



## Transatlantic Taskforce on Antimicrobial Resistance (TATFAR)

## Summary the modified Delphi process for common structure and process indicators for hospital antimicrobial stewardship programs

Authors: Lori A. Pollack, Diamantis Plachouras, Heidi Gruhler, Ronda Sinkowitz-Cochran Senior Advisors: Dominique L. Monnet, J. Todd Weber

June 12, 2015

## **Executive Summary**

The Transatlantic Task Force on Antimicrobial Resistance (TATFAR) fosters cooperation between the European Union (EU) and the United States (US) on the issue of antimicrobial resistance. The first TATFAR recommendation refers to appropriate use of antimicrobials in human medicine through hospital Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs (ASPs) and, specifically, to the development of common structure and process indicators of ASP. These indicators should allow characterization of programs and comparisons among healthcare systems in EU and US.

To this end, a multidisciplinary expert panel, coordinated by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), was formed. The group consisted of 20 experts including representation of nine EU member states and six US states. The expert panel participated in a structured consensus process (modified Delphi method) to facilitate the international collaboration and ensure the equal involvement of all experts. The process was conducted between March and May 2014 and was concluded by a group consensus meeting in June 2014. An initial list of indicators was developed based on previous indicators, available guidance and a review of the literature, including published systematic reviews. The domains assessed were: Governance and Management; Human Resources; Laboratory; Information Technology; Education; Policies for Appropriate Use; Guidelines, Activities and Interventions; and Monitoring of Appropriate Use. The indicators were rated for feasibility, clinical importance and relevance to minimizing antimicrobial resistance. Three rounds of rating followed by the in-person meeting led to a final set of 33 indicators. Among them 17 indicators were considered essential to characterize an ASP and therefore were included in a core set of indicators. The remaining 16 indicators were considered optional indicators and included in a supplemental set.

Implementation of the TATFAR-developed core indicators in multiple nations would contribute to a comprehensive, comparative description of infrastructure, policies, and practices of ASPs internationally. These findings could, in turn, lead to an understanding of best practices of ASPs through further investigation into the relation of different ASP approaches to antimicrobial use and resistance. Current public health surveillance systems or special studies may also be candidates for the addition of ASP questions to baseline surveys. Furthermore these indicators are envisaged as drivers for improvement and alignment of best practices. Piloting, implementation and evaluation of the impact of the indicators constitute important next steps for the optimization of antimicrobial use.

### **Expert Panel**

Names	Institutional Affiliations	Country	
Anastasia Antoniadou	University Hospital Attikon	Athens , Greece	
Bojana Beovic	University Medical Centre	Ljubljana , Slovenia	
Franky Buyle	Ghent University Hospital	Ghent, Belgium	
Sara Cosgrove	Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions	Baltimore (MD), USA	
Peter Davey	Medical Research Institute	Dundee, UK	
Elizabeth S. Dodds Ashley	University of Rochester Medical Center	Rochester (NY), USA	
Catherine Dumartin	Bordeaux University Hospital	Bordeaux, France	
Alison Holmes	Department of Medicine, Imperial College London	London, UK	
Winfried Kern	University of Freiburg Medical Centre	Freiburg, Germany	
Maria Luisa Moro	Regional Agency for Health and Social Care of Emilia-Romagna	Bologna, Italy	
Dilip Nathwani	Department of Medicine, University of Dundee	Dundee, UK	
Jeanne Negley	Georgia Department of Public Health	Atlanta (GA), USA	
Melinda Neuhauser	VHA Pharmacy Benefits Management Services	Hines (IL), USA	
Christopher A. Ohl	Wake Forest University School of Medicine	Winston-Salem (NC), USA	
Diamantis Plachouras	European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC)	Stockholm, Sweden	
Lori A. Pollack	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Atlanta (GA), USA	
Jeroen Schouten	Senior Researcher, Scientific Institute for Quality of Healthcare	Nijmegen, Netherlands	
Ed Septimus	HCA Healthcare System	Houston (TX), USA	
Marc Struelens	European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC)	Stockholm, Sweden	
Agnes Wechsler- Fördös	Department of Antibiotic and Infection Control	Wien, Austria	

#### Coordinators

#### **Diamantis Plachouras**

Expert in Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections Surveillance and Response Support Unit European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control

(ECDC)
Tomtebodavägen 11A, Solna, Sweden

SE-171 83 Stockholm, Sweden diamantis.plachouras@ecdc.europa.eu

## Senior Advisors

#### **Dominique L. Monnet**

Head, Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcareassociated Infections (ARHAI) Programme European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC)

Tomtebodavägen 11A, Solna, Sweden SE-171 83 Stockholm, Sweden

dominiquel.monnet@ecdc.europa.eu

#### Lori A. (Loria) Pollack

Medical Officer, US Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion

National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious

Diseases Atlanta, GA. USA

lpollack@cdc.gov

#### J. Todd Weber

Chief, Prevention and Response Branch Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases

Atlanta, GA. USA <a href="mailto:jweber@cdc.gov">jweber@cdc.gov</a>

# Final Set of Core and Supplemental Indicators for Hospital Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs

CORE INDICATORS					
	for hospital antimicrobial stewardship programs				
Infrastructure	C1	Does your facility have a formal antimicrobial stewardship program accountable for ensuring			
		appropriate antimicrobial use?			
	C2	Does your facility have a <b>formal organizational structure</b> responsible for antimicrobial stewardship			
		(e.g., a multidisciplinary committee focused on appropriate antimicrobial use, pharmacy			
		committee, patient safety committee or other relevant structure)?			
	С3	Is an antimicrobial stewardship <b>team</b> available at your facility (e.g., greater than one staff member			
		supporting clinical decisions to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use)?			
	C4	Is there a physician identified as a <b>leader</b> for antimicrobial stewardship activities at your facility?			
	<b>C5</b>	Is there a <b>pharmacist</b> responsible for ensuring appropriate antimicrobial use at your facility?			
	C6	Does your facility provide any salary support for dedicated time for antimicrobial stewardship			
		activities (e.g., percentage of full-time equivalent (FTE) for ensuring appropriate antimicrobial use)?			
	<b>C7</b>	Does your facility have the IT capability to support the needs of the antimicrobial stewardship			
		activities?			
Policy and Practice	C8	Does your facility have facility-specific treatment recommendations based on local antimicrobial			
		susceptibility to assist with antimicrobial selection for common clinical conditions?			
	С9	Does your facility have a written policy that requires prescribers to <b>document an indication</b> in the			
		medical record or during order entry for all antimicrobial prescriptions?			
	C10	Is it routine practice for specified antimicrobial agents to be approved by a physician or pharmacist			
		in your facility (e.g., pre-authorization)?			
	C11	Is there a formal procedure for a physician, pharmacist, or other staff member to review the			
		appropriateness of an antimicrobial at or after 48 hours from the initial order (post-prescription			
		review)?			
Monitoring and Feedback	C12	Has your facility produced a <b>cumulative antimicrobial susceptibility report</b> in the past year?			
	C13	Does your facility <b>monitor if the indication</b> is captured in the medical record for all antimicrobial			
		prescriptions?			
	C14	Does your facility audit or review surgical antimicrobial prophylaxis choice and duration?			
	C15	Are results of antimicrobial audits or reviews <b>communicated directly</b> with prescribers?			
	015	The results of until incressial additis of reviews communicated uncerty with presensers.			
	C16	Does your facility monitor antimicrobial use by grams [Defined Daily Dose (DDD)] or counts [Days			
		of Therapy (DOT)] of antimicrobial(s) by patients per days?			
	C17	Has an annual report focused on antimicrobial stewardship (summary antimicrobial use and/or			
		practices improvement initiatives) been produced for your facility in the past year?			

C = Core Indicator

SUPPLEMENTAL INDICATORS					
	for hospital antimicrobial stewardship programs				
Infrastructure	NA	S1. Does your facility have a named senior executive officer with accountability for antimicrobial leadership?			
	С3	Is an antimicrobial stewardship team available at your facility (e.g., greater than one staff member supporting clinical decisions to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use)?  S2. If YES, Is an infection preventionist or hospital epidemiologist involved in stewardship activities?  S3. If YES, Is a microbiologist (laboratory staff) involved in stewardship activities?			
		S4. Is clinical infectious disease (ID) consultation available at your facility?			
	C4	Is there a physician identified as a leader for antimicrobial stewardship activities at your facility?  S5. If YES, are stewardship duties included in the job description and/or annual review?  S6. If YES, has this physician had specialized training in infectious diseases, clinical microbiology and/or antimicrobial stewardship?			
	<b>C</b> 5	Is there a pharmacist responsible for ensuring antimicrobial use at your facility?  S7. If YES, has this <b>pharmacist had specialized training</b> in infectious disease management or stewardship?			
Policy and Practice	<b>C9</b>	Does your facility have facility-specific treatment recommendations based on local antimicrobial susceptibility to assist with antimicrobial selection for common clinical conditions:  S8. If YES, for surgical prophylaxis?  S9. If YES, for community-acquired pneumonia?  S10. If YES, for urinary tract infection?  S11. If YES to any of the clinical conditions above, are these treatment recommendations easily accessible to prescribers on all wards (printed 'pocket guide' or electronic summaries at workstations)?			
	C11, C12	Are any of the following actions implemented in your facility to improve antimicrobial prescribing?  S12. Standardized criteria for changing from intravenous to oral antimicrobial therapy in appropriate situations?  S13. Dose optimization (pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics) to optimize the treatment of organisms with reduced susceptibility?  S14. Discontinuation of specified antimicrobial prescriptions after a pre-defined duration?			
8	NA	S15. Does your facility measure the percentage of antimicrobial prescriptions that are consistent with the local treatment recommendations for either UTI or CAP?			
Monitoring	C15	Does your facility audit or review surgical antimicrobial prophylaxis choice and duration?  S16. If YES, are antimicrobial prescriptions for surgical prophylaxis compliant with facility-specific guidelines in >80% of sampled cases in your facility?			

C = Core Indicator

S = Supplemental Indicator

NA = Not applicable to a specific Core Indicator