Ghana Malaria Indicator Trends:

Outputs from a DHS Program Workshop





Ghana Malaria Indicator Trends: Outputs from a DHS Program Workshop

December 2018









S The Global Fund

Financial support for the survey and accompanying workshops was provided by the United States Agency for International Development, the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria, and the Government of Ghana. ICF provided technical assistance through The DHS Program, a USAID-funded project that offers support and technical assistance in the implementation of population and health surveys in countries worldwide.

Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to thank Maxwell Amoah, Edith Mills Tay from the ICF Ghana office for all their logistical support for the Ghana Malaria Trends Workshop. For lodging during the workshop the authors would like to thank Valène Bia and Tamica Yates from ICF for their help in arranging hotel contracts and on-site support from the Manna Heights Hotel staff (Mal, Prince, and Michael).

Additional thanks goes to Samuel Oppong and Nana Yaw Peprah from the Ghana National Malaria Control Programme (NMCP) office for their assistance in recruiting participants, and to the PMI Ghana team (Jon Eric Tongren, Kwame Ankobea, and Dominic Dery) for their active participation in the discussion sections of the workshop.

Lastly, the authors would like to thank Sarah Yang for the creation of the report cover and Joan Wardell for the formatting of the report.

Recommended citation:

Ghana National Malaria Control Programme (NMCP), Ghana Health Service (GHS), and ICF. 2018. *Ghana Malaria Indicator Trends: Outputs from a DHS Program Workshop*. Accra, Ghana, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NMCP, GHS, and ICF.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	v
Household Ownership of Insecticide Treated Nets (ITNs)	2
ITN Access and Use	6
Children's Use of ITNs	8
Use of ITNs by Pregnant Women	10
Intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy (IPTp)	12
Case Management	14
Morbidity	18
Appendix A Authors by Report Topic	22
Appendix B Estimates of Sampling Errors	23

Introduction

This report is the product of the Ghana Malaria Indicator Trends Workshop held in Mankessim, Ghana from September 17-21, 2018. The purpose of this workshop was to increase the capacity of participants to understand and interpret trends in population-based household survey malaria indicators to answer key malaria programmatic questions. The workshop included a critical assessment of the malaria data from the 2008 Ghana Demographic and Health Survey (2008 GDHS), 2014 Ghana Demographic and Health Survey (2014 GDHS), and the 2016 Ghana Malaria Indicator Survey (2016 GMIS). The workshop included training on understanding key malaria indicators, linking the DHS/MIS questionnaire to indicators, examining confidence intervals for the interpretation of trends, and other topics.

Twenty-nine participants worked in teams of 4-5 individuals from different regions (please see the participant list on page vi) to produce the findings presented in this report. During the workshop, the facilitators provided participants with estimates of sampling errors from the core malaria indicators found in the 2008 and 2014 Ghana DHS and 2016 Ghana MIS surveys. The teams graphed indicator estimates with confidence intervals, examined variation in indicators across national level, urban/rural residence and regions. Each team produced the figures and bulleted indicator summaries, a product of guided discussions, included in this report. At the end of the workshop the teams also presented their key findings to the group, describing and interpreting results for their indicators.

A NOTE ON INTERPRETATION

Every estimate from a sample survey such as the 2008 GDHS, 2014 GDHS and 2016 GMIS is subject to a certain degree of uncertainty. The values shown in 2008 GDHS, 2014 GDHS, and 2016 GMIS tables and figures are the middle of a range of possible values. This range of possible values is called the confidence interval. Researchers are confident that the "truth," or the value one would get if every single person in the population were surveyed (rather than using a sample) lies within this range. All figures in this report include confidence interval bars showing the lower and upper limit of the 95% confidence interval for the estimate. For example, in 2016, 73% of households had at least one insecticide treated net (ITN). This estimate is surrounded by a confidence interval that ranges from 70.1% to 75.2%. This is a 95% confidence interval, meaning that, if the 2016 GMIS were conducted 100 times with a different sample each time, for 95 out of 100 samples, the result would fall between 70.1% and 75.2%.



Participant Name

Stephen Opoku Asabere Theodore Borbi Akwasi Appiah Donkor Bernard Opoku Dwomo Isaac Mensah Addai Alexander Akwasi Kissi Cynthia Sekubia Asamoah **Oware Mengyah** Dominic Afriyie-Kusi Joseph Abankwa Mary Achiaa Bendicta Owusu Appiah Oscar Vetsi Abraham Kofi Boateng Abu Issahaku Adjei Sarfo Kwabena Ataaba Robert Asoko Ernest Owusu Afriyie

Region of Work

Ashanti Ashanti **Brong Ahafo Brong Ahafo** Brong Ahafo Central Central Central Eastern Eastern Eastern Greater Accra Greater Accra Northern Northern Northern Upper East Upper East

Aleungurah Douglas Saaka Karim Sanda Titus Nii Teiko Tagoe Ebenezer Tetteh Edwin Daklu Faustina Dosoogla James Mba Mercy Nkrumah William Apanya Duvor Fergusson Ihsan Abubakar

Western Western Western National Malaria Control Programme National Malaria Control Programme

Upper West

Upper West

Upper West

Volta

Volta

Volta

Facilitators

Annē Linn Cameron Taylor Samuel Oppong The DHS Program The DHS Program National Malaria Control Programme

GHANA



Household Ownership of Insecticide Treated Nets (ITNs)

National Level Trends in ITN* Ownership



- Household ownership of at least one ITN increased significantly between the two surveys, from 68% in 2014 to 73% in 2016.
- Ghana is doing well in terms of household ownership of at least one ITN. The country achieved 73% household ownership as against the national target of 77% for 2016 (National Malaria Strategic Plan (NMSP) 2014-2020).



ITN Ownership Trends by Residence

- Household ownership of at least one ITN is significantly higher in rural areas than in the urban areas for both surveys. In 2016, this indicator wass 17 percentage points higher in rural settings compared to urban settings.
- There was an increase of 8% in urban household ownership of ITNs, from 60% in 2014 to 65% in 2016.
- Rural household ownership increased by 5%, from 78% in 2014 to 82% in 2016.

*An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment. In the final reports for the 2008 Ghana DHS, 2014 Ghana DHS, and 2016 Ghana MIS, this was known as a long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN). However, the definition of an ITN in the 2008 and 2014 DHS included nets that had been soaked with insecticides within the past 12 months.

ITN Ownership by Region (2016)

Percent of households with at least one ITN



- Household ownership of at least one ITN across regions in Ghana ranges between 61% in Greater Accra and 95% in Upper East region. This represents a statistically significant difference of 34 percentage points.
- It is noteworthy that Northern, Upper East, and Upper West regions had mass LLIN distribution campaigns in 2016 prior to the fieldwork for the 2016 MIS.

Household Ownership of ITNs (2)

National Level Trends in Full Household ITN Coverage

Percent of households with at least one ITN for every two people in the household

 Household ownership of at least one ITN for two people in the household increased significantly between the two surveys, from 45% in 2014 to 51% in 2016.



Full Household ITN Coverage Trends by Residence



- Household ownership of at least one ITN for every two people is significantly higher in rural areas than in the urban areas for both surveys. This indicator is 11 percentage points higher in rural settings compared to urban settings in 2016.
- Full household coverage among urban households increased from 41% in 2014 to 46% in 2016, representing a 12% increase.
- Rural household ownership of at least one ITN for every two people showed a 14%, statistically significant, increase from 50% in 2014 to 57% in 2016.

Full Household ITN Coverage by Region (2016)

Percent of households with at least one ITN for every two people



- Household ownership of at least one ITN for every two people across all regions in Ghana ranges between 42% in Greater Accra and 72% in Upper East Region.
- This represents a significant difference of 30 percentage points.

ITN Access and Use

National Level Trends in ITN Access and Use

Percent of household population with access to an ITN and who slept under an ITN the night before the survey



- The 2016 MIS showed that, while 66% of the population has access to an ITN, only 42% of the population slept under an ITN the night before the survey.
- There is a significant difference between ITN access and ITN use in both the 2014 and 2016 surveys
- Both ITN access and use have increased slightly since 2014.

Trends in ITN Use by Residence



- ITN use among the rural household population is higher than that of urban household population in both the 2014 DHS and 2016 MIS.
- There was an increase in ITN use among both the urban and rural household populations between the 2014 DHS and 2016 MIS.
- ITN use among the urban household population increased by 25% between the 2014 DHS to 2016 MIS. This is a statistically significant change
- Among the rural household population, ITN use increased by 15% between the 2014 DHS and 2016 MIS.



