# INDEX-TB GUIDELINES

# Evidence Summaries and Guideline Panel Decision Tables Core Group Guideline Meeting 14-18 July 2015

This document contains

- The systematic reviews and GRADE assessments used at the Index-TB Guideline Panel in July 2015
- The Evidence to Decision tables that record the Panel's assessment and recommendations from this meeting

The main guidelines are documented in:

Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Index-TB Guidelines: guidelines on extra-pulmonary tuberculosis for India. Central TB Division: World Health Organization Country Office for India, 2016.

This document was finalized on 14 August 2016 By the Writing Committee

Correspondence to be addressed to:

Professor SK Sharma,

AIIMS, New Delhi

Email: sksharma.aiims@gmail.com

### INDEX-TB

### **Evidence Summaries and Guideline Panel Decision Tables**

### Contents

| Background and methods  | 3   |
|---|-----|
| Xpert MTB/RIF for EPTB  | 5   |
| Should steroids be used to treat TB meningitis?                     | 23  |
| Should corticosteroids be prescribed routinely for TB pericarditis? |     |
| Should corticosteroids be used to treat TB pleurisy?                | 49  |
| How long should we treat lymph node TB (LNTB) with ATT?             | 62  |
| How long should we treat abdominal TB with ATT?                     | 73  |
| How long should we treat TB meningitis with ATT?                    | 83  |
| References  | 95  |
| Excluded Studies  |     |
| References to Excluded Studies                                      | 106 |

## Background and methods

This annex contains the evidence summaries commissioned for the INDEX-TB Guidelines in 2015 and the evidence to decision tables summarising the INDEX-TB Guideline Group discussion on each recommendation.

The evidence summaries are derived from existing systematic reviews updated for the meeting, and other new reviews prepared rapidly to meet the needs of the guideline development process. Where appropriate, formal Cochrane reviews, which are lengthy pieces of research, have subsequently been initiated to address some of the objectives identified during this process, and will be available via the Cochrane Library upon completion.

The topics covered are:

- Use of Xpert MTB/RIF
  - For the diagnosis of LNTB
  - For the diagnosis of TB meningitis
  - For the diagnosis of pleural TB
- Use of corticosteroids
  - To treat TB meningitis
  - To treat TB pericarditis
  - o To treat TB pleurisy
- Duration of anti-tuberculous treatment
  - For LNTB
  - o For abdominal TB
  - For TB meningitis

For each of these topics, we present the evidence summary and GRADE table, and the evidence to decision sheet for the recommendation agreed by the INDEX-TB Guidelines panel in July 2015.

### Synthesis methods outline

For the systematic reviews conducted for this guideline we followed rigorous and transparent methods in line with the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Higgins, 2011). If there were existing Cochrane reviews, we updated them.

### Criteria for including studies

For each systematic review, we specified the types of studies, participants, interventions and comparisons, outcome measures and adverse events for studies to be included in the review.

### Search methods for identification of studies

We attempted to identify all relevant studies regardless of language or publication status (published, unpublished, in press, and in progress). We specified which databases were searched for relevant studies, with the date of the search. The search strategies combined both text words and MeSH/EMTREE terms for each record identified.

The search terms and strategies used in each systematic review are outlined in boxes or tables.

### Data collection and analysis

#### Selecting studies for inclusion

Two investigators each independently evaluated the studies identified by the search for eligibility for inclusion using a form based on the inclusion criteria.

Excluded studies, together with the reasons for exclusion, are summarized in tables at the end of the document.

### Data extraction and analysis

Two investigators independently extracted data from the included studies according to an agreed data extraction tool.

### Assessment of risk of bias

Each study was assessed for risk of bias using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool (Higgins, 2011). This tool assesses random sequence generation and allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessors (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), and selective reporting (reporting bias). The results of the assessment were summarized in risk of bias tables, with supporting evidence from the study reports, and are summarized in figures for each systematic review.

### Assessment of heterogeneity

We assessed heterogeneity by visually inspecting the forest plots. We used the Chi<sup>2</sup> test with a P value of 0.10 to indicate statistically significant heterogeneity, and the I<sup>2</sup> statistic to quantify heterogeneity with a value of 50% taken to indicate substantial statistical heterogeneity.

### Data synthesis

We summarized data extracted from each study in tables. We used Review Manager 5 to analyze the data, using risk ratio and risk difference when appropriate to assess the effect of estimates, with 95% confidence interval (Review Manager 5, 2014). Meta-analysis was conducted if appropriate. We assessed the quality of the evidence using the GRADE approach (Guyatt, 2011).

### **Presentation of results**

For each systematic review:

- The results of the search and screening are shown in a flow diagram.
- The studies included are described in tables characteristics of included studies.
- The risk of bias assessment for included studies.
- The forest plots are presented with the results of the meta-analysis if conducted.
- The summary of findings table shows the relative effects estimates, and the outcome of the GRADE assessment.

### **Evidence to decision making methods**

These followed GRADE procedures of transparency and good guideline development. After presentation of the review results and a full discussion about values and preferences, the evidence to decision tables were used to facilitate consensus and record the decision of the guideline panel. Recommendations were made where possible, and graded as "strong" or "conditional" using the criteria outlined in the main guidelines document.

# Xpert MTB/RIF for EPTB

### Background

At the first meeting of the INDEX-TB guidelines group at AIIMS in March 2015, all the technical advisory committees identified the Xpert MTB/RIF test as a priority topic for evidence review.

Xpert MTB/RIF is a commercially available diagnostic test for MTB that uses polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to test specimens for genetic material specific to MTB, and simultaneously detects a gene that confers resistance to rifampicin, *rpoB* (Blakemore, 2010). Unlike other commercial PCR-based tests, it is a fully automated test using the GeneXpert<sup>®</sup> platform. The specimen is loaded into a cartridge and all the steps in the assay are then fully automated and contained within the unit. One of the reagents is powerfully tuberculocidal, making the used test cartridges safe to handle outside of a specialist laboratory environment. This allows the test to be brought closer to the clinical setting.

Xpert MTB/RIF was originally designed to test sputum samples from patients with active pulmonary tuberculosis, and has been shown to have high accuracy for diagnosing TB in these patients (Steingart, 2014). Since its introduction to research settings in 2010, several investigators have tested the accuracy of this test in non-respiratory samples for the diagnosis of various forms of EPTB. There are several *a priori* reasons the Xpert MTB/RIF may perform differently with non-sputum samples: Xpert MTB/RIF has a specimen treatment step which is designed to liquefy sputum but this may not be optimum pre-test processing for non-sputum samples; although the test has a limit of detection of 131 colony forming units per mL, it has been shown to perform less well in paucibacillary disease; as many forms of EPTB require invasive sampling methods, the size and quality of the specimens may affect the sensitivity of the test.

The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare has engaged with international partners to roll out Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of pulmonary TB as part of the RNTCP. Members of the INDEX-TB technical advisory subcommittees have recognised the need for evidence-informed guidance on the use of Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of EPTB in the country, to promote prudent use of the test for improved patient outcomes. The following evidence summary is intended for the consideration of the INDEX-TB guideline panel for the purpose of making recommendations that are evidence-informed, practical and appropriate.

### Objectives

To present summary estimates of diagnostic accuracy of Xpert MTB/RIF for various forms of EPTB by specimen type compared with conventional culture methods and with a composite reference standard.

To appraise the quality of this evidence, and its applicability to clinical settings in India.

### Methods

A new systematic review with meta-analysis was not feasible within the timescale dictated by the guideline process, therefore the methodology support team reviewed the literature for the most recent systematic reviews addressing the diagnostic accuracy of Xpert MTB/RIF in EPTB. We then performed a new search using the search strategy outlined in Box 1 to find new diagnostic test accuracy studies of Xpert MTB/RIF for EPTB, and screened them using the same criteria as the chosen systematic review (Denkinger, 2014).

### Box 1. Detailed search strategy for Xpert MTB/RIF in EPTB

A search of Medline and Embase was performed using the terms below October 2013 to April 2015:

(extrapulmonary OR pleur\* OR mening\* OR pericard\* OR lymph\* OR extra-pulmon\*)

AND

(tuberculosis OR TB OR mycobacter\*)

AND

Xpert MTB\* OR GeneXpert OR Cepheid

### Results

We found three systematic reviews published reviewing the evidence for the diagnostic accuracy of Xpert MTB/RIF in EPTB: (Denkinger, 2014), (Maynard-Smith, 2014), (Penz, 2015). Of the three, only one (Denkinger, 2014) included only extrapulmonary specimens, with data disaggregated for specimen type and condition of interest. Assessment of this review using the AMSTAR tool (Shea, 2007) showed it to be a high-quality systematic review. We therefore used the results of the meta-analysis from this review to supply pooled estimates for the sensitivity and specificity for Xpert MTB/RIF for three important forms of EPTB: lymph node TB (LNTB), TB meningitis and pleural TB.

A search covering October 2013 to April 2015 found 68 results after removal of duplicates. These were screened and categorised as per Figure 1. The panel looked through these results when considering the Summary of Findings table. When reviewed qualitatively, the results of the new studies did not appear to substantially deviate from findings of the meta-analysis, so the panel felt confident in considering the meta-analysis data from Denkinger 2014 formally when making recommendations for each test.

The results of the original systematic review are presented in Figures 2, 3 and 4 with the summary estimates of sensitivity and specificity presented against both culture and a combined reference standard in Table 1 for LNTB, Table 4 for TB meningitis Table 7 for pleural TB (Denkinger, 2014).

The summary of findings table with our GRADE assessments of the results from Denkinger 2014 is presented in Table 2 for LNTB, Table 5 for TB meningitis and Table 8 for pleural TB.

The results of the updated search, including brief description of studies and estimates of sensitivity and specificity, is presented in Table 3 for LNTB, Table 6 for TB meningitis and Table 9 for pleural TB.

### **Imperfect Reference Standard**

An important problem in considering the evidence for estimates of sensitivity and specificity in EPTB diagnostics is the lack of a reference test which is reliably of high diagnostic test accuracy. Culture is the most frequently used reference test, but the low sensitivity of culture in paucibacillary TB disease may lead to misclassification of results. For example, if Xpert MTB/RIF correctly identifies a specimen as positive for TB, but the culture is negative, this will be classified as a false positive result. If this occurs frequently, an underestimation of Xpert MTB/RIF's specificity is expected. To mitigate this, Xpert MTB/RIF has been compared with a combined reference standard (CRS) in some studies. However, when compared with a CRS, which is more sensitive for detecting EPTB but less specific, Xpert may correctly identify a specimen as negative for TB which is wrongly classified as positive by the CRS, leading to a misclassified false negative result. If this occurs frequently, an underestimation of Xpert MTB/RIF's sensitivity could result. The panel considered both estimates, and the GRADE judgements for those estimates, when making the recommendations for the use of Xpert MTB/RIF in each form of EPTB.

