meningococcal serogroup B vaccine (I). *Hum Vaccin Immunother*, 10:1993–2004.

Public Health England. Guidance for public health management of meningococcal disease in the UK. Updated February 2018 (<u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/688835/Public_health_management_of_meningococcal_disease_guidelines.pdf</u>, last accessed 29 June 2018).

Ramsay ME, Miller E, Ashworth LA, Coleman TJ, Rush M, Waight PA (1995). Adverse events and antibody response to accelerated immunisation in term and preterm infants. *Arch Dis Child*, 72:230–232.

Ramsay ME, Andrews N, Kaczmarski EB, Miller E (2001). Efficacy of meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccine in teenagers and toddlers in England. *Lancet*, 357:195–196.

Rappuoli R (2000). Reverse vaccinology. Curr Opin Microbiol, 3:445–450.

Read RC, Baxter D, Chadwick DR, Faust SN, Finn A, Gordon SB et al. (2014). Effect of a quadrivalent meningococcal ACWY glycoconjugate or a serogroup B meningococcal vaccine on meningococcal carriage: an observer-blind, phase 3 randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*, 384:2123–2131.

Reingold AL, Broome CV, Hightower AW, Ajello GW, Bolan GA, Adamsbaum C et al. (1985). Age-specific differences in duration of clinical protection after vaccination with meningococcal polysaccharide A vaccine. *Lancet*, 2:114–118.

Rennels M, King JT Jr, Ryall R, Manoff S, Papa T, Weddle A et al. (2002). Dose escalation, safety and immunogenicity study of a tetravalent meningococcal polysaccharide diphtheria conjugate vaccine in toddlers. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 21:978–979.

Rennels M, King JT Jr, Ryall R, Papa T, Froeschle J (2004). Dosage escalation, safety and immunogenicity study of four dosages of a tetravalent meninogococcal polysaccharide diphtheria toxoid conjugate vaccine in infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 23:429–435.

Richmond P, Borrow R, Miller E, Clark S, Sadler F, Fox A et al. (1999). Meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccine is immunogenic in infancy and primes for memory. *J Infect Dis*, 179:1569–1572.

Richmond P, Kaczmarski EB, Borrow R, Findlow J, Clark S, McCann R et al. (2000). Meningococcal C polysaccharide vaccine induces immunologic hyporesponsiveness in adults that is overcome by meningococcal C conjugate vaccine. *J Infect Dis*, 181:761–764.

Richmond P, Borrow R, Findlow J, Martin S, Thornton C, Cartwright K et al. (2001a). Evaluation of De-O-acetylated meningococcal C polysaccharide-tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine in infancy: reactogenicity, immunogenicity, immunologic priming, and bactericidal activity against O-acetylated and De-O-acetylated serogroup C strains. *Infect Immun*, 69:2378–2382.

Richmond P, Borrow R, Goldblatt D, Findlow J, Martin S, Morris R et al. (2001b). Ability of 3 different meningococcal C conjugate vaccines to induce immunologic memory after a single dose in UK toddlers. *J Infect Dis*, 183:160–163.

Richmond PC, Marshall HS, Nissen MD, Jiang Q, Jansen KU, Garcés-Sánchez M et al. (2012). Safety, immunogenicity, and tolerability of meningococcal serogroup B bivalent recombinant lipoprotein 2086 vaccine in healthy adolescents: a randomised, single-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 12:597–607.

Robbins JB, Meyerowitz RL, Whisnant JK, Argaman M, Schneerson R, Handzel ZT et al. (1972). Enteric bacteria cross-reactive with *Neisseria meningitidis* groups A and C and *Diplococcus pneumoniae* types I and 3. *Infect Immun*, 6:651–656.

Roberts JS, Bryett KA (1988). Incidence of reactions to meningococcal A&C vaccine among U.K. schoolchildren. *Public Health*, 102:471–476.

Roberts RB (1970). The relationship between group A and group C meningococcal polysaccharides and serum opsonins in man. *J Exp Med*, 131:499–513.