ITN Access and Use by Region (2016)

Percent of household population with access to an ITN and who slept under an ITN the night before the survey

- Upper East region has the highest ITN access (88%), compared to Greater Accra with the lowest (54%). This represents a 34 percentage point difference between the highest and the lowest region.
- Upper East region also has the highest percentage of the household population who slept under an ITN the night before this survey (63%). In Greater Accra, only 18% of the household population slept under an ITN the night before the survey.

Children's Use of ITNs

National Level Trends in Children's Use of ITNs

Percent of children under five who slept under an ITN the night before the survey



- The percentage of children under five who slept under an ITN the night before the survey increased from 47% in 2014 to 52% in 2016.
- This is an increase of five percentage points. It is noteworthy that, during this time period, there was a continuous ITN distribution targeting children age 18 months due for Measles 2 immunization.
- The current level of 52% use of ITNs by children under five is a 10 percentage point deficit compared to the annual target of 62% for the year 2016.
- Limiting the indicator only to households with at least one ITN reveals a higher percentage of children who slept under an ITN the night before the survey. This indicator increased from 59% in 2014 to 62% in 2016. (These data are not pictured but are presented in the tables in Appendix B.)

Trends in Children's Use of ITNs by Residence



- A significantly higher percentage of children in rural areas (62%) than urban areas (41%) slept under an ITN the night before the 2016 MIS, a difference of 21 percentage points.
- Children in rural areas who slept under an ITN the night before the survey increased from 55% in 2014 to 62% in 2016.
- Children in urban areas who slept under an ITN the night before the survey increased from 36% in 2014 to 41% in 2016.

Children's Use of ITNs by Region (2016)

Percent of children under five who slept under an ITN the night before the survey



- The use of ITNs among children under five is highest in Upper East region (76%) and lowest Greater Accra (33%).
- There is a significant difference in ITN use among children under five between Upper East and Greater Accra, with a 43 percentage point range.

Use of ITNs by Pregnant Women

National Level Trends in Pregnant Women's Use of ITNs

Percent of pregnant women age 15-49 who slept under an ITN the night before the survey



- Pregnant women who slept under an ITN the night before the survey increased from 43% in 2014 to 50% in 2016. This is an increase of 16%. The increase is not significant.
- Pregnant women in households with at least one ITN who slept under an ITN the previous night increased from 54% in 2014 to 59% in 2016 (these data are not pictured, but are presented in the tables in Appendix B). This is an increase of 9%. The increase is not statistically significant.
- There were mass campaigns for ITN distribution in 2010 and 2014, along with continuous distribution of ITNs to pregnant women at ANC since 2010.
- The 2016 national target for pregnant women's use of ITNs was 77%, but the achievement was 50%.

Trends in Pregnant Women's Use of ITNs by Residence



- The 2016 MIS showed that 60% of pregnant women slept under an ITN the previous night in rural areas, versus 39% in urban areas.
- Pregnant women who slept under an ITN increased from 31% in 2014 to 39% in 2016 in urban areas and from 55% in 2014 to 60% in 2016 in the rural areas.
- The magnitude of change was greater in urban areas compared to that of rural areas: the percentage increase in urban areas from 2014 to 2016 is 26% and that of rural areas is 9%.
- Neither of these increases is statistically significant.

Pregnant Women's Use of ITNs by Region (2016)



Percent of pregnant women age 15-49 who slept under an ITN the night before the survey

ⁱ Estimate is based on 25-49 unweighted cases and should be interpreted with caution ⁱⁱ Estimate not shown due to insufficient sample size (<25 unweighted cases)</p>

- Among the ten regions, Upper East recorded the highest percentage (73%) of pregnant women who slept under an ITN the previous night, whilst Western Region recorded the lowest (24%).
- There is a significant difference in the use of ITNs by pregnant women between Upper East and Western regions.

Intermittent Preventive Treatment in Pregnancy (IPTp)

National Level Trends



- In 2016, significantly fewer women (60%) reported receiving three or more doses of SP (IPTp3+), with at least one during an antenatal care (ANC) visit than one or more doses (IPTp1+, 85%) during their last pregnancy.
- There was a significant increase in the uptake of one or more doses of SP (IPTp1+) between 2008 (56%) and 2014 (83%) but not for 2014 to 2016 (85%).
- Results from the 2016 GMIS showed that 60% of women had received IPTp3+ with statistically significant increases of 122% over 2008 (27%) and 54% over 2014 (39%).
- The national target for 2016 for IPTp3+ was 65%. Results from the 2016 GMIS showed that Ghana had reached 60% of pregnant women with IPTp3+, which is 92% of the target.

IPTp 3+ Trends by Residence

Percent of pregnant women age 15-49 receiving three or more doses of SP/Fansidar, with at least one dose during an ANC visit, during their most recent pregnancy in the two years preceding the survey



- There was a significant increase in uptake of IPTp3+ in both urban and rural settings between the 2014 and 2016 surveys.
- Within the rural setting, there was an increase of 52% between 2014 and 2016; whereas the increase within the urban setting was 56%.



IPTp 3+ by Region (2016)

Percent of pregnant women age 15-49 receiving three or more doses of SP/Fansidar, with at least one dose during an ANC visit, during their most recent pregnancy in the two years preceding the survey

- The 2016 MIS results show that Northern region presents the lowest percentage of women having received IPTp1+ or IPTp2+ during their last pregnancy (see tables in Appendix B for full details). However, for IPTp3+, it is Western region that has the lowest percentage (48%).
- This is significantly lower than Upper East region, which has the highest uptake of IPTp3+ (79%).

Case Management

Trends in Care-Seeking Behaviour



- The percentage of children under five with fever in the two weeks before the survey whom advice or treatment was sought from health provider, health facility, or a pharmacy increased from 68% in 2008 to a high of 77% in 2014, a significant change. It subsequently fell by 6% to 72% in 2016.
- It is notable that the mass ITN campaign conducted in 2014 which included SBCC on malaria could have influenced this trend.
- The percentage of the children under five with fever in the two weeks before the survey whom advice or treatment was sought is higher in the urban areas than the rural areas in the three surveys.
- While there was only a marginal difference observed between urban and rural areas in 2014, treatment seeking for children with fever in rural areas decreased by 14% between 2014 and 2016 and remained stable in urban areas.

Care-Seeking Behaviour by Region (2016)



 The percent of febrile children for whom care or treatment was sought varies between 58% in Western and 85% in Upper East and Northern regions, which represents a statistically significant difference.

Trends in Diagnostic Testing

Percent of children under five with fever in the two weeks before the survey from whom blood was taken from their finger/heel for testing



- The percentage of children under five with fever in the two weeks before the survey who had blood taken from a finger/heel for testing was 34% in the 2014 DHS with a slight, non-significant decrease to 30% in the 2016 MIS.
- This represents a decrease of 12% in 2016 MIS over the 2014 DHS.
- The NMCP introduced the policy of 3Ts (test, treat and track) in 2014.
- The overall decrease in diagnostic testing between the surveys was driven by a decrease in rural areas.
- The percentage of children under five with fever in the two weeks before the survey who had blood taken from a finger/heel for testing decreased by 29% between the two surveys for the rural areas, from 38% in 2014 to 27% in 2016.
- In urban areas, however, diagnostic testing of children with fever increased from 29% in 2014 to 35% in 2016.



• Upper East region reports the highest percentage of children receiving a finger/heel stick (69%), which is significantly higher than the poorest performing region (Ashanti, 21%).

Diagnostic Testing by Region (2016) Percent of children under five with fever in the two weeks before the survey from



Trends in Appropriate Antimalarial Treatment

- The lowest percentage of children under five with fever who took artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT), Ghana's recommended first-line antimalarial, in the two weeks before the survey among those who took any antimalarial drugs was recorded in the 2008 GDHS (48%).
- There was a dramatic, statistically significant 63% increase between 2008 (48%) and 2014 (78%), followed by a 24% decrease to only 59% in 2016. This decrease was also statistically significant.
- NMCP introduced ACTs to the private sector in 2014.
- For both urban and rural areas, appropriate antimalarial treatment peaked in 2014 (73% in urban areas and 81% in rural areas).
- While in 2008, appropriate treatment with ACTs was higher in urban areas, for both 2014 and 2016, rural areas had higher percentages of children receiving antimalarial treatment in accordance with national protocols.