Figure 1: Flow diagram illustrating the results of search and screening for Xpert MTB/RIF.



### **Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of LNTB**

Figure 2: Forest plot demonstrating the sensitivity and specificity for Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of LNTB across all included studies a) against culture as a reference standard; b) against a combined reference standard. Blue squares represent point estimates of sensitivity and specificity, and lines represent 95% confidence intervals.

| Study            | TP  | FP | FN | ΤN  | Sensitivity (95% CI) | Specificity (95% CI) |                         |                         |
|------------------|-----|----|----|-----|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                  |     |    |    |     |                      |                      |                         |                         |
| AL-ATEAH [14]    | 5   | 0  | 1  | 2   | 0.83 (0.36–1.00)     | 1.00 (0.16–1.00)     |                         |                         |
| Armand [15]      | 8   | 0  | 8  | 2   | 0.50 (0.25-0.75)     | 1.00 (0.16–1.00)     |                         |                         |
| CAUSSE [16]      | 16  | 0  | 1  | 70  | 0.94 (0.71–1.00)     | 1.00 (0.95–1.00)     |                         | -                       |
| Hanif [19]       | 6   | 0  | 0  | 3   | 1.00 (0.54–1.00)     | 1.00 (0.29–1.00)     |                         |                         |
| HILLEMANN [20]   | 6   | 3  | 4  | 52  | 0.60 (0.26-0.88)     | 0.95 (0.81-0.99)     |                         |                         |
| LIGTHELM [21]    | 28  | 3  | 1  | 16  | 0.97 (0.82-1.00)     | 0.84 (0.60-0.97)     |                         |                         |
| MALBRUNY [22]    | 6   | 0  | 0  | 17  | 1.00 (0.54–1.00)     | 1.00 (0.80–1.00)     |                         |                         |
| Moure [23]       | 24  | 0  | 10 | 4   | 0.71 (0.53-0.85)     | 1.00 (0.40–1.00)     |                         |                         |
| Safianowska [27] | 2   | 0  | 0  | 2   | 1.00 (0.16–1.00)     | 1.00 (0.16–1.00)     |                         |                         |
| Tortoli [28]     | 24  | 4  | 5  | 85  | 0.83 (0.64-0.94)     | 0.96 (0.89-0.99)     |                         | -                       |
| VADWAI [29]      | 32  | 17 | 12 | 127 | 0.73 (0.57-0.85)     | 0.88 (0.82-0.93)     |                         | -                       |
| Van Rie [30]     | 139 | 23 | 10 | 176 | 0.93 (0.88-0.97)     | 0.88 (0.83-0.93)     | -                       | -                       |
| Zeka [31]        | 11  | 2  | 3  | 10  | 0.79 (0.49-0.95)     | 0.83 (0.52-0.98)     | <b>_</b>                |                         |
|                  |     |    |    |     |                      |                      | 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 | 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 |
|                  |     |    |    |     |                      |                      | Sensitivity             | Specificity             |
| b)               |     |    |    |     |                      |                      | ocholding               | opeeniery               |
| Study            | TP  | FP | FN | TN  | Sensitivity (95% CI) | Specificity (95% CI) |                         |                         |
| LIGTHELM [21]    | 29  | 2  | 1  | 16  | 0.97 (0.83–1.00)     | 0.89 (0.65–0.99)     |                         |                         |
| TORTOLI [28]     | 28  | 0  | 5  | 85  | 0.85 (0.68-0.95)     | 1.00 (0.96-1.00)     |                         | -                       |
| VADWAI [29]      | 49  | 0  | 17 | 122 | 0.74 (0.61-0.84)     | 1.00 (0.97-1.00)     |                         | -                       |
| VAN RIE [30]     | 160 | 2  | 42 | 144 | 0.79 (0.73-0.85)     | 0.99 (0.95–1.00)     | -                       | -                       |
| Zека [31]        | 13  | 0  | 4  | 9   | 0.76 (0.50-0.93)     | 1.00 (0.66–1.00)     | <b>_</b>                |                         |
|                  |     |    |    |     |                      |                      | 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 | 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 |
|                  |     |    |    |     |                      |                      | Sensitivity             | Specificity             |

Table 1: Pooled sensitivity and specificity estimates for Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of LNTB against culture and against a combined reference standard.

|                 | Pooled Sensitivity (95% CI) | Pooled Specificity (95% CI) |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Against culture | 83.1% (71.4–90.7)           | 93.6% (87.9–96.8)           |  |  |  |
| Against CRS     | 81.2% (72.4–87.7)           | 99.1% (94.5–99.9)           |  |  |  |

### Table 2: Should Xpert MTB/RIF be used to diagnose LNTB in patients suspected of LNTB?

Specimen: Lymph node aspirate and/or biopsy

Reference test: Culture

| Sensitivity | 0.83 (95% CI: 0.71 to 0.91) |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Specificity | 0.94 (95% CI: 0.88 to 0.97) |

|   | Nº of                           | Study design                                       |                             | Factors that may decrease quality of evidence |                      |                      |                     |                                   | Effect per 1000 patients/year     |                      |  |
|---|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Outcome   | studies<br>(№ of<br>patients)   |  | Risk of bias                | Indirectness                                  | Inconsistency        | Imprecision          | Publication<br>bias | Pre-test<br>probability of<br>20% | Pre-test<br>probability of<br>45% | Test accuracy<br>QoE |  |
| <b>True positives</b><br>(patients with LNTB)                                 | 13 studies<br>(362<br>patients) | Cohort & case-<br>control type studies             | Not<br>serious <sup>1</sup> | Not<br>serious <sup>2</sup>                   | Serious <sup>3</sup> | Serious <sup>4</sup> | Not serious         | 166<br>(142 to 182)               | 374<br>(320 to 410)               | ⊕⊕⊖⊖<br>LOW          |  |
| False negatives<br>(patients incorrectly<br>classified as not having<br>LNTB) | patientsy                       |  |                             |   |                      |                      |                     | 34<br>(58 to 18)                  | 76<br>(130 to 40)                 |                      |  |
| True negatives<br>(patients without LNTB)                                     | 13 studies<br>(618<br>patients) | Cross-sectional<br>(cohort type<br>accuracy study) | Not<br>serious <sup>1</sup> | Not serious                                   | Not serious          | Not serious          | Not serious         | 752<br>(704 to 776)               | 517<br>(484 to 534)               | ⊕⊕⊕⊕<br>ніgн         |  |
| False positives<br>(patients incorrectly<br>classified as having LNTB)        | – patients)                     |  |                             |   |                      |                      |                     | 48<br>(96 to 24)                  | 33<br>(66 to 16)                  |                      |  |

1. Not downgraded for risk of bias: most studies were prospective cohort studies; only four of the thirteen studies were assessed as high risk of bias because patients were selected by convenience.

2. Not downgraded for indirectness: the studies were done in a variety of countries, mainly in secondary or tertiary centres and associated laboratories. The studies included mainly adults, but also children; HIV positive and negative people were included. The studies did not include specimens from patients being investigated for failed TB treatment/MDR TB.

3. Downgraded by one for inconsistency: unexplained heterogeneity may arise from differences between studies in specimen condition (fresh or frozen specimens), specimen processing and study population (e.g. prevalence of TB and HIV

4. Downgraded by one for imprecision: confidence intervals are wide partly due to the unexplained heterogeneity, and partly due to verification bias introduced by the imperfect reference standard.

| Study                              | Country,<br>setting                                  | Design                        | Participants  | Specimens  | Reference test  | ТР  | FP | ΤN  | FN | Sensitivity<br>% (95% CI) | Specificity<br>% (95% Cl) |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|---|-----|----|-----|----|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| (Ablanedo-<br>Terrazas Y,<br>2014) | Mexico,<br>Tertiary<br>referral<br>hospital          | Prospective<br>cohort         | Consecutive HIV<br>positive patients<br>with cervical<br>lymphadenopathy                          | Excision biopsy or<br>FNA.<br>Decontaminated and<br>homogenized.   | Solid culture with L-J<br>medium, liquid culture with<br>BACTEC MGIT 960  | 15  | 0  | 53  | 0  | 100<br>(74.7 to 100)      | 100<br>(91.6 to 100)      |
| (Biadglegne F,<br>2014)            | Ethiopia,<br>four main<br>hospitals,<br>one clinic   | Cross-<br>sectional           | 231 patients<br>suspected of LNTB<br>Children 15%<br>HIV status not<br>reported                   | FNA<br>Decontaminated,<br>concentrated, fresh  | Culture<br>(BacT/AlerT, ∐ and<br>Gottsacker)  | 30  | 55 | 126 | 2  | 93.75<br>(78.3 to 98.9)   | 69.61<br>(66.6 to 70.1)   |
| (Coetzee L,<br>2014)               | South<br>Africa,<br>Two<br>tertiary<br>referral      | Prospective<br>cohort         | 110 children <13<br>years suspected of<br>LNTB<br>HIV 8.3% pos,64%<br>untested                    | FNA, no<br>decontamination/co<br>ncentration steps,<br>diluted 2:1 in PBS,<br>fresh                              | Culture (BACTEC MGIT 960<br>System)   | 21  | 13 | 34  | 4  | 84.0<br>(69.6 to 98.4)    | 72.24<br>(59.6 to 85.1)   |
|                                    | hospitals  |                               |   |  | CRS (Cytology suggestive of TB + AFBs seen +/- culture)   | 32  | 2  | 30  | 8  | 80.0<br>(67.6 to 92.4)    | 93.8<br>(85.4 to 100)     |
| (Kim YW,<br>2015)                  | South<br>Korea,<br>tertiary<br>referral<br>hospital  | Retrospective<br>cohort study | All non-respiratory<br>specimens sent for<br>Xpert testing.<br>0.8% HIV positive<br>6.8% children | Pus/tissue<br>Decontaminated<br>with NALC-NaOH 1%<br>Concentrated by<br>centrifugation.<br>Solid samples frozen. | Culture (BACTEC MGIT and Ogawa medium)  | 27  | 22 | 343 | 5  | 84.4<br>(68.3 to 93.1)    | 94<br>(91.0 to 96.0)      |
|                                    |  |                               |   |  | Culture pos OR probable TB<br>(clinical features/<br>radiographic features and/or<br>histology suggestive of TB)<br>OR possible TB (clinical<br>features but no tests<br>suggestive of TB, good<br>response to ATT) | 49  | 0  | 330 | 18 | 73.1<br>(61.5 to 82.3)    | 100<br>(98.9 to 100)      |
| (Van Rie A,<br>2013)               | South<br>Africa,<br>tertiary<br>referral<br>hospital | Prospective<br>cohort         | Consecutive<br>patients with<br>suspected LNTB<br>All HIV positive,<br>aged >18                   | FNA aspirates<br>Nodecontamination/<br>concentration steps   | Culture (MGIT)  | 139 | 23 | 172 | 10 | 93.3<br>(87.6 to 96.6)    | 88.2<br>(82.6 to 96.1)    |

### Table 3: Characteristics of additional studies assessing Xpert MTB/RIF to make a diagnosis of LNTB published since 2013.