Rondy M, Issifou D, Ibrahim AS, Maman Z, Kadade G, Omou H et al. (2016). Vaccine Effectiveness of Polysaccharide Vaccines Against Clinical Meningitis - Niamey, Niger, June 2015. *PLoS Curr*, 8. pii: ecurrents.outbreaks.5d6e9c1d071a2088109c2427 71b68886.

Rosain J, Hong E, Fieschi C, Martins PV, El Sissy C, Deghmane AE et al. (2017). Strains responsible for invasive meningococcal disease in patients with terminal complement pathway deficiencies. *J Infect Dis*, 215:1331–1338.

Rosenqvist E, Høiby EA, Wedege E, Bryn K, Kolberg J, Klem A et al. (1995). Human antibody responses to meningococcal outer membrane antigens after three doses of the Norwegian group B meningococcal vaccine. *Infect Immun*, 63:4642–4652.

Rosenstein NE, Levine O, Taylor JP, Evans D, Plikaytis BD, Wenger JD et al. (1998). Efficacy of meningococcal vaccine and barriers to vaccination. *JAMA : the Journal of the American Medical Association*, 279:435–439.

Rosenstein NE, Perkins BA, Stephens DS, Popovic T, Hughes JM (2001). Meningococcal disease. N Engl J Med, 344:1378–1388.

Ross SC, Densen P (1984). Complement deficiency states and infection: epidemiology, pathogenesis and consequences of neisserial and other infections in an immune deficiency. *Medicine (Baltimore)*, 63:243–273.

Ruggeberg J et al. (2002). Immunogenicity of *Neisseria meningitidis* group C conjugate vaccine in children with HIV-infection and the response to booster doses. [Abstract]. In: Proceedings of the twentieth annual meeting of the European Society for Paediatric Infectious Diseases (ESPID). Vilnius, Lithuania, 29–31 May 2002:95.

Saari TN (2003). Immunization of preterm and low birth weight infants. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Infectious Diseases. *Pediatrics*, 112:193–198.

Sacchi CT, Pessoa LL, Ramos SR, Milagres LG, Camargo MC, Hidalgo NT et al. (1992). Ongoing group B *Neisseria meningitidis* epidemic in São Paulo, Brazil, due to increased prevalence of a single clone of the ET-5 complex. *J Clin Microbiol*, 30:1734–1738.

Sachdeva A, Kukreja S, Jain V, Dutta AK (2005). Meningococcal disease – outbreak in Delhi. *Indian Pediatr*, 42:547–556.

Sáfadi MAP, O'Ryan M, Valenzeula Bravo MT, Brandileone MCC, Gorla MCO, de Lemos APS et al. (2015). The current situation of meningococcal disease in Latin America and updated Global Meningococcal Initiative (GMI) recommendations. *Vaccine*, 33:6529–6536.

Sáfadi MAP, Martinon-Torres F, Weckx LY, Moreira ED Junior, da Fonseca Lima EJ, Mensi I et al. (2017). Immunogenicity and safety of concomitant administration of meningococcal serogroup B (4CMenB) and serogroup C (MenC-CRM) vaccines in infants: A phase 3b, randomized controlled trial. *Vaccine*, 35:2052–2059.
Saliou P, Stoeckel P, Lafaye A, Rey JL, Renaudet J (1978). Controlled tests of antimeningococcal polysaccharide A vaccine in the African Sahel area (Upper Volta and Mali). *Dev Biol Stand*, 41:97–108.

Sanofi Pasteur Inc. Menactra – FDA (<u>https://www.fda.gov/files/vaccines,%20blood%20</u> <u>&%20biologics/published/Package-Insert---Menactra.pdf</u>).

Santolaya ME, O'Ryan ML, Valenzuela MT, Prado V, Vergara R, Muñoz A et al. (2012). Immunogenicity and tolerability of a multicomponent meningococcal serogroup B (4CMenB) vaccine in healthy adolescents in Chile: a phase 2b/3 randomised, observer blind, placebo-controlled study. *Lancet*, 379:617–624.