Morbidity

National Level Trends in Moderate-to-Severe Anaemia



Moderate-to-Severe Anaemia by Region (2016)

Percent of children age 6-59 months with haemoglobin <8 g/dl



- There is a statistically significant difference between the prevalence of moderate-tosevere anaemia among children in Greater Accra and those in Central, Volta, Eastern, Northern, Upper East and Upper West regions.
- Moderate-to-severe anaemia prevalence ranges between 1% in Greater Accra and 14% in Central region.

National Level Trends in Malaria Prevalence



- These two surveys were fielded • during similar periods of the year, and estimates of malaria parasitaemia are thus directly comparable.
- Malaria among children decreased • from 27% in 2014 DHS to 21% in 2016 MIS. This is a decrease of 22%. While the confidence intervals do overlap slightly, statistical testing reveals that this was in fact a significant decrease.

Trends in Malaria Prevalence by Residence



Percent of children age 6-59 months who tested positive

- Malaria prevalence decreased between 2014 and 2016 in both urban and rural areas.
- In 2016, malaria prevalence by • microscopy was more than twice as high in rural areas (28%) than in urban areas (11%). There is a statistically significant difference in malaria prevalence between urban and rural areas.

Malaria Prevalence by Region (2016)

Percent of children 6-59 months who tested positive for malaria by microscopy



- There is a statistically significant difference between malaria prevalence (by microscopy) in Greater Accra Region and the rest of the regions.
- The range of malaria prevalence is between 5% in Greater Accra and 31% in Eastern region.

AUTHORS BY REPORT TOPIC



Торіс	Names			
	William Apanya			
Household Ownership of Insecticide Treated Nets (ITNs)	Abu Issahaku			
Household Ownership of Insecticide Treated Nets (TNS)	Bernard Opoku Dwomo			
	Ihsan Abubakar			
	Alexander Akwasi Kissi			
ITN Access and Use	Saaka Karim Sanda			
TIN ACCESS and USE	Faustina Dosoogla			
	James Mba			
	Theodore Borbi			
Children's Use of ITNs	Edwin Daklu			
children's Use of TINS	Mercy Nkrumah			
	Adjei Sarfo Kwabena			
	Joseph Abankwa			
Line of ITNIs by Drogram Manage	Abraham Kofi Boateng			
Use of ITNs by Pregnant Women	Oware Mengyah			
	Ebenezer Tetteh			
	Mary Achiaa			
	Duvor Fergusson			
Intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy (IPTp)	Stephen Opoku Asabere			
	Bendicta Owusu Appiah			
	Aleungurah Douglas			
	Dominic Afriyie-Kusi			
Case Management	Ernest Owusu Afriyie			
	Titus Nii Teiko Tagoe			
	Akwasi Appiah Donkor			
	Isaac Mensah Addai			
Marhidity	Cynthia Sekubia Asamoah			
Morbidity	Ataaba Robert Asoko			
	Oscar Vetsi			

ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS

Appendix **B**

The following pages provide information on the sampling errors from the 2008 GDHS, 2014 GDHS, and 2016 GMIS surveys. This is the data used to produce the graphs and confidence intervals displayed throughout the document. Please reference the following tables for more information about the sampling errors for these surveys.

The estimates from a sample survey are affected by two types of errors: (1) nonsampling errors and (2) sampling errors. Nonsampling errors result from mistakes made in implementing data collection and data processing, such as the failure to locate and interview the selected households, misunderstanding of the questions by interviewers or respondents, and data entry errors. Although numerous efforts are made during the implementation surveys to minimize nonsampling errors, they are impossible to avoid and difficult to evaluate statistically.

Sampling errors, on the other hand, can be evaluated statistically. The sample of respondents selected for each survey is one of many samples that could have been selected from the same population, with the same design and identical size for each of these surveys. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample. Sampling error is a measure of the variability between all possible samples. The exact degree of variability is unknown, but can be estimated from the survey results.

A sampling error is usually measured in terms of the *standard error* for a particular statistic (such as the mean or percentage), which is the square root of the variance. The standard error can be used to calculate confidence intervals within which the true value for the population can reasonably be assumed to fall. For example, for any given statistic calculated from a sample survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error of that statistic in 95% of all possible samples of identical size and design.

If the sample were selected as a simple random sample, it would have been possible to use straightforward formulas for calculating sampling errors. However, the samples for the 2008 GDHS, 2014 GDHS, and 2016 GMIS surveys are the result of a multi-stage, stratified design. Consequently, it was necessary to use more complex formulas. The computer software used to calculate sampling errors for the 2008 GDHS, 2014 GDHS, and 2016 GMIS surveys is a SAS program that used the Taylor linearization method for variance estimation for survey estimates that are means or proportions.

In addition to the standard error, the program computes the design effect (DEFT) for each estimate, which is defined as the ratio between the standard error that uses the given sample design and the standard error that would result if a simple random sample had been used. A DEFT value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample. A value greater than 1.0 indicates that the increase in the sampling error is due to the use of a more complex, less statistically efficient design, such as multistage and cluster selection. The program also computes the relative standard error and the confidence limits for the estimates.

Sampling errors for the 2008 GDHS, 2014 GDHS, and 2016 GMIS surveys are calculated for selected variables of primary interest for households, children under age 5, and pregnant women, respectively. For each variable, the type of statistic (mean, proportion, or rate) and the base population are provided in Table B. The subsequent tables present the value of the statistic (R), its

standard error (SE), the number of unweighted (N-UNWE) and weighted (N-WEIG) cases, the design effect (DEFT), the relative standard error (SE/R), and the 95% confidence limits (R±2SE) for each variable. The DEFT is considered undefined when the standard error for the simple random sample is zero (when the estimate is close to 0 or 1).

The confidence interval (as calculated for households with at least one insecticide treated net (ITN) in the 2016 Ghana MIS survey) can be interpreted as the overall average from the total sample at 73%, with its standard error at 0.011. Therefore, to obtain the 95% confidence limits, twice the standard error is added or subtracted to the sample estimate, i.e., 0.73±2×0.011. There is a high probability (95%) that the *true* average number of mosquito nets per household falls between 0.708 and 0.752.

The following Appendix tables present the sampling errors by background characteristics.

2008 Ghana DHS

• Total, Urban, and Rural (Table B.1-Table B.3)

2014 Ghana DHS

• Total, Urban, and Rural (Table B.4-Table B.6)

2016 Ghana MIS

• Total, Urban, Rural, and 10 regions (Table B.7-Table B.19)

Variable	Type of Estimate	Base Population
	HOUSEHOLDS	
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type	Proportion	All households
Number of any mosquito nets	Mean	All households
Ownership of at least one ITN	Proportion	All households
Number of ITNs	Mean	All households
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	Proportion	Households with at least one ITN
	CHILDREN	
Slept under any mosquito net last night	Proportion	All children under age 5
Slept under an ITN last night	Proportion	All children under age 5
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at		Children under age 5 in households
least one ITN	Proportion	with at least one ITN
Had fever in last 2 weeks	Proportion	All children under age 5
Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	Proportion	Children under age 5 who were tested
Has malaria (based on rapid test)	Proportion	Children under age 5 who were tested
Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	Proportion	Children under age 5 who were tested
PR	EGNANT WOMEN	
Slept under any mosquito net last night	Proportion	Pregnant women age 15-49
Slept under an ITN last night	Proportion	Pregnant women age 15-49
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at		Pregnant women age 15-49 in
least one ITN	Proportion	households with at least one ITN
		Women age 15-49 with a live birth in
		the 2 years preceding the survey with
Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during		at least one dose received during an
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	Proportion	ANC visit
		Women age 15-49 with a live birth in
		the 2 years preceding the survey with
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during		at least one dose received during an
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	Proportion	ANC visit