### Question: Should Xpert MTB/RIF be used to make a diagnosis of LNTB in addition to FNAC at district level?

### Balance of desirable and undesirable effects

| Desirable  | Undesirable  |
|--|--|
| Quicker diagnosis  | Patients with false negative Xpert results may have ATT withheld or stopped inappropriately  |
| May have fewer patients treated with ATT when            | False negatives may go on to develop disseminated disease  |
| they do not have LNTB                                    | False positives exposed to ATT unnecessarily   |
| Reduced stigma from reduction in overtreatment           | May falsely diagnose rifampicin resistance – harm to patient from SEs of 2 <sup>nd</sup> line drugs, high cost of 2 <sup>nd</sup> line drugs |
| May identify rifampicin resistance (evidence<br>unclear) | Cost implications of managing missed cases (repeat diagnostic sampling, repeat hospital/clinic visits)                                       |
|  | Stigma for patients given a false positive diagnosis   |
|  | Litigation for misdiagnosis  |

### Overall quality of evidence across all critical outcomes

| High        | Moderate | Low         | Very low |
|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Specificity |          | Sensitivity |          |

### Values and preference statement

LNTB is common and usually diagnosed and treated at primary/secondary care level. Needs rapid, accurate test to improve case detection and facilitate rapid initiation of treatment.

#### Draft recommendation

Xpert MTB/RIF should be used at district level as an additional test to FNAC in the diagnosis of LNTB.

#### Strength of recommendation

| For inte | ervention   | No             | Against intervention |        |  |  |
|----------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|--------|--|--|
|          |             | recommendation |                      |        |  |  |
| Strong   | Conditional |                | Conditional          | Strong |  |  |

Х

#### Remarks

The group recognised that there are variations in diagnostic practice in private settings, but that current RNTCP guidance stipulates the use of FNAC for the diagnosis of LNTB.

The group felt that relying on Xpert alone for the diagnosis of LNTB would be inappropriate considering the sensitivity estimate of 82% (which implies around one in five patients are missed by this test). Rather, the group agreed that Xpert can be useful in confirming a diagnosis in patients suspected of LNTB when considered alongside the results of FNAC. A negative Xpert test does not reliably rule out LNTB.

### **Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of TB meningitis**

Figure 3: Forest plot demonstrating the sensitivity and specificity for Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of TB meningitis across all included studies a) against culture as a reference standard; b) against a combined reference standard. Blue squares represent point estimates of sensitivity and specificity, and lines represent 95% confidence intervals.

| Study            | TP  | FP | FN | ΤN  | Sensitivity (95% CI) | Specificity (95% CI) |                         |                         |
|------------------|-----|----|----|-----|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| AL-ATEAH [14]    | 0   | 0  | 0  | 14  | Not estimable        | 1.00 (0.77–1.00)     |                         |                         |
| Armand [15]      | 0   | 0  | 0  | 5   | Not estimable        | 1.00 (0.48–1.00)     |                         |                         |
| CAUSSE [16]      | 5   | 0  | 1  | 44  | 0.83 (0.36–1.00)     | 1.00 (0.92-1.00)     |                         | -                       |
| Hanif [19]       | 1   | 0  | 0  | 4   | 1.00 (0.03–1.00)     | 1.00 (0.40-1.00)     |                         |                         |
| HILLEMANN [20]   | 0   | 0  | 0  | 19  | Not estimable        | 1.00 (0.82-1.00)     |                         |                         |
| MALBRUNY [22]    | 1   | 0  | 0  | 14  | 1.00 (0.03–1.00)     | 1.00 (0.77–1.00)     |                         |                         |
| Moure [23]       | 2   | 0  | 0  | 12  | 1.00 (0.16–1.00)     | 1.00 (0.74–1.00)     |                         |                         |
| Νнυ [24]         | 103 | 6  | 18 | 252 | 0.85 (0.78-0.91)     | 0.98 (0.95–0.99)     |                         |                         |
| Patel [25]       | 18  | 7  | 17 | 107 | 0.51 (0.34–0.69)     | 0.94 (0.88-0.97)     |                         | -                       |
| Safianowska [27] | 0   | 0  | 0  | 6   | Not estimable        | 1.00 (0.54–1.00)     |                         |                         |
| Tortoli [28]     | 11  | 2  | 2  | 118 | 0.85 (0.55–0.98)     | 0.98 (0.94–1.00)     |                         | -                       |
| VADWAI [29]      | 0   | 0  | 3  | 16  | 0.00 (0.00-0.71)     | 1.00 (0.79–1.00)     |                         |                         |
| Zeka [31]        | 3   | 0  | 0  | 28  | 1.00 (0.29–1.00)     | 1.00 (0.88–1.00)     |                         |                         |
|                  |     |    |    |     |                      |                      | 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 | 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 |
|                  |     |    |    |     |                      |                      | Sensitivity             | Specificity             |
| b)               |     |    |    |     |                      |                      |                         |                         |
| Study            | TP  | FP | FN | ΤN  | Sensitivity (95% CI) | Specificity (95% CI) |                         |                         |
| <b>N</b> нบ [24] | 108 | 1  | 43 | 227 | 0.72 (0.64–0.79)     | 1.00 (0.98–1.00)     | +                       |                         |
| Patel [25]       | 20  | 5  | 23 | 101 | 0.47 (0.31-0.62)     | 0.95 (0.89-0.98)     | <b>_</b> _              | -                       |
| Tortoli [28]     | 12  | 1  | 2  | 118 | 0.86 (0.57-0.98)     | 0.99 (0.95–1.00)     |                         | 4                       |
| VADWAI [29]      | 1   | 0  | 4  | 14  | 0.20 (0.01-0.72)     | 1.00 (0.77-1.00)     |                         |                         |
| Zeka [31]        | 3   | 0  | 2  | 26  | 0.60 (0.15-0.95)     | 1.00 (0.87–1.00)     |                         |                         |
|                  | -   | •  | -  |     |                      |                      | 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 | 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.  |
|                  |     |    |    |     |                      |                      | Sensitivity             | Specificity             |

Table 4: Pooled sensitivity and specificity estimates for Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of TB meningitis against culture and against a combined reference standard.

|                 | Pooled Sensitivity (95% CI) | Pooled Specificity (95% Cl) |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Against culture | 80.5% (59.0–92.2)           | 97.8% (95.2–99.0)           |  |  |
| Against CRS     | 62.8% (47.7–75.8)           | 98.8 (95.7–100)             |  |  |

### Table 5: Should Xpert MTB/RIF be used to diagnose TB meningitis in patients suspected of TB meningitis?

Specimen: Cerebrospinal fluid

Reference test: Culture

| Sensitivity | 0.81 (95% CI: 0.59 to 0.92) |  |
|-------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Specificity | 0.98 (95% CI: 0.95 to 0.99) |  |

|   | Nº of                           | Study design                              |                                | Factors that may decrease quality of evidence |                          |                      |                         | Effect per 100                   |                                   |                      |             |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Outcome studies<br>(№ of<br>patients  |                                 |   | Risk of bias                   | Indirectness                                  | Inconsistency            | Imprecision          | Publication<br>bias     | Pre-test<br>probability of<br>2% | Pre-test<br>probability of<br>10% | Test accuracy<br>QoE |             |
| <b>True positives</b><br>(patients with TB meningitis)                              | 13 studies<br>(185              | (185                                      | Cohort & case-<br>control type | Not<br>serious <sup>1</sup>                   | Not serious <sup>2</sup> | Serious <sup>3</sup> | Serious <sup>4</sup> No | Not serious                      | 16 (12 to 18)                     | 81 (59 to 92)        | ⊕⊕⊖⊖<br>LOW |
| False negatives<br>(patients incorrectly classified<br>as not having TB meningitis) | patients)                       | studies                                   |                                |   |                          |                      |                         | 4 (8 to 2)                       | 19 (41 to 8)                      |                      |             |
| <b>True negatives</b><br>(patients without TB<br>meningitis)                        | 13 studies<br>(654<br>patients) | Cohort & case-<br>control type<br>studies | Not serious                    | Not serious                                   | Not serious              | Not serious          | Not serious             | 960 (931 to<br>970)              | 882 (855 to<br>891)               | ⊕⊕⊕⊕<br>нісн         |             |
| False positives<br>(patients incorrectly classified<br>as having TB meningitis)     |                                 |   |                                |   |                          |                      |                         | 20 (49 to 10)                    | 18 (45 to 9)                      |                      |             |

1. Downgraded by one for risk of bias: although there were concerns about the method of patient selection in two of the largest studies, most studies recruited consecutive patients. Reference test and index test were interpreted in a blinded fashion in most studies.