Santolaya ME, O'Ryan M, Valenzuela MT, Prado V, Vergara RF, Muñoz A et al. (2013). Persistence of antibodies in adolescents 18–24 months after immunization with one, two, or three doses of 4CMenB meningococcal serogroup B vaccine. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*, 9:2304–2310.

Saukkonen K (1988). Experimental meningococcal meningitis in the infant rat. *Microb Pathog*, 4:203–211.

Scheifele DW, Bjornson G, Boraston S (1994). Local adverse effects of meningococcal vaccine [letter]. *Can Med Assoc J*, 150:14–15.

Schilling A, Parra MM, Gutierrez M, Restrepo J, Ucros S, Herrera T et al. (2015). Coadministration of a 9-valent Human Papillomavirus Vaccine With Meningococcal and Tdap Vaccines. *Pediatrics*, 136:e563–572.

Schmitt HJ, Maechler G, Habermehl P, Knuf M, Saenger R, Begg N et al. (2007). Immunogenicity, reactogenicity, and immune memory after primary vaccination with a novel *Haemophilus influenzae-Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup C conjugate vaccine. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 14:426–434.

Senders S, Bhuyan P, Jiang Q, Absalon J, Eiden JJ, Jones TR et al. (2016). Immunogenicity, tolerability and safety in adolescents of bivalent rLP2086, a meningococcal serogroup B vaccine, coadministered with quadrivalent Human Papilloma Virus vaccine. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 35:548–554.

Siberry GK, Warshaw MG, Williams PL, Spector SA, Decker MD, Jean-Philippe P et al. (2012). Safety and immunogenicity of quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccine in 2- to 10-year-old human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 31:47–52.

Sierra GV, Campa HC, Varcacel NM, Garcia IL, Izquierdo PL, Sotolongo PF et al. (1991). Vaccine against group B *Neisseria meningitidis*: protection trial and mass vaccination results in Cuba. *NIPH Ann*, 14:195–207.

Simmons RD, Kirwan P, Beebeejaun K, Riordan A, Borrow R, Ramsay ME et al. (2015). Risk of invasive meningococcal disease in children and adults with HIV in England: a population-based cohort study. *BMC Med*, 2015 Dec 9;13:297.

Sjursen H, Lehmann V, Naess A, Hervig T, FlØ RW, Maehle B et al. (1992). Monocyte phagocytosis of opsonized Neisseria meningitidis serogroup B. *APMIS*, 100:209–220.

Slack MH, Schapira D, Thwaites RJ, Burrage M, Southern J, Andrews N et al. (2001). Immune response of premature infants to meningococcal serogroup C and combined diphtheria-tetanus toxoids-acellular pertussis-*Haemopilus influenzae* type b conjugate vaccines. *J Infect Dis*, 184:1617–1620.

Slack MH, Cade S, Schapira D, Thwaites RJ, Crowley-Luke A, Southern J et al. (2005). DT5aP-Hib-IPV and MCC vaccines: preterm infants' response to accelerated immunization. *Arch Dis Child*, 90:338–341.

Smilak JD. (1974). Group Y meningococcal disease. Twelve cases at an army training center. *Ann Intern Med*, 81: 740–745.

Snape MD, Pollard AJ. (2005). Meningococcal polysaccharide-protein conjugate vaccines. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 5:21–30.

Snape MD, Kelly DF, Green B, Moxon ER, Borrow R, Pollard AJ (2005). Lack of serum bactericidal activity in preschool children two years after a single dose of serogroup C meningococcal polysaccharide-protein conjugate vaccine. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 24:128–131.

Snape MD, Kelly DF, Lewis S, Banner C, Kibwana L, Moore CE et al. (2008a). Seroprotection against serogroup C meningococcal disease in adolescents in the United Kingdom: observational study. *BMJ*, 336:1487–1491.

Snape MD, Perrett KP, Ford KJ, John TM, Pace D, Yu LM et al. (2008b) Immunogenicity of a tetravalent meningococcal glycoconjugate vaccine in infants: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA : the Journal of the American Medical Association*, 299:173–184.