2008 GHANA DHS

Table B.1 Sampling errors: Total sample, Ghana DHS 2008

			Number	of cases		Confidence limit		
	Value	Standard error	Un- weighted	Weighted	Design effect	Relative error	Lower	Upper
	(R)	(SE)	(N)	(WN)	(DEFT)	(SE/R)	(R-2SE)	(R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type	0.454	0.009	11,778	11,777	1.944	0.020	0.436	0.472
Number of any mosquito nets	0.705	0.018	11,778	11,777	2.082	0.025	0.669	0.741
Ownership of at least one ITN	0.417	0.008	11,778	11,777	1.773	0.019	0.401	0.433
Number of ITNs	0.628	0.015	11,778	11,777	1.849	0.024	0.598	0.658
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.170	0.006	11,722	11,716	1.653	0.034	0.159	0.181
Household population that slept under an ITN last night	0.209	0.006	45,297	43,280	2.897	0.026	0.198	0.220
Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.301	0.006	45,297	43,280	3.821	0.021	0.288	0.314
	C	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.411	0.010	6,134	5,790	1.629	0.025	0.391	0.431
Slept under an ITN last night	0.387	0.010	6,134	5,790	1.582	0.025	0.367	0.407
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.579	0.012	4,181	3,875	1.544	0.020	0.555	0.603
Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.199	0.010	2,794	2,731	1.277	0.048	0.180	0.218
Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.678	0.025	551	544	1.251	0.037	0.628	0.728
Received ACT treatment for fever	0.477	0.044	225	234	1.330	0.093	0.388	0.566
	PREG	NANT WO	MEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.315	0.027	368	353	1.112	0.086	0.261	0.369
Slept under an ITN last night	0.274	0.025	368	353	1.083	0.092	0.224	0.324
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least one ITN	0.521	0.000	208	186	0.000	0.000	0.521	0.521
Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.557	0.019	1,225	1,178	1.334	0.034	0.519	0.595
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.437	0.018	1,225	1,178	1.293	0.042	0.400	0.474
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during	0.437	0.010	1,220	1,170	1.233	0.042	0.400	0.474
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.268	0.016	1,225	1,178	1.253	0.059	0.236	0.300

Table B.2 Sampling errors: Urban sample, Ghana DHS 2008

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type Number of any mosquito nets Ownership of at least one ITN Number of ITNs Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons Household population that slept under an ITN last night	0.372 0.539 0.347 0.493 0.148 0.145	0.011 0.021 0.010 0.018 0.007 0.006	5,175 5,175 5,175 5,175 5,175 5,145 17,697	5,627 5,627 5,627 5,627 5,596	1.694 1.848 1.567 1.668 1.509 2.320	0.031 0.039 0.030 0.037 0.051 0.042	0.349 0.497 0.326 0.457 0.133 0.133	0.395 0.581 0.368 0.529 0.163 0.157
Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.145	0.008	17,697	18,850 18,850	2.320	0.042	0.133	0.157 0.274
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least one ITN	0.342 0.326 0.533	0.014 0.014 0.019	2,084 2,084	2,229 2,229	1.366 1.407 1.336	0.042 0.044 0.035	0.314 0.297 0.496	0.370 0.355 0.570
Had fever in last 2 weeks Advice or treatment for fever sought Received ACT treatment for fever	0.533 0.190 0.810 0.545	0.019 0.015 0.035 0.068	1,276 933 181 91	1,362 1,039 197 104	1.330 1.138 1.210 1.301	0.035 0.077 0.044 0.125	0.498 0.161 0.739 0.408	0.370 0.219 0.881 0.682
	PREG	NANT WO	MEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.184 0.180	0.036 0.036	139 139	145 145	1.099 1.097	0.197 0.199	0.112 0.108	0.256 0.252
one ITN Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during	0.416	0.000	64	63	0.000	0.000	0.416	0.416
pregnancy of the most recent live birth Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.607 0.463	0.029 0.030	422 422	455 455	1.205 1.221	0.047 0.064	0.550 0.404	0.664 0.522
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.271	0.027	422	455	1.267	0.101	0.216	0.326

Table B.3 Sampling errors: Rural sample, Ghana DHS 2008

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	()	OLD/POPU	()	()	(==: :)	(0=,)	()	(
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type Number of any mosquito nets Ownership of at least one ITN Number of ITNs Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.530 0.857 0.481 0.751 0.189	0.014 0.028 0.012 0.023 0.009	6,603 6,603 6,603 6,603 6,577	6,150 6,150 6,150 6,150 6,120	2.208 2.293 1.983 2.019 1.777	0.026 0.033 0.025 0.031 0.045	0.503 0.801 0.457 0.704 0.172	0.557 0.913 0.505 0.798 0.206
Household population that slept under an ITN last night Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.258 0.335	0.009 0.010	27,600 27,600	24,430 24,430	3.252 4.379	0.033 0.028	0.241 0.316	0.275 0.354
	C	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.454 0.426	0.014 0.013	4,050 4,050	3,561 3,561	1.806 1.719	0.031 0.031	0.426 0.399	0.482 0.453
one ITN Had fever in last 2 weeks Advice or treatment for fever sought Received ACT treatment for fever	0.604 0.205 0.603 0.423	0.015 0.013 0.033 0.056	2,905 1,861 370 134	2,513 1,692 347 130	1.686 1.360 1.289 1.303	0.025 0.062 0.054 0.132	0.573 0.180 0.537 0.311	0.635 0.230 0.669 0.535
Received ACT treatment for level		NANT WO		150	1.505	0.132	0.511	0.000
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.406 0.339	0.037 0.034	229 229	208 208	1.141 1.090	0.091 0.101	0.332 0.271	0.480 0.407
one ITN Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.574 0.526	0.045 0.025	144 803	123 723	1.099 1.414	0.079 0.047	0.483 0.476	0.665 0.576
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during	0.421	0.023	803	723	1.332	0.055	0.375	0.467
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.267	0.019	803	723	1.232	0.072	0.229	0.305

2014 GHANA DHS

Table B.4 Sampling errors: Total sample, Ghana DHS 2014

	Number of cases					Confide	nce limits	
		Standard	Un-		Design	Relative		
	Value	error	weighted	Weighted	effect	error	Lower	Upper
	(R)	(SE)	(N)	(WN)	(DEFT)	(SE/R)	(R-2SE)	(R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION	. ,		. ,	. ,	. ,
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type	0.696	0.008	11,835	11,835	1.979	0.012	0.679	0.713
Number of any mosquito nets	1.366	0.023	11,835	11,835	1.993	0.017	1.320	1.412
Ownership of at least one ITN	0.683	0.008	11.835	11.835	1.982	0.012	0.666	0.700
Number of ITNs	1.333	0.023	11,835	11,835	1.972	0.017	1.287	1.379
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.452	0.008	11,747	11,743	1.836	0.019	0.435	0.469
Household population that slept under an ITN last night	0.357	0.009	42,292	40,337	3.797	0.025	0.339	0.375
Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.590	0.007	42,292	40,337	3.788	0.013	0.575	0.605
	C	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.478	0.013	6,075	5,801	1.992	0.027	0.452	0.504
Slept under an ITN last night	0.466	0.013	6,075	5,801	1.963	0.027	0.441	0.491
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.588	0.013	4,908	4,602	1.798	0.021	0.563	0.613
Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.138	0.008	5,595	5,431	1.724	0.058	0.122	0.154
Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.769	0.020	824	752	1.373	0.026	0.729	0.809
Received ACT treatment for fever	0.782	0.029	423	365	1.423	0.037	0.725	0.839
Received a finger/heel stick	0.343	0.025	824	752	1.491	0.072	0.294	0.392
Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.083	0.007	2,697	2,568	1.375	0.088	0.068	0.098
Has malaria (based on rapid test)	0.364	0.017	2,683	2,555	1.801	0.046	0.331	0.397
Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.267	0.015	2,688	2,558	1.712	0.055	0.238	0.296
	PREG	NANT WO	MEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.448	0.021	680	654	1.108	0.047	0.406	0.490
Slept under an ITN last night	0.433	0.023	680	654	1.187	0.052	0.388	0.478
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.543	0.024	549	521	1.115	0.044	0.496	0.590
Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.825	0.013	2,329	2,264	1.639	0.016	0.799	0.851
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.675	0.015	2,329	2,264	1.522	0.022	0.645	0.705
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.385	0.017	2,329	2,264	1.672	0.044	0.351	0.419
Table B.5 Sampling errors: Urban Ghana DHS 2014								
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	()	OLD/POPUI	()	. ,	()	(/	(-)	- /
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type Number of any mosquito nets Ownership of at least one ITN Number of ITN Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons Household population that slept under an ITN last night Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.612 1.140 0.601 1.112 0.413 0.237 0.536	0.013 0.030 0.013 0.030 0.012 0.009 0.011	5,939 5,939 5,939 5,939 5,888 18,684 18,684	6,503 6,503 6,503 6,503 6,444 19,905 19,905	2.032 1.948 2.072 1.939 1.923 2.745 3.614	0.021 0.026 0.022 0.027 0.030 0.036 0.021	0.586 1.080 0.575 1.053 0.388 0.220 0.513	0.638 1.200 0.627 1.171 0.438 0.254 0.559
	C	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.372 0.361	0.018 0.017	2,462 2,462	2,639 2,639	1.800 1.770	0.047 0.047	0.337 0.327	0.407 0.395
one ITN Had fever in last 2 weeks Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.492 0.124 0.776	0.019 0.012 0.035	1,833 2,230 302	1,938 2,450 304	1.633 1.742 1.444	0.039 0.098 0.045	0.454 0.100 0.707	0.530 0.148 0.845
Received ACT treatment for fever Received a finger/heel stick Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.733 0.289 0.044	0.033 0.054 0.034 0.009	156 302 1.095	144 304 1.180	1.507 1.306 1.455	0.043 0.073 0.118 0.206	0.626 0.221 0.026	0.840 0.357 0.062
Has malaria (based on rapid test) Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.169 0.135	0.018 0.015	1,086 1,092	1,171 1,175	1.568 1.486	0.106 0.114	0.133 0.104	0.205 0.166
	PREG	NANT WO	ЛEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.317 0.312	0.027 0.027	308 308	323 323	1.035 1.016	0.087 0.086	0.262 0.258	0.372 0.366
one ITN Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during	0.412	0.033	232	244	1.014	0.080	0.346	0.478
pregnancy of the most recent live birth Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.827 0.682	0.018 0.024	932 932	1,009 1,009	1.417 1.552	0.021 0.035	0.792 0.635	0.862 0.729
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.419	0.025	932	1,009	1.547	0.060	0.369	0.469