- 2. Not downgraded for indirectness: the studies included were from high and low TB prevalence settings, included HIV positive and negative participants, and included adults and children. The studies did not include participants who were being retested for TB after recent treatment failure.
- 3. Downgraded by one for inconsistency: unexplained heterogeneity may arise from differences between studies in specimen condition (fresh or frozen specimens), specimen processing, and varying populations (e.g. high or low HIV prevalence).
- 4. Downgraded by one for imprecision: there was wide variation in the estimates of sensitivity between studies due to the low number of participants.

| Study                  | Country  | Design                        | Participants  | Specimens                              | TP  | FP | TN  | FN | Sensitivity<br>% (95% Cl) | Specificity<br>% (95% CI) |
|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|-----|----|-----|----|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| (Kim YW,<br>2015)      | South Korea,<br>tertiary referral<br>hospital  | Retrospective<br>cohort study | All non-respiratory<br>specimens sent for<br>Xpert testing.<br>0.8% HIV positive<br>6.8% children | CSF<br>Decon.<br>Fresh                 | 3   | 0  | 250 | 1  | 75<br>(19.4–99.4)         | 100<br>(98.5–100)         |
| (Nhu NT,<br>2014)      | Vietnam,<br>tertiary referral<br>hospital      | Prospective<br>cohort         | Consecutive patients<br>suspected of TBM<br>Aged >18<br>HIV pos 20.8%<br>Untested 50.7%           | Concentrated by centrifugation, frozen | 103 |    |     | 18 | 85.1<br>(77.5 to 90.9)    |                           |
|                        |  |                               |   | Against clinical diagnosis             | 108 |    |     | 74 | 59.3<br>(51.8 to 66.5)    | 99.5<br>(97.2 to 100)     |
| (Patel VB,<br>2014)    | South Africa,<br>tertiary referral<br>hospital | Prospective cohort            | Consecutive patients suspected of TBM   | Against liquid<br>culture/microscopy   | 18  | 3  | 50  | 18 | 50                        | 94                        |
| (Solomons<br>RS, 2015) | South Africa,<br>tertiary referral<br>hospital | Prospective<br>cohort         | Consecutive paediatric<br>patients suspected of<br>TBM  | No centrifugation or decon. Fresh.     | 5   | 0  | 46  | 8  | 0.92<br>(0.64–1.00)       | 0.98<br>(0.89–1.00)       |

### Table 6: Characteristics of additional studies assessing Xpert MTB/Rif to make a diagnosis of TB meningitis published since 2013

### Guideline Panel's Judgement

### Question: Should Xpert MTB/RIF be used in addition to conventional diagnosis tests to diagnose TB meningitis?

### Balance of desirable and undesirable effects

| Desirable  | Undesirable  |
|--|--|
| If Xpert is positive its highly likely to be TBM – this could increase access to a diagnosis | High number of false negatives – significant concern that this could lead to missed or delayed diagnosis |
| Quick result   | Delayed diagnosis leads to worse outcomes (death)  |
| Already widely available   | Additional costs   |

#### **Overall quality of evidence across all critical outcomes**

| High | Moderate | Low | Very low |
|------|----------|-----|----------|
|      |          |     |          |

Specificity Sensitivity

#### Values and preference statement

TBM is a significant cause of death and disability in India, and early diagnosis saves lives. A rapid test that is reliable and does not miss cases is needed. Survival is related to early diagnosis and treatment.

### Draft recommendation

A negative Xpert result does not rule out TBM.

Xpert may be used as an adjunctive test for TBM.

(Conditional recommendation)

Decision to give ATT should be based on clinical features and CSF profile.

- The group noted that the stakes are high in the diagnosis of TBM because it has a high associated mortality
- The group felt that this test was not sufficiently sensitive to be considered a 'gold standard', and there are diagnostic tools to guide diagnosis which incorporate multiple tests.
- There was concern about harm to patients from relying on negative Xpert results.
- The sensitivity of AFB smear is extremely low, and Xpert is more sensitive than this conventional rapid test. Sensitivity of culture varies widely, and Xpert is not likely to be more sensitive than culture, but culture results take several weeks to come back.
- Xpert is not sensitive for TBM so a decision to give ATT should not be based on a negative Xpert result. Xpert has high specificity so a positive result may be reassuring in the context of this disease where no diagnostic tests are very reliable.

### **Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of pleural TB**

Figure 4: Forest plot demonstrating the sensitivity and specificity for Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of pleural TB across all included studies a) against culture as a reference standard; b) against a combined reference standard. Blue squares represent point estimates of sensitivity and specificity, and lines represent 95% confidence intervals.

| a)               |    |    |    |     |                      |                      |                         |                         |
|------------------|----|----|----|-----|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Study            | TP | FP | FN | TN  | Sensitivity [95% CI] | Specificity (95% CI) |                         |                         |
| AL-ATEAH [14]    | 3  | 0  | 0  | 10  | 1.00 (0.29-1.00)     | 1.00 (0.69-1.00)     |                         |                         |
| ARMAND [15]      | 3  | 0  | 4  | 1   | 0.43 (0.10-0.82)     | 1.00 (0.03-1.00)     |                         |                         |
| CAUSSE [16]      | 4  | 0  | 0  | 30  | 1.00 (0.40-1.00)     | 1.00 (0.88-1.00)     |                         |                         |
| CHRISTOPHER [17] | 0  | 4  | 0  | 83  | Not estimable        | 0.95 [0.89-0.99]     |                         |                         |
| FRIEDRICH [18]   | 5  | 0  | 4  | 15  | 0.56 (0.21-0.86)     | 1.00 (0.78-1.00)     |                         |                         |
| HANIF [19]       | 3  | 0  | 0  | 8   | 1.00 (0.29-1.00)     | 1.00 (0.63-1.00)     |                         |                         |
| HILLEMANN [20]   | 0  | 2  | 0  | 103 | Not estimable        | 0.98 (0.93-1.00)     |                         | -                       |
| MALBRUNY [22]    | 0  | 0  | 2  | 10  | 0.00 (0.00-0.84)     | 1.00 (0.69-1.00)     |                         |                         |
| MOURE [23]       | 9  | 0  | 19 | 6   | 0.32 (0.16-0.52)     | 1.00 (0.54-1.00)     |                         |                         |
| PORCEL [26]      | 2  | 3  | 3  | 58  | 0.40 (0.05-0.85)     | 0.95 (0.86-0.99)     |                         |                         |
| SAFIANOWSKA [27] | 0  | 0  | 2  | 30  | 0.33 (0.12-0.62)     | 1.00 (0.88-1.00)     |                         |                         |
| TORTOLI [28]     | 5  | 3  | 10 | 312 | 0.00 (0.00-0.84)     | 0.99 [0.97-1.00]     |                         |                         |
| VADWAI [29]      | 5  | 0  | 5  | 19  | 0.50 (0.19-0.81)     | 1.00 (0.82-1.00)     |                         |                         |
| ZEKA [31]        | 0  | 0  | 4  | 52  | 0.00 (0.00-0.60)     | 1.00 (0.93-1.00)     |                         |                         |
|                  |    |    |    |     |                      |                      | 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 | 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 |
|                  |    |    |    |     |                      |                      | Sensitivity             | Specificity             |
| b]               |    |    |    |     |                      |                      |                         |                         |
| Study            | TP | FP | FN | TN  | Sensitivity [95% CI] | Specificity [95% CI] |                         |                         |
| CHRISTOPHER [17] | 4  | 0  | 26 | 61  | 0.13 (0.04-0.31)     | 1.00 (0.94-1.00)     |                         | -                       |
| FRIEDRICH [18]   | 5  | 0  | 15 | 5   | 0.25 (0.09-0.49)     | 1.00 (0.48-1.00)     | _                       |                         |
| PORCEL [26]      | 5  | 0  | 28 | 34  | 0.15 [0.05-0.32]     | 1.00 [0.90-1.00]     |                         |                         |
| TORTOLI [28]     | 8  | õ  | 10 | 312 | 0.44 [0.22-0.69]     | 1.00 [0.99-1.00]     |                         |                         |
| VADWAI [29]      | 5  | 0  | 5  | 19  | 0.50 [0.19-0.81]     | 1.00 [0.82-1.00]     |                         |                         |
| ZEKA [31]        | õ  | õ  | 8  | 48  | 0.00 [0.00-0.37]     | 1.00 (0.93-1.00)     |                         | -                       |
| Territorit.      |    |    |    | 40  | 0.00 (0.00-0.07)     | 1.00 (0170-1100)     | 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 | 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 |
|                  |    |    |    |     |                      |                      |                         |                         |
|                  |    |    |    |     |                      |                      | Sensitivity             | Specificity             |

### Table 7: Pooled sensitivity and specificity estimates for Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of pleural TB against culture and against a combined reference standard

|                 | Pooled Sensitivity (95% CI) | Pooled Specificity (95% CI) |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Against culture | 46.4% (26.3–67.8)           | 99.1% (95.2–99.8)           |  |  |  |  |
| Against CRS     | 21.4% (8.8–33.9%)           | 100% (99.4–100)             |  |  |  |  |

### Table 8: Should Xpert MTB/RIF be used to diagnose pleural TB in people with suspected pleural TB?

Specimen: Pleural fluid

Reference test: Culture of pleural biopsy

| Sensitivity | 0.46 (95% CI: 0.26 to 0.68) |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Specificity | 0.99 (95% CI: 0.95 to 1.00) |

|  | Nº of              | Study design                              |                             | Factors that m              | ay decrease qu       | ality of evider      | ice                 | Effect per 10                    | _                                 |                      |
|--|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Outcome (Ne c<br>patier  |                    |   | Risk of<br>bias             | Indirectness                | Inconsistency        | Imprecision          | Publication<br>bias | Pre-test<br>probability of<br>5% | Pre-test<br>probability of<br>20% | Test accuracy<br>QoE |
| <b>True positives</b><br>(patients with pleural TB)                              | 14 studies<br>(776 | Cohort & case-<br>control type<br>studies | Not<br>serious <sup>1</sup> | Not<br>serious <sup>2</sup> | Serious <sup>3</sup> | Serious <sup>4</sup> | Not serious         | 23<br>(13 to 34)                 | 92<br>(52 to 136)                 | ⊕⊕⊖⊖<br>LOW          |
| False negatives<br>(patients incorrectly classified<br>as not having pleural TB) | patients)          | studies                                   |                             |                             |                      |                      |                     | 27<br>(37 to 16)                 | 108<br>(148 to 64)                |                      |
| True negatives<br>(patients without pleural TB)                                  | 14 studies<br>(65  | Cohort & case-<br>control type<br>studies | Not<br>serious <sup>1</sup> | Not serious                 | Not serious          | Not serious          | Not serious         | 941<br>(903 to 950)              | 792<br>(760 to 800)               | ⊕⊕⊕⊕<br>нісн         |
| False positives<br>(patients incorrectly classified<br>as having pleural TB)     | patients)          | Studies                                   |                             |                             |                      |                      |                     | 9<br>(47 to 0)                   | 8<br>(40 to 0)                    |                      |

1. Not downgraded for risk of bias: although there were concerns about the method of patient selection in two of the largest studies, most studies recruited consecutive patients. Reference test and index test were interpreted in a blinded fashion in most studies.

- 2. Not downgraded for indirectness: the studies included were from high and low TB prevalence settings, included HIV positive and negative participants, and included adults and children. The studies did not include participants who were being retested for TB after recent treatment failure.
- 3. Downgraded by one for inconsistency: unexplained heterogeneity may arise from differences between studies in specimen condition (fresh or frozen specimens), specimen processing, and varying populations (e.g. high or low HIV prevalence). Four of the studies with lowest estimates of sensitivity were conducted in low TB prevalence settings and two of these had over 10% prevalence of HIV.