Snape MD, Dawson T, Oster P, Evans A, John TM, Ohene-Kena B et al. (2010). Immunogenicity of two investigational serogroup B meningococcal vaccines in the first year of life. A randomized comparative trial. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 29:e71–79.

Snape MD, Saroey P, John TM, Robinson H, Kelly S, Gossger N et al. (2013) Persistence of bactericidal antibodies following early infant vaccination with a serogroup B meningococcal vaccine and immunogenicity of a preschool booster dose. *CMAJ*, 185:E715–E724.

Southern J, Deane S, Ashton L, Borrow R, Goldblatt D, Andrews N et al. (2004). Effects of prior polysaccharide vaccination on magnitude, duration, and quality of immune responses to and safety profile of a meningococcal serogroup C tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccination in adults. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*, 11:1100–1104.

Southern J, Gelb D, Andrews N, Waight PA, Morris R, Cartwright K et al. (2006). Reactogenicity of meningococcal C conjugate vaccines when administered at the same time as, or a month prior to or after, tetanus and diphtheria booster vaccinations. *Hum Vaccin*, 2:237–242.

Sow SO, Okoko BJ, Diallo A, Viviani S, Borrow R, Carlone G et al. (2011). Immunogenicity and safety of a meningococcal A conjugate vaccine in Africans. *N Engl J Med*, 64:2293–2304.

Stefanelli P, Neri A, Vacca P, Picicco D, Daprai L, Mainardi G et al. (2017). Meningococci of serogroup X clonal complex 181 in refugee camps, Italy. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 23:870–872.

Stephens DS (1999). Uncloaking the meningococcus: dynamics of carriage and disease. *Lancet*, 353:941–942.

Steven N, Wood M (1995). The clinical spectrum of meningococcal disease. In: Cartwight K, editor; Meningococcal disease. *Chichester: John Wiley & Sons*:177–205.

Stratton K, Ford A, Rusch E, Clayton EW, editors; Committee to Review Adverse Effects of Vaccines. Institute of Medicine Adverse Effects of Vaccines: Evidence and Causality, 2014. ISBN 978-0-309-21435-3.

Sun YH, Bakshi S, Chalmers R, Tang CM (2000). Functional genomics of Neisseria meningitidis pathogenesis. Nat Med, 6:1269–1273.

Taha MK, Achtman M, Alonso JM, Greenwood B, Ramsay M, Fox A et al. (2000). Serogroup W135 meningococcal disease in Hajj pilgrims. *Lancet*, 356:2159.

Taha MK, Giorgini D, Ducos-Galand M, Alsonso JM (2004). Continuing diversification of *Neisseria meningitidis* W135 as a primary cause of meningococcal disease after emergence of the serogroup in 2000. *J Clin Microbiol*, 42:4158–4163.

Tall H, Yaro S, Kpoda HBN, Ouangraoua S, Trotter CL, Lafourcade BMN et al. (2015). Meningococcal seroepidemiology 1 year after the PsA-TT mass immunization campaign in Burkina Faso. *Clin Infect Dis*, 61 (Suppl 5):S540–S546.

Tapia MD, Findlow H, Idoko OT, Preziosi MP, Kulkarni PS, Enwere GC et al. (2015a). Antibody persistence 1-5 years following vaccination with MenAfriVac in African children vaccinated at 12-23 months of age. *Clin Infect Dis*, 61 (Suppl 5):S514–S520.

Tapia MD, Sow SO, Haidara FC, Diallo F, Doumbia M, Enwere GC et al. (2015b). A phase 3, double-blind, randomized, active controlled study to evaluate the safety of MenAfriVac in healthy Malians. *Clin Infect Dis*, 61 (Suppl 5): S507–513.

Tappero JW, Lagos R, Ballesteros AM, Plikaytis B, Williams D, Dykes J et al. (1999). Immunogenicity of 2 serogroup B outer-membrane protein meningococcal vaccines: a randomized controlled trial in Chile. *JAMA: the Journal of the American Medical Association*, 281:1520–1527.