			Niuma la	of cases			Confide	nce limits
		a		of cases	. .		Confide	nce limits
	Value	Standard	Un-	Mainha a	Design	Relative	1	
	Value	error	•	Weighted	effect	error	Lower	Upper
	(R)	(SE)	(N)	(WN)	(DEFT)	(SE/R)	(R-2SE)	(R+2SE)
	HOUSEHO	OLD/POPUI	ATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type	0.798	0.010	5,896	5,332	2.004	0.013	0.777	0.819
Number of any mosquito nets	1.643	0.037	5,896	5,332	2.156	0.022	1.570	1.716
Ownership of at least one ITN	0.784	0.011	5,896	5,332	1.978	0.014	0.763	0.805
Number of ITNs	1.601	0.036	5,896	5,332	2.120	0.022	1.529	1.673
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.500	0.012	5,859	5,299	1.766	0.023	0.477	0.523
Household population that slept under an ITN last night	0.473	0.015	23,608	20,432	4.719	0.032	0.442	0.504
Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.643	0.010	23,608	20,432	4.131	0.016	0.623	0.663
	С	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.566	0.018	3,613	3,163	2.237	0.033	0.529	0.603
Slept under an ITN last night	0.554	0.018	3,613	3,163	2.224	0.033	0.517	0.591
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.657	0.017	3,075	2,664	1.965	0.026	0.623	0.691
Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.150	0.011	3,365	2,981	1.713	0.070	0.129	0.171
Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.765	0.024	522	448	1.312	0.032	0.716	0.814
Received ACT treatment for fever	0.813	0.033	267	221	1.384	0.041	0.747	0.879
Received a finger/heel stick	0.380	0.034	522	448	1.601	0.090	0.312	0.448
Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.116	0.011	1,602	1,388	1.327	0.092	0.095	0.137
Has malaria (based on rapid test)	0.529	0.022	1,597	1,384	1.799	0.043	0.484	0.574
Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.379	0.022	1,596	1,384	1.811	0.058	0.335	0.423
	PREG	NANT WO	/IEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.576	0.030	372	331	1.157	0.052	0.517	0.635
Slept under an ITN last night	0.551	0.036	372	331	1.394	0.065	0.479	0.623
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.658	0.032	317	277	1.188	0.048	0.595	0.721
Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.823	0.019	1,397	1,255	1.817	0.023	0.786	0.860
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.669	0.019	1,397	1,255	1.482	0.028	0.632	0.706
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during					. =			
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.358	0.022	1,397	1,255	1.709	0.061	0.314	0.402

2016 GHANA MIS

Table B.7 Sampling errors: Total sample, Ghana MIS 2016

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
		Standard	Un-		Design	Relative		
	Value	error	weighted	Weighted	effect	error	Lower	Upper
	(R)	(SE)	(N)	(WN)	(DEFT)	(SE/R)	(R-2SE)	(R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type	0.739	0.010	5,841	5,841	1.760	0.014	0.719	0.759
Number of any mosquito nets	1.660	0.040	5,841	5,841	1.992	0.024	1.581	1.739
Ownership of at least one ITN	0.730	0.011	5,841	5,841	1.886	0.015	0.708	0.752
Number of ITNs	1.629	0.040	5,841	5,841	2.010	0.024	1.550	1.708
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.509	0.014	5,774	5,770	2.116	0.027	0.481	0.537
Household population that slept under an ITN last night	0.417	0.016	22,332	20,708	4.910	0.039	0.385	0.449
Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.658	0.012	22,332	20,708	4.413	0.018	0.635	0.681
	C	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.528	0.016	3,429	3,234	1.904	0.031	0.496	0.560
Slept under an ITN last night	0.522	0.016	3,429	3,234	1.897	0.031	0.490	0.554
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.620	0.017	2,958	2,724	1.885	0.027	0.586	0.654
Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.302	0.013	3,145	3,121	1.576	0.043	0.276	0.328
Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.718	0.032	894	942	2.124	0.045	0.654	0.782
Received ACT treatment for fever	0.586	0.040	455	474	1.738	0.069	0.506	0.666
Received a finger/heel stick	0.303	0.024	894	942	1.552	0.079	0.255	0.351
Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.069	0.008	3,047	2,874	1.713	0.114	0.053	0.085
Has malaria (based on rapid test)	0.279	0.021	3,047	2,874	2.568	0.075	0.237	0.321
Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.206	0.017	3,047	2,874	2.351	0.084	0.172	0.240
	PREG	NANT WO	MEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.502	0.039	351	353	1.458	0.078	0.424	0.580
Slept under an ITN last night	0.500	0.039	351	353	1.457	0.078	0.422	0.578
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.593	0.040	304	297	1.403	0.067	0.514	0.672
Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.853	0.026	1,291	1,285	2.603	0.030	0.802	0.904
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.780	0.023	1,291	1,285	1.992	0.029	0.734	0.826
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during	0.500	0.000	4 004	4 005	4.045	0.000	0 554	0.044
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.596	0.022	1,291	1,285	1.645	0.038	0.551	0.641

Table B.8 Sampling errors: Urban Ghana MIS 2016								
			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	()		()	(111)	(0211)	(02/11)	(11 202)	(11/202)
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type Number of any mosquito nets Ownership of at least one ITN Number of ITNs Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons Household population that slept under an ITN last night Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.661 1.391 0.653 1.366 0.458 0.296 0.594	0.014 0.045 0.015 0.046 0.019 0.019 0.018	2,815 2,815 2,815 2,815 2,772 9,244 9,244	3,195 3,195 3,195 3,195 3,195 3,151 10,249 10,249	1.540 1.657 1.695 1.697 2.027 3.977 4.024	0.021 0.033 0.023 0.034 0.042 0.064 0.030	0.634 1.300 0.623 1.274 0.420 0.258 0.559	0.688 1.482 0.683 1.458 0.496 0.334 0.629
		HILDREN	-,					
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.413 0.408	0.023 0.023	1,309 1,309	1,466 1,466	1.668 1.674	0.055 0.056	0.368 0.362	0.458 0.454
one ITN Had fever in last 2 weeks Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.519 0.276 0.797	0.025 0.016 0.034	1,027 1,209 311	1,151 1,418 391	1.609 1.231 1.482	0.048 0.057 0.042	0.469 0.244 0.729	0.569 0.308 0.865
Received ACT treatment for fever Received a finger/heel stick Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.581 0.348 0.041	0.051 0.039 0.013	157 311 1,145	184 391 1,276	1.292 1.457 2.150	0.088 0.113 0.307	0.479 0.269 0.016	0.683 0.427 0.066
Has malaria (based on rapid test) Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.128 0.112	0.019 0.016	1,145 1,145	1,276 1,276	1.897 1.680	0.147 0.140	0.090 0.081	0.166 0.143
	PREG	NANT WO	MEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.395 0.390	0.063 0.063	143 143	167 167	1.530 1.534	0.159 0.161	0.270 0.264	0.520 0.516
one ITN Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during	0.489	0.069	112	133	1.459	0.142	0.351	0.627
pregnancy of the most recent live birth Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.899 0.826	0.019 0.023	492 492	577 577	1.415 1.359	0.021 0.028	0.861 0.779	0.937 0.873
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.639	0.034	492	577	1.551	0.053	0.572	0.706