4. Downgraded by one for imprecision: there was wide variation in the estimates of sensitivity between studies due to the low number of participants.

| Study                | Country  | Design   | Participants   | Specimens  | Reference test   | ΤР | FP | ΤN | FN | Sensitivity<br>% (95% CI) | Specificity<br>% (95% CI) |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|----|----|----|----|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| (Du J, 2015)         | China,<br>Four tertiary<br>referral<br>hospitals | Prospective<br>cohort  | Patients suspected of<br>pleural TB with negative<br>sputum cultures.<br>Patient selection<br>unclear.               | Pleural biopsy                                     | Pleural biopsy culture   | 47 | 2  | 69 | 8  | 85.5                      | 97.2                      |
|                      |  |  |  | Pleural fluid                                      | Pleural biopsy culture   | 24 | 1  | 70 | 1  | 43.6                      | 98.6                      |
| (Lusiba JK,<br>2014) | Uganda,<br>tertiary<br>referral<br>hospital      | Prospective<br>cohort  | Patients suspected of<br>pleural TB, no recent<br>ATT.<br>Consecutively recruited.<br>n = 116<br>44.8% HIV pos       | Pleural fluid                                      | Pleural biopsy culture<br>and/or histology   | 25 | 1  | 28 | 62 | 28.7                      | 96.6                      |
| (Meldau R,<br>2014)  | South Africa,<br>two<br>secondary<br>hospitals   | Prospective<br>cohort  | Patients suspected of<br>pleural TB, no recent<br>ATT. Adults, 10% HIV<br>positive. Consecutively<br>recruited. n=93 | Pleural fluid,<br>centrifuged and<br>uncentrifuged | Pleural<br>biopsy/sputum<br>culture, or pleural<br>biopsy histology  | 9  | 1  | 47 | 31 | 22.5<br>(12.4 to 37.6)    | 97.9%<br>(89.2 to 99.7)   |
| (Trajman A,<br>2014) | Brazil,<br>tertiary<br>referral<br>hospital      | Specimens<br>collected<br>prospectively,<br>subjected to<br>Xpert testing<br>retrospectively | Patients requiring<br>thoracocentesis. Adults,<br>5% HIV positive, 26.9%<br>unknown HIV status<br>N=65               | Pleural fluid<br>(previously<br>frozen)            | Confirmed TB<br>(positive<br>culture/smear in any<br>specimen,<br>granulomata on<br>histology of pleural<br>biopsy) OR probable<br>TB (symptoms<br>consistent with<br>pleural TB and<br>response to ATT) | 1  | 0  | 26 | 32 | 3<br>(0 to 17)            | 100<br>(89 to 100)        |

### Table 9: Characteristics of additional studies assessing Xpert MTB/Rif to make a diagnosis of pleural TB published since 2013

### Guideline Panel's Judgement

### Question: Should Xpert MTB/RIF be used in addition to conventional tests to diagnose TB pleurisy?

### Setting: district level health system

### Balance of desirable and undesirable effects

| Desirable   | Undesirable   |
|---|---|
| Quick result  | 50% of TB pleurisy patients are missed by this test       |
| Easy to do compared with pleural biopsy (which is painful, requires expertise | Cost  |
| and carries risks)  | Does not help rule out other diagnoses such as malignancy |

### Overall quality of evidence across all critical outcomes

| High | Moderate | Low  | Very low |
|------|----------|------|----------|
| Spec |          | Sens |          |

#### Values and preference statement

A rapid, accurate test is needed to identify patients with pleural TB and start them on ATT appropriately. Pleural fluid rather than pleural biopsy is preferred, as it is easier to sample in most cases and is less risky and invasive for the patient.

### Draft recommendation

Xpert should not be used to diagnose pleural TB.

#### Strength of recommendation

| For inte | ervention   | No             | Against inter | vention |
|----------|-------------|----------------|---------------|---------|
|          |             | recommendation |               |         |
| Strong   | Conditional |                | Conditional   | Strong  |

Х

#### Remarks

The group had concerns about dual pathology in older patients – if Xpert is positive, the patient may be given ATT when in fact further investigation would have revealed malignancy. Some clinicians had experienced this happening.

A paediatrician in the group noted that this is much less of a concern for children, where the pre-test probability of TB is high in India.

The group felt that sometimes Xpert could help (if positive) if the diagnosis was unclear, and noted the advantage of having a rapid test. The group considered that a positive Xpert result may help in avoiding more invasive procedures in some patients, for example those with low adenosine deaminase activity in pleural fluid.

However, the sensitivity estimates against culture of pleural biopsy and against CRS are very low, and given the possibility that the misleading results of this test could lead to harm to patients in some circumstances, the group decided not to recommend the use of Xpert for pleural TB.

## Should steroids be used to treat TB meningitis?

This evidence summary is based on the draft of the latest update of the Cochrane Review *Corticosteroids for managing tuberculous meningitis* (Prasad, 2016)

### Authors

Kameshwar Prasad<sup>1</sup>, Mamta B Singh<sup>1</sup>, Hannah Ryan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, All India of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Sciences, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK

### Declaration of interests from review authors

K. Prasad is a co-author of two of the included trials (Kumarvelu, 1994) and (Prasad, 2006). H. Ryan extracted data and assessed risk of bias for these two trials with the Cochrane Infectious Disease Group co-ordinating editor, Paul Garner.

H. Ryan is part of the Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group, which is principally funded by the Department for International Development, Government of the United Kingdom.

### Background

TB meningitis is a life-threatening condition affecting adults and children, which can leave survivors with a range of neurological disabilities. The pathophysiology of TB meningitis involves the inflammation of blood vessels in the subarachnoid space which can lead to loss of blood flow to brain tissue, leading to stroke. Steroids are thought to reduce this inflammation, improving blood flow and reducing cerebral oedema and intracranial pressure. However, the risks associated with steroids include immunosuppression, which is a major concern in the context of an infectious disease, gastrointestinal bleeding, hyperglycaemia and hypertension, amongst others.

Several randomized controlled trials have been conducted on the effect of corticosteroids in managing TB meningitis. The conclusions from these trials, seen individually, appear inconsistent. One trial (Thwaites, 2004) showed that dexamethasone increases survival rate, but it also raised two questions – do patients who survive because of dexamethasone therapy tend to be left with severe disability, and are there differential effects among subgroups of patients with different degrees of disease severity?

### Objective

To evaluate the effects of corticosteroids as an adjunct to ATT on death and severe disability in people with TB meningitis.

### Methods

### Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies - Randomized controlled trials.

Types of participants - People of any age with clinically diagnosed TB meningitis.

### **Types of interventions**

*Intervention* - Corticosteroid (hydrocortisone, prednisolone, or dexamethasone) given orally, intramuscularly, or intravenously *plus* ATT.

Control - ATT (same as intervention) with or without placebo.

### Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

- Death.
- Persisting disabling neurological deficit at the end of follow up.

### **Adverse events**

Adverse events, including upper gastrointestinal bleeding, invasive bacterial or fungal infections, and hyperglycaemia.

### Search methods for identification of studies

We searched the following databases using the search terms and strategy described below: Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group Specialized Register (February 2015); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), published in *The Cochrane Library*; MEDLINE (1966 to February 2015); EMBASE (1974 to February 2015); and LILACS (1982 to February 2015). We also searched Current Controlled Trials (www.controlled-trials.com; accessed February 2015) using 'tuberculosis' and 'meningitis' as search terms.

| Search<br>set | CIDG SR <sup>1</sup>     | CENTRAL                       | MEDLINE <sup>2</sup> | EMBASE <sup>2</sup>         | LILACS <sup>2</sup> |
|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1             | tuberculosis             | tuberculosis                  | tuberculosis         | TUBERCULOSIS-<br>MENINGITIS | tuberculosis        |
| 2             | ТВ                       | steroid*                      | tuberculosis         | tuberculosis                | ТВ                  |
| 3             | steroids                 | corticosteroid*               | ТВ                   | ТВ                          | 1 or 2              |
| 4             | corticosteroids          | glucocorticoid*               | 1 or 2 or 3          | 1 or 2 or 3                 | steroid*            |
| 5             | dexamethasone            | hydrocortisone                | steroid*             | steroid\$                   | hydrocortisone      |
| 6             | hydrocortisone           | prednisolone                  | STEROIDS             | STEROIDS                    | dexamethasone       |
| 7             | prednisolone             | dexamethasone                 | corticosteroid*      | corticosteroid\$            | prednisolone        |
| 8             | 1 or 2                   | 2 or 3 or 4 or 5<br>or 6 or 7 | glucocorticoid*      | glucocorticoid\$            | 4 or 5 or 6 or 7    |
| 9             | 3 or 4 or 5 or 6<br>or 7 | 1 and 8                       | hydrocortisone       | hydrocortisone              | 3 and 8             |
| 10            | 8 and 9                  | _                             | dexamethasone        | dexamethasone               | _                   |
| 11            | _                        | _                             | prednisolone         | prednisolone                | _                   |
| 12            | _                        | _                             | prednisone           | methylprednisone            | _                   |
| 13            | _                        | _                             | methylprednisone     | 5-12/or                     | _                   |
| 14            | _                        | _                             | 5-13/or              | 4 and 13                    | -                   |
| 15            | _                        | _                             | 4 and 14             | Limit 14 to human           | -                   |
| 16            | _                        | _                             | Limit 15 to human    | _                           | _                   |

<sup>1</sup>Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group Specialized Register.

<sup>2</sup> Search terms used in combination with the search strategy for retrieving trials developed by The Cochrane Collaboration; (Lefebvre, 2011) upper case: MeSH or EMTREE heading; lower case: free text term.

### Data collection and analysis

We analyzed the outcome data based on an intention-to-treat analysis.