Taunay AE, Galvao PA, de Morais JS, Gotschlich EC, Feldman RA (1974). Disease prevention by meningococcal serogroup C polysaccharide vaccine in pre-school children: results after eleven months in Sao Paulo, Brazil [abstract]. *Pediatric Research*, 8:429.

Taunay AE, Feldman RA, Bastos CO, Galvão PAA, Morais JS, Castro IO (1978). Avaliacao do efeito protector de vacina polissacaridica antimeningococica do groupo C, em criancas de 6 a 36 meses. [Assessment of the protective effect of group C polysaccharide anti-meningococcal vaccine in children aged 6 to 36 months]. *Rev Inst Adolfo Lutz*, 38:77–82 (in Spanish).

Taylor B, Andrews N, Stowe J, Hamidi-Manesh L, Miller E (2007). No increased risk of relapse after meningococcal C conjugate vaccine in nephrotic syndrome. *Arch Dis Child*, 92:887–889.

Tejedor JC, Moro M, Ruiz-Contreras J, Castro J, Gómez-Campderá JA, Navarro ML et al. (2007). Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of primary immunization with a novel combined *Haemophilus influenzae* type b and *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup C-tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine coadministered with a diphtheriatetanus- acellular pertussis-hepatitis B-inactivated poliovirus vaccine at 2, 4 and 6 months. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 26:1–7.

Thompson MJ, Ninis N, Perera R, Mayon-White R, Phillips C, Bailey L et al. (2006). Clinical recognition of meningococcal disease in children and adolescents. *Lancet*, 367:397–403.

Toneatto D, Ismaili S, Ypma E, Vienken K, Oster P, Dull P (2011). The first use of an investigational multicomponent meningococcal serogroup B vaccine (4CMenB) in humans. *Hum Vaccin*, 7:646–653.

Toropainen M, Käyhty H, Saarinen L, Rosenqvist E, Høiby EA, Wedege E et al. (1999). The infant rat model adapted to evaluate human sera for protective immunity to group B meningococci. *Vaccine*, 7:2677–2689.

Tregnaghi M, Lopez P, Stamboulian D, Graña G, Odrljin T, Bedell L et al. (2014). Immunogenicity and safety of a quadrivalent meningococcal polysaccharide CRM conjugate vaccine in infants and toddlers. *Int J Infect Dis*, 26:22–30.

Trotter CL, Maiden MC. (2009). Meningococcal vaccines and herd immunity: lessons learned from serogroup C conjugate vaccination programs. *Expert Rev Vaccines*, 8:851–861.

Trotter CL, Borrow R, Andrews N, Miller E (2003). Seroprevalence of meningococcal serogroup C bactericidal antibody in England and Wales in the pre-vaccination era. *Vaccine*, 21:1094–1098.

Trotter CL, Andrews NJ, Kaczmarski EB, Miller E, Ramsay ME et al. (2004). Effectiveness of meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccine 4 years after introduction. *Lancet*, 364:365–367.

Trotter CL, Ramsay ME, Gray S, Fox A, Kaczmarski EB (2006). No evidence for capsule replacement following mass immunisation with meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccines in England and Wales. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 6:616-617; author reply 617–618.

Trotter CL, Findlow J, Balmer P, Holland A, Barchha R, Hamer N et al. (2007). Seroprevalence of bactericidal and anti-outer membrane vesicle antibodies to *Neisseria meningitidis* group B in England. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 14:863–868.

Trotter CL, Borrow R, Findlow J, Holland A, Frankland S, Andrews NJ et al. (2008). Seroprevalence of antibodies against serogroup C meningococci in England in the postvaccination era. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 15:1694–1698.

Trotter CL, Yaro S, Njanpop-Lafourcarde BM, Drabo A, Kroman SS, Idohou RS et al. (2013). Seroprevalence of bactericidal, specific IgG antibodies and incidence of meningitis due to group A *Neisseria meningitidis* by age in Burkina Faso 2008. *PLoS One*, 8:e55486.