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type	0.834	0.011	3026	2646	1.683	0.014	0.811	0.857
Number of any mosquito nets	1.985	0.062	3026	2646	2.224	0.031	1.861	2.109
Ownership of at least one ITN	0.824	0.012	3026	2646	1.753	0.015	0.8	0.848
Number of ITNs	1.947	0.061	3026	2646	2.192	0.031	1.825	2.069
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.57	0.018	3002	2619	1.941	0.031	0.535	0.605
Household population that slept under an ITN last night	0.535	0.028	13088	10460	6.536	0.053	0.478	0.592
Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.721	0.013	13088	10460	4.349	0.019	0.694	0.748
	C	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.623	0.026	2120	1768	2.49	0.042	0.571	0.675
Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.617	0.026	2120	1768	2.47	0.042	0.565	0.669
one ITN	0.694	0.025	1931	1572	2.364	0.036	0.644	0.744
Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.324	0.02	1936	1703	1.904	0.062	0.284	0.364
Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.662	0.046	583	551	2.361	0.07	0.569	0.755
Received ACT treatment for fever	0.589	0.057	298	290	1.998	0.097	0.475	0.703
Received a finger/heel stick	0.27	0.027	583	551	1.489	0.102	0.215	0.325
Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.091	0.011	1902	1598	1.629	0.118	0.069	0.113
Has malaria (based on rapid test)	0.399	0.039	1902	1598	3.466	0.098	0.321	0.477
Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.282	0.032	1902	1598	3.116	0.114	0.218	0.346
	PREG	NANT WO	MEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.598	0.049	208	186	1.45	0.083	0.499	0.697
Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.598	0.049	208	186	1.45	0.083	0.499	0.697
one ITN Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during	0.676	0.044	192	164	1.304	0.065	0.588	0.764
pregnancy of the most recent live birth Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during	0.815	0.041	799	708	2.946	0.05	0.734	0.896
pregnancy of the most recent live birth Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.743	0.034	799	708	2.17	0.045	0.676	0.81
programoy or the most recent live birth	0.561	0.028	799	708	1.579	0.049	0.506	0.616

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	()	OLD/POPU		()	()	()	(-)	
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type Number of any mosquito nets Ownership of at least one ITN Number of ITNs Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons Household population that slept under an ITN last night Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.691 1.496 0.669 1.460 0.461 0.370 0.591	0.032 0.092 0.033 0.088 0.028 0.044 0.031	572 572 572 572 561 1,901 1,901	482 482 482 482 472 1,667 1,667	1.639 1.442 1.652 1.382 1.322 3.956 3.248	0.046 0.061 0.049 0.061 0.060 0.118 0.053	0.628 1.312 0.604 1.283 0.405 0.282 0.529	0.754 1.680 0.734 1.637 0.517 0.458 0.653
		CHILDREN	1,901	1,007	3.240	0.055	0.529	0.055
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least one ITN Had fever in last 2 weeks Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.455 0.455 0.582 0.359 0.583	0.059 0.059 0.060 0.026 0.041	274 274 214 252 88	241 241 189 237 85	1.971 1.971 1.775 0.847 0.770	0.131 0.131 0.103 0.071 0.070	0.336 0.336 0.462 0.308 0.502	0.574 0.574 0.702 0.410 0.664
Received ACT treatment for fever Received a finger/heel stick Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl Has malaria (based on rapid test) Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.822 0.327 0.039 0.381 0.235	0.081 0.063 0.017 0.050 0.047	36 88 246 246 246	35 85 213 213 213	1.247 1.254 1.363 1.619 1.719	0.098 0.193 0.434 0.132 0.198	0.661 0.201 0.005 0.281 0.142	0.983 0.453 0.073 0.481 0.328
	PREG	NANT WO	ЛEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.242 0.242	0.089 0.089	21 21	23 23	0.931 0.931	0.369 0.369	0.064 0.064	0.420 0.420
one ITN Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.399 0.882	0.117 0.023	13 104	14 101	0.831 0.714	0.294 0.026	0.164 0.837	0.634 0.927
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.773 0.483	0.042 0.074	104 104	101 101	1.018 1.509	0.054 0.154	0.689 0.334	0.857 0.632

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type Number of any mosquito nets Ownership of at least one ITN Number of ITNs	0.843 2.001 0.830 1.957	0.025 0.146 0.028 0.150	593 593 593 593	646 646 646 646	1.641 2.288 1.782 2.328	0.029 0.073 0.033 0.076	0.794 1.709 0.775 1.658	0.892 2.293 0.885 2.256
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons Household population that slept under an ITN last night Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.617 0.503 0.762	0.042 0.075 0.034	589 2,083 2,083	643 2,264 2,264	2.115 6.868 4.657	0.069 0.150 0.045	0.532 0.352 0.694	0.702 0.654 0.830
	C	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.619 0.612	0.066 0.068	286 286	310 310	2.287 2.345	0.106 0.111	0.487 0.477	0.751 0.747
one ITN Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.666	0.062	255 259	285 294	2.105 1.104	0.094 0.078	0.541 0.371	0.791 0.507
Advice or treatment for fever sought Received ACT treatment for fever Received a finger/heel stick	0.703 0.623 0.235	0.048 0.060 0.044	107 54 107	129 76 129	1.083 0.902 1.075	0.068 0.096 0.188	0.607 0.503 0.146	0.799 0.743 0.324
Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl Has malaria (based on rapid test) Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.140 0.446 0.302	0.039 0.044 0.036	258 258 258	281 281 281	1.802 1.411 1.253	0.279 0.098 0.119	0.062 0.358 0.230	0.218 0.534 0.374
	PREG	NANT WO	ИEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.582 0.582	0.119 0.119	35 35	43 43	1.404 1.404	0.204 0.204	0.344 0.344	0.820 0.820
one ITN Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during	0.666	0.110	29	37	1.240	0.166	0.445	0.887
pregnancy of the most recent live birth Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.930 0.845	0.023 0.029	113 113	131 131	0.969 0.852	0.025 0.034	0.883 0.787	0.977 0.903
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.585	0.029	113	131	1.135	0.090	0.479	0.691

Table B.12 Sampling errors: Greater Accra Ghana MIS 2016

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
		Standard	Un-		Design	Relative		
	Value	error	weighted	Weighted	effect	error	Lower	Upper
	(R)	(SE)	(N)	(WN)	(DEFT)	(SE/R)	(R-2SE)	(R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type	0.617	0.027	690	1,177	1.484	0.045	0.562	0.672
Number of any mosquito nets	1.216	0.075	690	1,177	1.485	0.062	1.066	1.366
Ownership of at least one ITN	0.609	0.032	690	1,177	1.721	0.053	0.545	0.673
Number of ITNs	1.199	0.081	690	1,177	1.600	0.067	1.037	1.361
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.419	0.038	674	1,151	1.990	0.090	0.343	0.495
Household population that slept under an ITN last night	0.183	0.017	2,138	3,563	2.043	0.093	0.149	0.217
Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.539	0.037	2,138	3,563	3.974	0.069	0.465	0.613
	(HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.326	0.030	290	490	1.086	0.092	0.266	0.386
Slept under an ITN last night	0.326	0.030	290	490	1.086	0.092	0.266	0.386
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.418	0.040	227	383	1.233	0.097	0.337	0.499
Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.238	0.025	279	506	0.980	0.105	0.188	0.288
Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.757	0.067	65	120	1.244	0.088	0.624	0.890
Received ACT treatment for fever	0.573	0.104	32	55	1.165	0.181	0.366	0.780
Received a finger/heel stick	0.297	0.089	65	120	1.557	0.299	0.119	0.475
Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.013	0.008	244	406	1.161	0.643	0.000	0.030
Has malaria (based on rapid test)	0.046	0.022	244	406	1.628	0.475	0.002	0.090
Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.048	0.013	244	406	0.933	0.267	0.022	0.074
	PREG	NANT WO	ЛEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.366	0.139	27	49	1.476	0.381	0.087	0.645
Slept under an ITN last night	0.366	0.139	27	49	1.476	0.381	0.087	0.645
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.419	0.153	22	43	1.421	0.365	0.113	0.725
Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.874	0.044	116	207	1.424	0.050	0.786	0.962
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.787	0.045	116	207	1.179	0.057	0.697	0.877
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.576	0.065	116	207	1.410	0.113	0.446	0.706