### Results

Figure 5: Flow diagram illustrating the results of search and screening for steroids to treat TB meningitis



| Study ID                   | Country         | Year    | Setting         | Age                   | MRC<br>Grade | HIV status<br>reported              | TB treatment<br>regimen     | Steroid            | Route | Starting dose   | Duration |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------|---|----------|
| (O'Toole <i>,</i><br>1969) | India           | 1966-67 | Tertiary        | All                   | ll and Ill   | No                                  | HS (duration not specified) | Dexamethasone      | IV/IM | Adults: 9 mg/day<br>Children: unclear                         | 4 weeks  |
| (Girgis NI,<br>1983)       | Egypt           | 1982-87 | Research        | All                   | All          | No                                  | 24HE1.5S                    | Dexamethasone      | IM    | Adults: 12<br>mg/day<br>Children: 8<br>mg/day                 | 6 weeks  |
| (Chotmongkol<br>V, 1996)   | Thailand        | 1990-92 | Tertiary        | >15<br>years          | All          | Yes, HIV positive patients excluded | 2HRZS+4HR                   | Prednisolone       | Oral  | 60 mg/day   | 5 weeks  |
| (Kumarvelu,<br>1994)       | India           | 1991-92 | Tertiary        | >12<br>years          | All          | No                                  | 12HRZ                       | Dexamethasone      | IV    | 16 mg/day   | 4 weeks  |
| (Lardizabal,<br>1998)      | Phillipines     | 1996-97 | Tertiary        | >18<br>years          | II and III   | No                                  | 2HRZE+10HR                  | Dexamethasone      | IV    | 16 mg/day   | 7 weeks  |
| (Schoeman,<br>1997)        | South<br>Africa | Unclear | Tertiary        | Children              | ll and Ill   | No                                  | 6HRZE                       | Prednisolone       | Oral  | 2-4 mg/kg/day   | 4 weeks  |
| (Thwaites,<br>2004)        | Vietnam         | 2001-03 | Tertiary        | >14<br>years          | All          | Yes, HIV patients<br>included       | 3HRZE (or S)<br>+6HRZ       | Dexamethasone      | IV    | Grade II & III: 0.4<br>mg/kg/day<br>Grade I: 0.3<br>mg/kg/day | 8 weeks  |
| (Malhotra,<br>2009)        | India           | 2006-07 | 006-07 Tertiary | Tertiary >14<br>years | All          | Yes, HIV positive                   | 2HRZE (or S) +7H            | Dexamethasone      | IV    | 0.4 mg/kg/day   | 8 weeks  |
|                            |                 |         |                 |                       |              | patients excluded                   |                             | Methylprednisolone | IV    | 20 mg/kg/day  | 5 days   |

### **Risk of Bias Assessment**

Figure 6. Risk of bias summary for steroids to treat TB meningitis: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study. Green indicates low risk of bias, yellow indicates unclear risk of bias, and red indicates high risk of bias.

| Thwaites 2004 | Schoeman 1997  | O'Toole 1969 | Malhotra 2009 | Lardizabal 1998 | Kumarvelu 1994 | Girgis 1991    | Chotmongkol 1996 |   |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|---|
| •             | 6              | ی            | •             | 2               | •              | •              | ?                | Random sequence generation (selection bias)   |
| •             | <mark>;</mark> | •            | ?             | <mark>;</mark>  |                | <mark>?</mark> | •                | Allocation concealment (selection bias)   |
| •             | ?              | •            | ?             | ?               | ?              | ?              | •                | Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)                               |
| •             | •              | •            | •             | •               | •              | ?              | •                | Blinding of outcome assessment (death)  |
| •             | •              | •            |               |                 |                |                | ?                | Blinding of outcome assessment (disabling neurological deficit at the end of follow up) |
| •             | •              | •            | •             | •               |                | •              | ?                | Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)  |
| •             | •              | ?            | •             | •               | ?              | •              | ?                | Selective reporting (reporting bias)  |

Figure 7. Forest plot demonstrating the effect of steroids in patients with TB meningitis on death at three points of follow up. Blue squares represent the risk ratio and study weighting; the black lines represent confidence intervals. The black diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect. Green indicates low risk of bias, yellow indicates unclear risk of bias, and red indicates high risk of bias.

|   | Corticost    | eroid             | Contr              | ol         |                         | Risk Ratio                                    | Risk Ratio                                   | Risk of Bias                          |
|---|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Study or Subgroup                                   | Events       | Total             | Events             | Total      | Weight                  | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl                            | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl                           | ABCDEFG                               |
| 1.1.1 Follow up 3 to 12                             | 2 months     |                   |                    |            |                         |   |  |                                       |
| Chotmongkol 1996                                    | 5            | 29                | 2                  | 30         | 0.8%                    | 2.59 [0.54, 12.29]                            |  | ? • • • ? ? ? ?                       |
| Girgis 1991 (1)                                     | 72           | 145               | 79                 | 135        | 33.0%                   | 0.85 [0.68, 1.05]                             | -  | • ? ? ? • ? ?                         |
| Kumarvelu 1994                                      | 5            | 20                | 7                  | 21         | 2.8%                    | 0.75 [0.28, 1.98]                             |  | •••?                                  |
| Lardizabal 1998                                     | 4            | 29                | 6                  | 29         | 2.4%                    | 0.67 [0.21, 2.12]                             |  | ????••••                              |
| Malhotra 2009                                       | 17           | 61                | 13                 | 30         | 7.0%                    | 0.64 [0.36, 1.14]                             |  |                                       |
| O'Toole 1969  | 6            | 11                | 9                  | 12         | 3.5%                    | 0.73 [0.39, 1.37]                             |  | ? • • • • • ?                         |
| Schoeman 1997                                       | 4            | 67                | 13                 | 67         | 5.2%                    | 0.31 [0.11, 0.90]                             |  | ?????.                                |
| Thwaites 2004<br>Subtotal (95% CI)                  | 87           | 274<br>636        | 112                | 271<br>595 | 45.4%<br>100.0%         | 0.77 [0.61, 0.96]<br><b>0.77 [0.67, 0.89]</b> | •  |                                       |
| Total events  | 200          |                   | 241                |            |                         |   |  |                                       |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = I                 | 6.38, df = 7 | (P = 0.5)         | 50); <b>Iz</b> = 0 | %          |                         |   |  |                                       |
| Test for overall effect:                            | Z = 3.52 (P  | = 0.000           | 4)                 |            |                         |   |  |                                       |
| 1.1.2 Follow up at 2 ye                             |              |                   |                    |            |                         |   | _  |                                       |
| Thwaites 2004<br>Subtotal (95% CI)                  | 99           | 274<br><b>274</b> | 119                |            | 100.0%<br><b>100.0%</b> | 0.82 [0.67, 1.01]<br>0.82 [0.67, 1.01]        | •  |                                       |
| Total events  | 99           |                   | 119                |            |                         |   |  |                                       |
| Heterogeneity: Not ap<br>Test for overall effect: : |              | = 0.06)           |                    |            |                         |   |  |                                       |
| 1.1.3 Follow up at 5 ye                             | ears         |                   |                    |            |                         |   |  |                                       |
| Thwaites 2004<br>Subtotal (95% CI)                  | 121          | 274<br><b>274</b> | 128                |            | 100.0%<br><b>100.0%</b> | 0.93 [0.78, 1.12]<br><b>0.93 [0.78, 1.12]</b> | •  |                                       |
| Total events  | 121          |                   | 128                |            |                         |   |  |                                       |
| Heterogeneity: Not ap<br>Test for overall effect: J |              | = 0.47)           |                    |            |                         |   |  |                                       |
|   |              |                   |                    |            |                         |   |  | T o                                   |
| Test for subgroup diffe                             | erences: Cl  | hi² = 2.6         | 0, df = 2          | (P = 0.2   | 27), <b>I²</b> = 23     | .1% Fa  | avours [corticosteroids] Favours [control]   |                                       |
| Footnotes   |              |                   |                    |            |                         |   | Risk of bias legend                          |                                       |
| (1) Pre-designed 1 to                               | 1 number r   | randomi           | sation ch          | nart       |                         |   | (A) Random sequence generation (selection    | *                                     |
|   |              |                   |                    |            |                         |   | (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)  |                                       |
|   |              |                   |                    |            |                         |   | (C) Blinding of participants and personnel ( | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|   |              |                   |                    |            |                         |   | (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (death    |                                       |
|   |              |                   |                    |            |                         |   | (E) Blinding of outcome assessment (disab    |                                       |
|   |              |                   |                    |            |                         |   | (F) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) |                                       |
|   |              |                   |                    |            |                         |   | (G) Selective reporting (reporting bias)     |                                       |

#### Table 12: Summary of findings for the use of corticosteroids to treat TB meningitis

Participants: Adults or children with tuberculous meningitis on tuberculosis (TB) chemotherapy

Settings: Hospital care

Intervention: Any corticosteroid

Comparison: Placebo or no corticosteroid

| Outcomes                       | Illustrative comparati | ve risks (95% CI)               | Relative effect           | Number of participants        | Quality of the evidence<br>(GRADE)  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
|                                | Placebo                | Corticosteroid                  | (95% CI)                  | (trials)                      | (GRADE)   |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-up to 2 to 24 months    |                        |                                 |                           |                               |   |  |  |  |  |
| Death                          | 41 per 100             | <b>31 per 100</b><br>(27 to 36) | RR 0.76<br>(0.66 to 0.87) | 1318<br>(9 trials)            | $ \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigoplus \\ high^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5} $ |  |  |  |  |
| Disabling neurological deficit | 8 per 100              | <b>7 per 100</b><br>(6 to 10)   | RR 0.92<br>(0.71 to 1.20) | 1295<br>(8 trials)            | ⊕⊕⊝⊝ <sup>6, 7, 8</sup><br>low  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-up to 5 years           |                        |                                 |                           |                               |   |  |  |  |  |
| Death                          | 47 per 100             | 44 per 100<br>(37 to 53)        | RR 0.93<br>(0.78 to 1.12) | 545 participants<br>(1 trial) | $\oplus \oplus \oplus \Theta^{9,10}$<br>moderate                              |  |  |  |  |
| Disabling neurological deficit | 15 per 100             | 14 per 100<br>(7 to 25)         | RR 0.91<br>(0.49 to 1.69) | 244<br>(1 trial)              | $\oplus \ominus \ominus \ominus^{10, 11, 12}$<br>very low                     |  |  |  |  |

\*The assumed risk is from the median control group risk across studies. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio; TB: tuberculosis.

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence.

High quality: further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

**Very low quality:** we are very uncertain about the estimate.

#### Footnotes

<sup>1</sup>Not downgraded for risk of bias. There are few uncertainties regarding allocation concealment or sequence generation in one of the two largest studies, but the largest trial was high quality and effects between these two trials were consistent.

<sup>2</sup>Not downgraded for inconsistency: low statistical heterogeneity, and the forest plot shows a consistent benefit.

<sup>3</sup>Not downgraded for indirectness in relation to age: all the participants in Schoeman 1997 and 59% of the participants in Girgis 1991 were children, and the effect is consistent with the other large trial, Thwaites 2004, which included participants aged 14 and over.