Trotter CL, Lingani C, Fernandez K, Cooper LV, Bita A, Tevi-Benissan C et al. (2017). Impact of MenAfriVac in nine countries of the African meningitis belt, 2010–15: an analysis of surveillance data. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 17:867–872.

Trumenba. Summary of Product Characteristics (<u>https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/</u> <u>medicine/33454</u>, last accessed 3 July 2018).

Tully J, Viner RM, Coen PG, Stuart JM, Zambon M, Peckham C et al. (2006). Risk and protective factors for meningococcal disease in adolescents: matched cohort study. *BMJ*, 332:445–450.

Van den Dobbelsteen GP, van Dijken HH, Pillai S, van Alphen L (2007). Immunogenicity of a combination vaccine containing pneumococcal conjugates and meningococcal PorA OMVs. *Vaccine*, 25:2491–2496.

Van der Ley P, van der Biezen J, Poolman JT (1995). Construction of *Neisseria meningitidis* strains carrying multiple chromosomal copies of the PorA gene for use in the production of a multivalent outer membrane vesicle vaccine. Vaccine, 13:401–407.

Vann WF, Liu TY, Robbins JB (1976). Bacillus pumilus polysaccharide cross-reactive with meningococcal group A polysaccharide. *Infect Immun*, 13:1654–1662.

Vannice KS, Keita M, Sow SO, Durbin AP, Omer SB, Moulton LH et al. (2015) Active surveillance for adverse events after a mass vaccination campaign with a group A meningococcal conjugate vaccine (PsA-TT) in Mali. *Clin Infect Dis*, 61 (Suppl 5): S493–500.

Vedros NA (1987). Development of meningococcal serogroups. In: Vedros NA, ed. Evolution of meningococcal disease. Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press Inc.:33–37.

Vedros NA, Genus I (1984). Neisseria. In: Krieg NR, Holt JG, editors. Bergey's Manual of systematic bacteriology. *Baltimore and London*, *Williams & Wilkins:* 290–296.

Vermont CL, van Dijken HH, Kuipers AJ, van Limpt CJP, Keijzers WCM, van der Ende A et al. (2003). Cross-reactivity of antibodies against PorA after vaccination with a meningococcal B outer membrane vesicle vaccine. *Infect Immun*, 71:1650–1655.

Vesikari T, Karvonen A, Borrow R, Kitchin N, Baudin M, Thomas S et al. (2011). Results from a randomized clinical trial of coadministration of RotaTeq, a pentavalent rotavirus vaccine, and NeisVac-C, a meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccine. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 18:878–884.

Vesikari T, Esposito S, Prymula R, Ypma E, Kohl I, Toneatto D et al. (2013). Immunogenicity and safety of an investigational multicomponent, recombinant, meningococcal serogroup B vaccine (4CMenB) administered concomitantly with routine infant and child vaccinations: results of two randomised trials. *Lancet*, 381:825–835. Vesikari T, Wysocki J, Beeslaar J, Eiden J, Jiang Q, Jansen KU et al. (2016). Immunogenicity, safety, and tolerability of bivalent rLP2086 meningococcal group B vaccine administered concomitantly with diphtheria, tetanus, and acellular pertussis and inactivated Poliomyelitis vaccines to healthy adolescents. *J Pediatr*ic Infect Dis Soc, 5:180–187.

Vesikari T, Borrow R, Da Costa X, Richard P, Eymin C, Boisnard F et al. (2017). Concomitant administration of a fully liquid, ready-to-use DTaP-IPV-HB-PRP-T hexavalent vaccine with a meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccine in infants. *Vaccine*, 35:452–458.

Vesikari T, Borrow R, Forsten A, Findlow H, Dhingra MS, Jordanov E (2020). Immunogenicity and safety of a quadrivalent meningococcal tetanus toxoid-conjugate vaccine (MenACYW-TT) in healthy toddlers: a phase II randomized study. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*, Apr 1;1–7. doi:10.1080/21645515.2020.1733869.

Vipond C, Care R, Feavers IM (2012). History of meningococcal vaccines and their serological correlates of protection. *Vaccine*, 30 (Suppl 2):B10–17.