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
		o		UI Cases	D .	D 1 <i>C</i>	Connuel	
	Value	Standard	Un-	Weighted	Design effect	Relative	Lower	Upper
		error	0	0		error	Lower	
	(R)	(SE)	(N)	(WN)	(DEFT)	(SE/R)	(R-2SE)	(R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type	0.778	0.024	591	423	1.424	0.031	0.729	0.827
Number of any mosquito nets	1.892	0.102	591	423	1.529	0.054	1.689	2.095
Ownership of at least one ITN	0.761	0.023	591	423	1.309	0.030	0.715	0.807
Number of ITNs	1.812	0.098	591	423	1.489	0.054	1.616	2.008
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.523	0.036	585	418	1.764	0.070	0.450	0.596
Household population that slept under an ITN last night	0.460	0.023	2,414	1,666	2.307	0.051	0.413	0.507
Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.664	0.034	2,414	1,666	4.327	0.052	0.595	0.733
	C	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.548	0.037	377	252	1.446	0.068	0.474	0.622
Slept under an ITN last night	0.525	0.036	377	252	1.413	0.069	0.452	0.598
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.658	0.031	298	201	1.121	0.047	0.596	0.720
Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.210	0.035	351	246	1.603	0.166	0.140	0.280
Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.790	0.067	67	52	1.340	0.085	0.656	0.924
Received ACT treatment for fever	0.683	0.140	43	34	1.948	0.205	0.403	0.963
Received a finger/heel stick	0.374	0.105	67	52	1.755	0.280	0.165	0.583
Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.087	0.018	330	217	1.156	0.207	0.051	0.123
Has malaria (based on rapid test)	0.373	0.085	330	217	3.192	0.228	0.203	0.543
Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.275	0.042	330	217	1.709	0.153	0.191	0.359
	PREG	NANT WO	ЛEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.563	0.118	27	19	1.208	0.209	0.328	0.798
Slept under an ITN last night	0.563	0.118	27	19	1.208	0.209	0.328	0.798
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.627	0.121	24	17	1.200	0.193	0.385	0.869
Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.836	0.058	159	110	1.952	0.069	0.721	0.951
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.751	0.059	159	110	1.715	0.079	0.633	0.869
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.653	0.064	159	110	1.701	0.099	0.524	0.782

			Number	of cases			Confider	nce limits
	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type Number of any mosquito nets Ownership of at least one ITN	0.727 1.440 0.716	0.020 0.064 0.020	603 603 603	574 574 574	1.108 1.156 1.091	0.028 0.044 0.028	0.687 1.313 0.676	0.767 1.567 0.756
Number of ITNs Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons Household population that slept under an ITN last night Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	1.412 0.446 0.394 0.605	0.061 0.022 0.034 0.019	603 599 2,056 2,056	574 571 1,938 1,938	1.110 1.085 3.183 2.219	0.043 0.049 0.087 0.032	1.290 0.402 0.325 0.566	1.534 0.490 0.463 0.644
Proportion of defacto population with access to an firm		CHILDREN	2,030	1,930	2.219	0.032	0.566	0.044
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least one ITN Had fever in last 2 weeks Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.496 0.482 0.594 0.318 0.827	0.041 0.041 0.048 0.051 0.040	278 278 231 256 79	264 264 214 259 82	1.362 1.363 1.484 1.737 0.942	0.083 0.085 0.081 0.159 0.049	0.414 0.400 0.498 0.217 0.746	0.578 0.564 0.690 0.419 0.908
Received ACT treatment for fever Received a finger/heel stick Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl Has malaria (based on rapid test) Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.468 0.321 0.086 0.346 0.313	0.105 0.047 0.019 0.049 0.051	49 79 236 236 236	52 82 224 224 224	1.461 0.890 1.037 1.586 1.689	0.225 0.146 0.220 0.142 0.163	0.257 0.227 0.048 0.248 0.211	0.679 0.415 0.124 0.444 0.415
	PREG	NANT WO	MEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.409 0.409	0.109 0.109	25 25	24 24	1.091 1.091	0.268 0.268	0.190 0.190	0.628 0.628
one ITN Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during	0.513	0.122	21	19	1.095	0.239	0.268	0.758
pregnancy of the most recent live birth Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.934 0.892	0.027 0.033	99 99	100 100	1.092 1.056	0.029 0.037	0.879 0.826	0.989 0.958
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.706	0.060	99	100	1.299	0.085	0.586	0.826

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type Number of any mosquito nets Ownership of at least one ITN Number of ITNs Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.702 1.439 0.697 1.415 0.472	0.021 0.061 0.022 0.060 0.032	742 742 742 742 738	1,278 1,278 1,278 1,278 1,278 1,267	1.231 1.224 1.289 1.234 1.753	0.029 0.042 0.031 0.043 0.068	0.661 1.318 0.653 1.294 0.408	0.743 1.560 0.741 1.536 0.536
Household population that slept under an ITN last night Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.426 0.599	0.035 0.019	2,388 2,388	4,120 4,120	3.474 2.321	0.083 0.032	0.356 0.560	0.496 0.638
		HILDREN	,	, -	-			
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.517 0.512	0.035 0.035	389 389	705 705	1.393 1.398	0.068 0.069	0.446 0.441	0.588 0.583
one ITN Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.637 0.347	0.036 0.031	300 342	566 647	1.312 1.203	0.057 0.089	0.564 0.285	0.710 0.409
Advice or treatment for fever sought Received ACT treatment for fever Received a finger/heel stick Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.606 0.739 0.212 0.037	0.108 0.092 0.060 0.024	107 42 107 356	224 85 224 656	2.269 1.335 1.514 2.395	0.178 0.124 0.284 0.651	0.391 0.556 0.092 0.000	0.821 0.922 0.332 0.085
Has malaria (based on rapid test) Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.179 0.166	0.042	356 356	656 656	2.077 1.539	0.236 0.183	0.094 0.105	0.264 0.227
	PREG	NANT WO	ЛEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night Slept under an ITN last night Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least	0.462 0.462	0.103 0.103	37 37	77 77	1.236 1.236	0.222 0.222	0.257 0.257	0.667 0.667
one ITN Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during	0.565	0.101	30	63	1.096	0.179	0.363	0.767
pregnancy of the most recent live birth Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during	0.854	0.037	125	238	1.151	0.043	0.781	0.927
pregnancy of the most recent live birth Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.796 0.610	0.044 0.065	125 125	238 238	1.210 1.483	0.055 0.107	0.708 0.480	0.884 0.740

Table B.16 Sampling errors: Brong Ahafo Ghana MIS 2016

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
	Value	Standard error	Un- weighted	Weighted	Design effect	Relative error	Lower	Upper
	(R)	(SE)	(N)	(WN)	(DEFT)	(SE/R)	(R-2SE)	(R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPUI	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type	0.812	0.018	587	490	1.126	0.022	0.776	0.848
Number of any mosquito nets	1.708	0.082	587	490	1.416	0.048	1.544	1.872
Ownership of at least one ITN	0.806	0.018	587	490	1.108	0.022	0.770	0.842
Number of ITNs	1.676	0.076	587	490	1.331	0.045	1.524	1.828
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.580	0.025	577	482	1.228	0.044	0.529	0.631
Household population that slept under an ITN last night	0.519	0.034	2,003	1,668	3.085	0.066	0.450	0.588
Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.721	0.022	2,003	1,668	2.676	0.030	0.678	0.764
	C	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.620	0.047	307	261	1.697	0.076	0.526	0.714
Slept under an ITN last night	0.605	0.045	307	261	1.603	0.074	0.515	0.695
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.678	0.041	273	233	1.436	0.060	0.597	0.759
Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.326	0.046	292	259	1.668	0.141	0.234	0.418
Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.758	0.074	91	84	1.636	0.098	0.610	0.906
Received ACT treatment for fever	0.673	0.063	48	44	0.915	0.093	0.548	0.798
Received a finger/heel stick	0.456	0.087	91	84	1.659	0.191	0.282	0.630
Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.044	0.017	276	233	1.347	0.377	0.011	0.077
Has malaria (based on rapid test)	0.299	0.065	276	233	2.358	0.218	0.169	0.429
Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.224	0.065	276	233	2.605	0.292	0.093	0.355
	PREG	NANT WO	ЛEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.577	0.068	39	34	0.844	0.117	0.442	0.712
Slept under an ITN last night	0.556	0.066	39	34	0.819	0.119	0.424	0.688
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.643	0.083	34	30	0.995	0.129	0.477	0.809
Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.919	0.030	125	111	1.242	0.033	0.858	0.980
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.850	0.033	125	111	1.036	0.039	0.784	0.916
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.687	0.056	125	111	1.341	0.081	0.575	0.799