<sup>4</sup>Not downgraded for indirectness for HIV status: one trial included 98 HIV-positive participants, with no obvious qualitative heterogeneity when compared to HIV-negative participants (Thwaites 2004). If making recommendations for HIV-positive participants only, a guidelines panel may wish to downgrade on indirectness.

<sup>5</sup>Not downgraded for serious imprecision: the overall meta-analysis is adequately powered to detect this effect, but is only adequately powered when the trials at unclear or high risk of bias are included. The effect is clinically important.

<sup>6</sup>Downgraded by one for risk of bias: four of the eight trials were at high risk of bias due to lack of blinding of outcome assessors, which could impact on the interpretation of assessments of disability.

<sup>7</sup>Not downgraded for indirectness: trials included children, adults, some HIV-positive people, and people from different continents.

<sup>8</sup>Downgraded by one for imprecision: effects range from clinically important benefits of 29% reduction to 20% increase in disability.

<sup>9</sup>Not downgraded on risk of bias: number of participants followed up was high: 91% at five years, or imprecision.

<sup>10</sup>Downgraded by one for indirectness. This was a single trial conducted in a high quality health care unit in a population with high levels of infectious diseases endemicity and poverty. The attenuation of the effect may be less marked in populations with lower exposure to infectious diseases and other causes of reduced life expectancy associated with poverty. The authors were not able to establish the cause of death in most of the people who died after 9 months' follow-up, and so it is not possible to assess whether these deaths were related to TBM or other causes.

<sup>11</sup>Not downgraded on risk of bias. Although the assessors were not blind to the allocation, and some assessments were conducted by telephone, the numbers of disabled participants in the two groups were the same, and it is unlikely that systematic bias in the observers is present.

<sup>12</sup>Downgraded by two for imprecision. There were few events, and the confidence interval ranges from substantive harms to substantive benefits.

### Guideline Panel's Judgement

### Question: Should steroids be routinely prescribed for TB meningitis in people that are HIV negative?

### Balance of desirable and undesirable effects

Desirable Undesirable

Reduced mortality Adverse effects of steroids

Reduced disability

#### Overall quality of evidence across all critical outcomes

| High | Moderate | Low | Very low |
|------|----------|-----|----------|
| х    |          |     |          |

### Values and preference statement

TBM is a devastating disease with high mortality, and preventing mortality is a priority in this condition.

#### Draft recommendation

Steroids are recommended for TB meningitis in HIV negative people. Duration of steroid treatment should be for at least four weeks with tapering as appropriate.

#### Strength of recommendation

| For inte | ervention   | No             | Against intervention |        |  |
|----------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|--------|--|
|          |             | recommendation |                      |        |  |
| Strong   | Conditional |                | Conditional          | Strong |  |
| Х        |             |                |                      |        |  |

#### Remarks

The group noted that the effects may be greater in MRC Stage I and II, but the recommendation should stand for TB meningitis patients of any MRC stage.

Duration of corticosteroids was discussed. The group agreed that there is no clear evidence for any one regimen of steroids and debated what the best option would be.

### Guideline Panel's Judgement

### Question: Should steroids be routinely prescribed for TB meningitis in people that are HIV positive?

### Balance of desirable and undesirable effects

| Desirable          | Undesirable                       |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Reduced mortality  | Relapse of TB meningitis          |
| Reduced disability | HIV-associated adverse events     |
|                    | Steroid-associated adverse events |

### Overall quality of evidence across all critical outcomes

| High | Moderate | Low | Very low |
|------|----------|-----|----------|
|      |          | х   |          |

### Values and preference statement

HIV positive people are at increased risk of multiple opportunistic infections and cancer. Steroids could theoretically increase this risk, particularly in patients with advanced HIV disease (very low CD4 counts).

### Draft recommendation

Steroids may be used for TB meningitis in HIV positive people, where other life-threatening opportunistic infections are absent.

#### Strength of recommendation

| For inte | ervention   | No             | Against interv | vention |
|----------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Strong   | Conditional | recommendation | Conditional    | Strong  |
| Strong   | conditional |                | conditional    | Strong  |

Х

#### Remarks

The group was concerned about the lack of evidence for the use of steroids people with HIV and TB meningitis. There are circumstances where steroids are clearly indicated (raised intracranial pressure, mass effect from a tuberculoma, for example).

Steroids are associated with increased risk of serious, life-threatening opportunistic infections in patients with advanced HIV disease. The criteria to be taken into account are stage of TB meningitis disease, evidence of raised intracranial pressure or mass effect, CD4 count, presence or absence of other opportunistic infections. Giving long courses of steroids in patients with HIV may be undesirable, especially in patients with advanced HIV disease. Specialist advice in managing such cases is warranted.

# Should corticosteroids be prescribed routinely for TB pericarditis?

This evidence summary is based on the draft update for the Cochrane Review *Interventions for treating tuberculous pericarditis* (Wiysonge, unpublished).

### Authors

Charles Shey Wiysonge<sup>1</sup>, Bongani M Mayosi<sup>2</sup>, Mpiko Ntsekhe<sup>3</sup>, Lehana Thabane<sup>4</sup>, Shaheen Pandie<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Evidence-based Health Care, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, J Floor, Old Groote Schuur Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa
 <sup>3</sup>Division of Cardiology /Cardiac Clinic E-17/25, Groote Schuur Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa
 <sup>4</sup>Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada
 <sup>5</sup>Hamilton General Hospital, Hamilton, Canada

### Declaration of interests from review authors

BM Mayosi, M Ntsekhe, L Thabane and S Pandie are co-authors of a new study included in the current review update (Mayosi BM, 2014). However, data were independently extracted and verified by an additional author who was not involved with this trial (CS Wiysonge) and a research assistant. CS Wiyonge, BM Mayosi, and M Ntsekhe were co-authors of a study assessed and excluded from this update (Wiysonge, 2008). Although the study is indexed in electronic databases as a trial, it was actually an observational cohort study.

### Background

TB infection of the membrane round the heart (the pericardium), causes inflammation and fluid accumulation, which can lead to cardiac tamponade, a life-threatening medical emergency. In the long term fibrosis caused by the inflammation can cause the pericardium to thicken, and lead to heart failure, disability and death. Apart from anti-tuberculous therapy, treatments include corticosteroids, drainage of the fluid around the heart (pericardiocentesis), and surgery (including pericardectomy).

### Objective

To evaluate the effects of corticosteroids for treating TB pericarditis in HIV negative and HIV positive patients.

### Methods

### Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies - Randomised and quasi-randomised controlled trials.

**Types of participants** - People of all ages requiring treatment for clinically diagnosed TB pericarditis (effusive, constrictive, or effusive-constrictive), whether HIV negative or positive.

### Types of interventions

Corticosteroids versus no corticosteroids.

The review addressing this intervention on corticosteroids for treating TB pericarditis also looked at other interventions used in TB pericarditis: immune modulators versus no immune modulators; and surgical procedures versus conservative management.

### Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

• Deaths from all causes.

#### Secondary outcomes

- Death or disabled at 1 to 2 years follow up. 'Disabled' is defined as a history of restricted physical activity, combined with signs of cardiac compromise pre-specified in the protocol (such as clinical, radiographic, and electrocardiogram evidence of persisting pericardial disease).
- Death from pericarditis.
- Occurrence of tamponade requiring drainage of the pericardium (pericardiocentesis).
- Need for excision of the pericardium (pericardectomy).

### Adverse events

- Opportunistic infections.
- HIV-associated cancer.

### Search methods for identification of studies?

We searched the following databases using the search terms and strategy described below: Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group Specialized Register (06 May 2015); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), published in The Cochrane Library (2015, Issue 5); MEDLINE (1966 to 06 May 2015); EMBASE (1974 to 06 May 2015); and LILACS (1982 to 06 May 2015).

| Search<br>set | CIDG SR <sup>1</sup> | CENTRAL                                  | MEDLINE <sup>2</sup>                   | EMBASE <sup>2</sup>                       | LILACS <sup>2</sup> |
|---------------|----------------------|--|--|---|---------------------|
| 1             | tuberculosis         | Tuberculosis<br>[MeSH]                   | Tuberculosis [MeSH]                    | Tuberculosis<br>[MeSH]                    | tuberculosis        |
| 2             | Pericard*            | Tuberculosis ti, ab                      | Tuberculosis ti, ab                    | Tuberculosis ti,<br>ab                    | Pericard*           |
| 3             | heart                | 1 or 2                                   | 1 or 2                                 | 1 or 2                                    | heart               |
| 4             | 2 or 3               | heart or cardi* or<br>pericard* ti, ab   | heart or cardi* or<br>pericard* ti, ab | heart or cardi*<br>or pericard* ti,<br>ab | 2 or 3              |
| 5             | 1 and 4              | 3 and 4                                  | 3 and 4                                | 3 and 4                                   | 1 and 4             |
| 6             |                      | "Pericarditis,<br>Tuberculous"[Mesh<br>] | "Pericarditis,<br>Tuberculous"[Mesh]   | tuberculous<br>pericarditis<br>[Emtree]   |                     |
| 7             |                      | 5 or 6                                   | 5 or 6                                 | 5 or 6                                    |                     |

| Table 13: Detailed search strategy for corticosteroids in TB pericarditis |
|---|
|---|

<sup>1</sup>Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group Specialized Register.

<sup>2</sup> Search terms used in combination with the search strategy for retrieving trials developed by The Cochrane Collaboration (Lefebvre C, 2011).

### Data collection and analysis

### Subgroup analyses

We stratified the analyses by HIV status. HIV testing was not done in three studies conducted in South Africa before the onset of the HIV epidemic in the country and we have assumed in the analyses that the participants in these studies were HIV-negative (Schrire 1959; Strang 1987; Strang 1988). One study enrolled only HIV-positive people (Hakim 2000) and two recruited both HIV-positive and HIV-negative

people (Reuter 2006; Mayosi 2014). We obtained data by HIV status for the Mayosi 2014 trial. Data were not available by HIV status in the Reuter 2006 trial in which one-third of participants were HIV positive. We therefore included two studies in the HIV positive subgroup (Hakim 2000; Mayosi 2014), four studies in the HIV negative subgroup (Schrire 1959; Strang 1987; Strang 1988; Mayosi 2014), and one study a subgroup "mixed HIV status".