Vu DM, de Boer AW, Danzig L, Santos G, Canty B, Flores BM et al. (2006a). Priming for immunologic memory in adults by meningococcal group C conjugate vaccination. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 13:605–610.

Vu DM, Kelly D, Heath PT, McCarthy ND, Pollard AJ, Granoff DM (2006b). Effectiveness analyses may underestimate protection of infants after group C meningococcal immunization. *J Infect Dis*, 194:231–237.

Wahdan MH, Rizk F, El-Akkad AM, El Ghoroury AA, Hablas R, Girgis NI et al. (1973). A controlled field trial of a serogroup A meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine. *Bull World Health Organ*, 48:667–673.

Wahdan MH, Sallam SA, Hassan MN, Gawad AA, Rakha AS, Sippel JE et al. (1977). A second controlled field trial of a serogroup A meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine in Alexandria. *Bull World Health Organ*, 55:645–651.

Wak G, Williams J, Oduro A, Maure C, Zuber PLF, Black S (2015). The safety of PsA-TT in pregnancy: an assessment performed within the Navrongo Health and Demographic Surveillance Site in Ghana. *Clin Infect Dis*, 61 (Suppl 5): S489–492.

Wedege E, Bolstad K, Aase A, Herstad TK, McCallum L, Rosenqvist E et al. (2007). Functional and specific antibody responses in adult volunteers in New Zealand who were given one of two different meningococcal serogroup B outer membrane vesicle vaccines. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 14:830–838.

Weidlich L, Baethgen LF, Mayer LW, Moraes C, Klein CC, Nunes LS et al. (2008). High prevalence of Neisseria meningitidis hypervirulent lineages and emergence of W135:P1.5,2:ST-11 clone in Southern Brazil. *J Infect*, 57:324–331.

Welsh JA, Moe GR, Rossi R, Adu-Bobie J, Rappuoli R, Granoff DM (2003). Antibody to genome-derived Neisserial antigen 2132, a *Neisseria meningitidis* candidate vaccine, confers protection against bacteraemia in the absence of complement-mediated bactericidal activity. *J Infect Dis*, 188:1730–1740.

Welsch JA, Rossi R, Comanducci M, Granoff DM (2004). Protective activity of monoclonal antibodies to genome-derived Neisserial antigen 1870, a *Neisseria meningitidis* candidate vaccine. *J Immunol*, 172:5606–5615.

Wheeler CM, Harvey BM, Pichichero ME, Simon MW, Combs SP, Blatter MM et al. (2011). Immunogenicity and safety of human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine coadministered with tetanus toxoid, reduced diphtheria toxoid, and acellular pertussis vaccine and/or meningococcal conjugate vaccine to healthy girls 11 to 18 years of age: results from a randomized open trial. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 30:e225–234.

WHO. Meningococcal Meningitis Weekly Reports (<u>http://www.who.int/emergencies/</u> <u>diseases/meningitis/epidemiological/en/</u>, last accessed 29 June 2018).

WHO. Meningococcal meningitis (<u>http://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/</u> meningitis/en/, last accessed 29 June 2018).

WHO. Emergency Preparedness and Response. Meningococcal meningitis (<u>http://www.who.int/csr/don/archive/disease/meningococcal_disease/en/</u>, last accessed 29 June 2018).

WHO (1976). Requirements for meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine. Geneva: World Health Organization (WHO Technical Report Series, No. 594:50–75).

WHO (1980). Requirements for meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine. Geneva: World Health Organization (WHO Technical Report Series, No. 23). 174–184.

WHO (1995). Weekly Epidemiological Record: meningococcal disease. Weekly Epidemiological Record, 70:281–288.

WHO (2000). Outbreak news. Acute febrile illness, United States of America. Weekly Epidemiological Record, 38:305–312.

WHO (2004). Recommendations for the production and control of group C meningococcal conjugate vaccines (Annex 3). Geneva: World Health Organization (WHO Technical Report Series No. 926:64–94).