Table B.17 Sampling errors: Northern Ghana MIS 2016

			Number	lumber of cases			Confidence limits	
		Standard	Un-		Design	Relative		
	Value	error	weighted	Weighted	effect	error	Lower	Upper
	(R)	(SE)	(N)	(WN)	(DEFT)	(SE/R)	(R-2SE)	(R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type	0.838	0.023	535	464	1.445	0.027	0.792	0.884
Number of any mosquito nets	2.445	0.192	535	464	2.510	0.079	2.060	2.830
Ownership of at least one ITN	0.837	0.023	535	464	1.429	0.027	0.791	0.883
Number of ITNs	2.428	0.187	535	464	2.457	0.077	2.054	2.802
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.599	0.028	530	461	1.331	0.047	0.542	0.656
Household population that slept under an ITN last night	0.507	0.082	2,803	2,364	8.656	0.161	0.343	0.671
Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.767	0.023	2,803	2,364	3.560	0.029	0.722	0.812
	C	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.612	0.060	582	511	2.969	0.098	0.492	0.732
Slept under an ITN last night	0.610	0.060	582	511	2.950	0.098	0.491	0.729
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.681	0.056	529	458	2.756	0.082	0.569	0.793
Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.241	0.023	532	482	1.265	0.097	0.194	0.288
Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.849	0.050	142	116	1.649	0.058	0.750	0.948
Received ACT treatment for fever	0.204	0.076	86	71	1.727	0.370	0.053	0.355
Received a finger/heel stick	0.266	0.039	142	116	1.044	0.146	0.188	0.344
Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.124	0.020	515	464	1.344	0.158	0.085	0.163
Has malaria (based on rapid test)	0.393	0.110	515	464	5.096	0.279	0.173	0.613
Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.252	0.085	515	464	4.446	0.338	0.082	0.422
	PREG	NANT WO	ЛEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.588	0.081	59	54	1.251	0.137	0.426	0.750
Slept under an ITN last night	0.588	0.081	59	54	1.251	0.137	0.426	0.750
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.699	0.071	52	45	1.099	0.101	0.558	0.840
Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.674	0.084	219	211	2.647	0.125	0.506	0.842
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.610	0.063	219	211	1.908	0.103	0.484	0.736
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.496	0.035	219	211	1.019	0.070	0.427	0.565

Table B.18 Sampling errors: Upper East Ghana MIS 2016

	Number		of cases			Confidence limits		
		Standard	Un-		Design	Relative		
	Value	error	weighted	Weighted	effect	error	Lower	Upper
	(R)	(SE)	(N)	(WN)	(DEFT)	(SE/R)	(R-2SE)	(R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type	0.952	0.007	478	180	0.694	0.007	0.938	0.966
Number of any mosquito nets	3.047	0.128	478	180	1.703	0.042	2.791	3.303
Ownership of at least one ITN	0.945	0.008	478	180	0.797	0.009	0.928	0.962
Number of ITNs	2.988	0.121	478	180	1.616	0.041	2.746	3.230
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.724	0.038	474	179	1.828	0.052	0.649	0.799
Household population that slept under an ITN last night	0.632	0.042	2,583	916	4.378	0.066	0.549	0.715
Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.883	0.016	2,583	916	3.854	0.018	0.852	0.914
	(HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.755	0.059	354	118	2.585	0.078	0.637	0.873
Slept under an ITN last night	0.755	0.059	354	118	2.585	0.078	0.637	0.873
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.766	0.059	350	116	2.603	0.077	0.648	0.884
Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.265	0.028	329	116	1.158	0.106	0.209	0.321
Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.853	0.043	88	31	1.124	0.050	0.768	0.938
Received ACT treatment for fever	0.695	0.125	40	13	1.692	0.180	0.445	0.945
Received a finger/heel stick	0.689	0.081	88	31	1.633	0.118	0.527	0.851
Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.074	0.014	319	105	0.987	0.196	0.045	0.103
Has malaria (based on rapid test)	0.258	0.035	319	105	1.423	0.135	0.188	0.328
Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.147	0.029	319	105	1.444	0.195	0.090	0.204
	PREG	NANT WO	ЛEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.729	0.066	49	19	1.024	0.090	0.598	0.860
Slept under an ITN last night	0.729	0.066	49	19	1.024	0.090	0.598	0.860
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.729	0.066	49	19	1.024	0.090	0.598	0.860
Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.965	0.011	130	45	0.691	0.012	0.943	0.987
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.908	0.029	130	45	1.122	0.031	0.851	0.965
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during		2.520						
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.789	0.052	130	45	1.434	0.065	0.686	0.892

Table B.19 Sampling errors: Upper West Ghana MIS 2016

			Number	of oppop			Confide	nce limits
			Number of cases				Conlider	ice iimits
	Value	Standard error	Un-	Weighted	Design effect	Relative error	Lower	Upper
			•	•				
	(R)	(SE)	(N)	(WN)	(DEFT)	(SE/R)	(R-2SE)	(R+2SE)
	HOUSEH	OLD/POPU	LATION					
Ownership of at least one mosquito net of any type	0.897	0.017	450	126	1.194	0.019	0.863	0.931
Number of any mosquito nets	2.098	0.073	450	126	1.221	0.035	1.951	2.245
Ownership of at least one ITN	0.897	0.017	450	126	1.194	0.019	0.863	0.931
Number of ITNs	2.093	0.072	450	126	1.194	0.034	1.949	2.237
Ownership of at least one ITN for two persons	0.652	0.034	447	125	1.525	0.053	0.583	0.721
Household population that slept under an ITN last night	0.540	0.038	1,963	541	3.419	0.071	0.463	0.617
Proportion of de facto population with access to an ITN	0.805	0.016	1,963	541	2.407	0.020	0.772	0.838
	C	HILDREN						
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.607	0.065	292	83	2.253	0.106	0.478	0.736
Slept under an ITN last night	0.607	0.065	292	83	2.253	0.106	0.478	0.736
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.633	0.066	281	79	2.287	0.104	0.501	0.765
Had fever in last 2 weeks	0.236	0.033	253	76	1.240	0.141	0.170	0.302
Advice or treatment for fever sought	0.639	0.065	60	18	1.044	0.102	0.508	0.770
Received ACT treatment for fever	0.747	0.122	25	8	1.372	0.163	0.503	0.991
Received a finger/heel stick	0.404	0.078	60	18	1.218	0.193	0.248	0.560
Had a haemoglobin level less than 8 g/dl	0.091	0.018	267	75	1.009	0.195	0.055	0.127
Has malaria (based on rapid test)	0.278	0.059	267	75	2.134	0.211	0.161	0.395
Has malaria (based on microscopy test)	0.215	0.050	267	75	1.991	0.233	0.115	0.315
	PREG	NANT WO	ЛEN					
Slept under any mosquito net last night	0.671	0.132	32	11	1.562	0.196	0.407	0.935
Slept under an ITN last night	0.671	0.132	32	11	1.562	0.196	0.407	0.935
Slept under an ITN last night in household with at least								
one ITN	0.710	0.156	30	10	1.853	0.220	0.398	1.022
Received one or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.894	0.029	101	30	0.949	0.033	0.836	0.952
Received 2 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during								
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.822	0.029	101	30	0.748	0.035	0.765	0.879
Received 3 or more doses of SP/Fansidar during				50				
pregnancy of the most recent live birth	0.575	0.045	101	30	0.919	0.079	0.484	0.666
			-					