WHO (2006). Recommendations to assure the quality, safety and efficacy of group A meningococcal conjugate vaccines. Geneva: World Health Organization (Vol. WHO\BS\06.2041–Final).

WHO (2007a). Global Advisory Committee on Vaccine Safety, 12–13 June 2007 (http://<u>www.who.int/vaccine safety/committee/reports/June 2007/en/</u>, last accessed 29 June 2018).

WHO (2007b). Meningococcal disease in Burkina Faso — Update 2 (<u>http://www.who.</u> <u>int/csr/don/2007_04_17/en/</u>, last accessed 3 July 2018).

WHO (2011). Meningococcal vaccines: WHO Position Paper, November 2011. No 47. 86, 521–540.

WHO (2014). Meningitis outbreak response in sub-Saharan Africa: WHO guideline. Geneva: World Health Organization (<u>http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/144727</u>, last accessed 29 June 2018). WHO (2015a). Managing meningitis epidemics in Africa. A quick reference guide for health authorities and health-care workers (<u>https://www.who.int/csr/resources/</u> publications/HSE GAR ERI 2010 4/en/, last accessed 21 April 2020).

WHO (2015b). Meningococcal A conjugate vaccine: updated guidance, February 2015. Weekly Epidemiological Record, 90:57–62.

WHO Manual (2011). 2nd Edition. Laboratory methods for the diagnosis of Meningitis caused by *Neisseria meningitidis*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and *Haemophilus influenzae* (<u>http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/70765/WHO IVB 11.09</u> eng.pdf, last accessed 3 July 2018).

Wilder-Smith A, Goh KT, Barkham T, Paton NI (2003). Hajj-associated outbreak strain of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup W135: estimates of the attack rate in a defined population and the risk of invasive disease developing in carriers. *Clin Infect Dis*, 36:679–683.

Williamson WA, Greenwood BM (1978). Impairment of the immune response to vaccination after acute malaria. *Lancet*, 1:1328–1329.

Xie O, Pollard AJ, Mueller JE, Norheim G (2013). Emergence of serogroup X meningococcal disease in Africa: need for a vaccine. *Vaccine*, 31:2852–2861.

Yergeau A, Alain L, Pless R, Robert Y (1996). Adverse events temporally associated with meningococcal vaccines. *CMAJ*, 154:503–507.

Zangwill KM, Stout RW, Carlone GM, Pais L, Harekeh H, Mitchell S et al. (1994). Duration of antibody response after meningococcal polysaccharide vaccination in US Air Force personnel. *J Infect Dis*, 169:847–852.

Zarantonelli ML, Szatanik M, Giorgini D, Hong E, Huerre M, Guillou F et al. (2007). Transgenic mice expressing human transferrin as a model for meningococcal infection. *Infect Immun*, 75:5609–5614.

Zhou J, Lottenbach KR, Barenkamp SJ, Lucas AH, Reason DC (2002). Recurrent variable region gene usage and somatic mutation in the human antibody response to the capsular polysaccharide of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* type 23F. *Infect Immun*, 70:4083–4091.

Zollinger WD, Mandrell RE (1983). Importance of complement source in bactericidal activity of human antibody and murine monoclonal antibody to meningococcal group B polysaccharide. *Infect Immun*, 40:257–264.

Zollinger WD, Moran EE, Devi SJ, Frasch CE (1997). Bactericidal antibody responses of juvenile rhesus monkeys immunized with group B *Neisseria meningitidis* capsular polysaccharide-protein conjugate vaccines. *Infect Immun*, 65:1053–1060.

Zollinger WD, Babcock JG, Moran EE, Brandt BL, Matyas GR, Wassef NM et al. (2012). Phase I study of a *Neisseria meningitidis* liposomal vaccine containing purified outer membrane proteins and detoxified lipooligosaccharide. *Vaccine*, 30:712–721.

Department of Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals

World Health Organization 20, Avenue Appia CH-1211 Geneva 27 Switzerland E-mail: vaccines@who.int Web site: http://www.who.int/immunization/en/