### Results

# Figure 8: Flow diagram illustrating the results of search and screening for corticosteroids in TB pericarditis.


#### Table 14: Characteristics of the included studies assessing corticosteroids to treat TB pericarditis.

| Study ID       | Location     |  | Partie         | Participants |      |                          | Intervention  | Outcome   |
|----------------|--------------|--|----------------|--------------|------|--------------------------|---|---|
|                |              | Sample size  | Age<br>(years) | HIV (+)      | ARVs | Definite TB <sup>1</sup> |   |   |
| (Hakim 2000)   | Zimbabwe     | 58 people with pericardial effusion                              | 18 to 55       | 100%         | 0%   | 38%                      | Prednisolone for 6<br>weeks vs placebo  | All deaths<br>Constriction  |
| (Mayosi 2014)  | SSA          | 1440 with pericardial<br>effusion (83%) or<br>constriction (17%) | 18 or older    | 67%          | 21%  | 17%                      | Prednisolone for 6<br>weeks.<br>Factorial design with <i>M.</i><br><i>indicus pranii</i>      | All deaths<br>PC deaths<br>Constriction<br>Hospitalisation<br>Infection<br>HIV cancer |
| (Strang 1987)  | South Africa | 143 with constrictive pericarditis                               | 5 or older     | Assume none  | N/A  | 10%                      | Prednisolone first 11<br>weeks vs placebo   | All death<br>PC death<br>PCY  |
| (Strang 1988)  | South Africa | 240 with pericardial effusion                                    | 5 or older     | Assume none  | N/A  | 60%                      | Prednisolone for 11<br>weeks vs placebo.<br>Also open surgical<br>drainage vs no<br>drainage. | All death<br>PC death<br>Repeat PCT<br>PCY  |
| (Schrire 1959) | South Africa | 28 people with pericardial effusion                              | Adults         | None         | N/A  | Not reported             | Cortisone for several weeks vs no steroids  | РСҮ   |
| (Reuter 2006)  | South Africa | 57 people with pericardial effusion                              | 17 to 66       | 37%          | 0%   | Not reported             | Intrapericardial<br>triamcinolone<br>vs prednisone for 4<br>weeks<br>vs placebo               | Repeat PCT<br>PCY<br>Constriction<br>Infection  |

Constriction, constrictive pericarditis; PC deaths, deaths from pericarditis; PCY, pericardiectomy; Repeat PCT, repeat pericardiocentesis; SSA, sub-Saharan Africa (South Africa, Mozambique, Malawi, Uganda, Sierra Leone, Zimbabwe, Kenya, and Nigeria).

<sup>1</sup> Positive microbiological diagnosis, as defined by study authors.

#### **Risk of Bias Assessment**

Figure 9: Risk of bias summary for corticosteroids to treat TB pericarditis: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study. Green indicates low risk of bias, yellow indicates unclear risk of bias, and red indicates high risk of bias.



Figure 10: Forest plot demonstrating the effects of steroids in patients with TB pericarditis on death from all causes. Blue squares represent the risk ratio and study weighting, the black lines represent confidence intervals. The black diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect. Green indicates low risk of bias, yellow indicates unclear risk of bias, and red indicates high risk of bias.

|                                   | Corticoste     | roids                 | Place                    | bo     |              | Risk Ratio         |      | Risk Ratio                              | Risk of Bias |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------------|--------------------|------|---|--------------|
| Study or Subgroup                 | Events         | Total                 | Events                   | Total  | Weight       | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl | Yea  | r M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl                    | ABCDEFG      |
| 1.1.1 HIV negative                |                |                       |                          |        |              |                    |      |   |              |
| Strang 2004a                      | 16             | 70                    | 21                       | 73     | 23.4%        | 0.79 [0.45, 1.39]  | 1987 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |              |
| Strang 2004b                      | 26             | 117                   | 33                       | 119    | 37.3%        | 0.80 [0.51, 1.25]  |      | -                                       |              |
| Mayosi 2014                       | 32             | 218                   | 34                       | 213    | 39.2%        | 0.92 [0.59, 1.43]  |      | 4 <b>-</b>                              |              |
| Subtotal (95% CI)                 |                | 405                   |                          | 405    | 100.0%       | 0.85 [0.64, 1.11]  |      | -                                       |              |
| Total events                      | 74             |                       | 88                       |        |              |                    |      |   |              |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = |                |                       | 9); I <b>²</b> = 0%      | I      |              |                    |      |   |              |
| Test for overall effect:          | Z = 1.19 (P =  | : 0.23)               |                          |        |              |                    |      |   |              |
| 1.1.2 HIV positive                |                |                       |                          |        |              |                    |      |   |              |
| Hakim 2000                        | 5              | 29                    | 10                       | 29     | 11.7%        | 0.50 [0.19, 1.28]  | 2000 | )                                       | •••••        |
| Mayosi 2014                       | 94             | 474                   | 75                       | 465    | 88.3%        | 1.23 [0.93, 1.62]  |      | \$ + <mark>-</mark> -                   |              |
| Subtotal (95% CI)                 |                | 503                   |                          | 494    | 100.0%       | 1.14 [0.88, 1.49]  |      | ★                                       |              |
| Total events                      | 99             |                       | 85                       |        |              |                    |      |   |              |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = | 3.23, df = 1 ( | P = 0.07              | '); l <sup>z</sup> = 69' | %      |              |                    |      |   |              |
| Test for overall effect:          | Z=1.01 (P=     | : 0.31)               |                          |        |              |                    |      |   |              |
|                                   |                |                       |                          |        |              |                    |      |   |              |
|                                   |                |                       |                          |        |              |                    |      | 0.2 0.5 1 2 5                           |              |
|                                   |                |                       |                          |        |              |                    |      | Favours corticosteroids Favours placebo |              |
| Test for subgroup dif             | ferences: Chi  | i <sup>z</sup> = 2.43 | , df = 1 (P              | = 0.12 | !), l² = 58. | 8%                 |      |   |              |
| Risk of bias legend               |                |                       |                          |        |              |                    |      |   |              |
| (A) Random sequent                | -              |                       |                          |        |              |                    |      |   |              |
| (B) Allocation concea             |                |                       |                          |        |              |                    |      |   |              |
| (C) Blinding of partici           |                |                       |                          |        | ias)         |                    |      |   |              |
| (D) Blinding of outcor            |                | -                     |                          | S)     |              |                    |      |   |              |
| (E) Incomplete outcom             |                |                       | 5)                       |        |              |                    |      |   |              |
| (F) Selective reporting           | ) (reporting b | ias)                  |                          |        |              |                    |      |   |              |
| (G) Other bias                    |                |                       |                          |        |              |                    |      |   |              |

Figure 11: Forest plot demonstrating the effects of steroids in patients with TB pericarditis on risk of constrictive pericarditis. Blue squares represent the risk ratio and study weighting, the black lines represent confidence intervals. The black diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect. Green indicates low risk of bias, yellow indicates unclear risk of bias, and red indicates high risk of bias.

|                                   | Corticoste     | roids             | Place       | bo     |                         | Risk Ratio                             | Risk Ratio                             | Risk of Bias  |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|--------|-------------------------|--|--|---|
| Study or Subgroup                 | Events         | Total             | Events      | Total  | Weight                  | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl                     | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI                     | ABCDEFG   |
| 1.4.1 HIV negative                |                |                   |             |        |                         |  | _                                      |   |
| Mayosi 2014<br>Subtotal (95% CI)  | 17             | 218<br><b>218</b> | 27          |        | 100.0%<br><b>100.0%</b> | 0.62 [0.35, 1.10]<br>0.62 [0.35, 1.10] |  | •••••   |
| Total events                      | 17             |                   | 27          |        |                         |  |  |   |
| Heterogeneity: Not ap             |                |                   |             |        |                         |  |  |   |
| Test for overall effect:          | Z = 1.65 (P =  | = 0.10)           |             |        |                         |  |  |   |
| 1.4.2 HIV positive                |                |                   |             |        |                         |  |  |   |
| Hakim 2000                        | 2              | 29                | 2           | 29     | 6.8%                    | 1.00 [0.15, 6.63]                      | <del></del> +                          | •••••?•   |
| Mayosi 2014                       | 13             | 474               | 27          | 465    | 93.2%                   | 0.47 [0.25, 0.90]                      |  | $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ |
| Subtotal (95% CI)                 |                | 503               |             | 494    | 100.0%                  | 0.51 [0.28, 0.94]                      | •                                      |   |
| Total events                      | 15             |                   | 29          |        |                         |  |  |   |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = |                | •                 | 6); I² = 0% | )      |                         |  |  |   |
| Test for overall effect:          | Z = 2.17 (P =  | = 0.03)           |             |        |                         |  |  |   |
| 1.4.3 Data not disagg             | pregated by I  | HIV stat          | IS          |        |                         |  |  |   |
| Reuter 2006                       | 2              | 16                | 0           | 24     | 100.0%                  | 7.35 [0.38, 143.78]                    |  | ? • • ? • ? •   |
| Subtotal (95% CI)                 |                | 16                |             | 24     | 100.0%                  | 7.35 [0.38, 143.78]                    |  |   |
| Total events                      | 2              |                   | 0           |        |                         |  |  |   |
| Heterogeneity: Not ap             |                |                   |             |        |                         |  |  |   |
| Test for overall effect:          | Z = 1.32 (P =  | = 0.19)           |             |        |                         |  |  |   |
|                                   |                |                   |             |        |                         |  |  | 1   |
|                                   |                |                   |             |        |                         |  | 0.005 0.1 1 10 200                     | 1   |
| Test for subgroup diff            | foroncos: Ch   | iZ - 2 01         | df = 275    | - 0 22 | ) IZ – ⊃⊃ Ø             | ۶04. F                                 | avours corticosteroids Favours placebo |   |
| Risk of bias legend               | erences. Chi   | 1 - 3.01          | , ui – 2 (r | - 0.22 | ),1 = 33.0              | 170                                    |  |   |
| (A) Random sequence               | ce generation  | n (select         | ion hias)   |        |                         |  |  |   |
| (B) Allocation concea             | -              |                   |             |        |                         |  |  |   |
| (C) Blinding of particip          |                |                   |             | ance b | ias)                    |  |  |   |
| (D) Blinding of outcom            |                |                   |             |        |                         |  |  |   |
| (E) Incomplete outcor             | me data (attri | tion bias         | 5)          | -      |                         |  |  |   |
| (F) Selective reporting           | ) (reporting b | ias)              |             |        |                         |  |  |   |
| (G) Other bias                    |                |                   |             |        |                         |  |  |   |

#### Table 15: Summary of findings on corticosteroids to treat TB pericarditis in people with HIV infection

**Population:** HIV positive people with tuberculous pericarditis

Settings: Sub-Saharan Africa

Intervention: Corticosteroids

Comparison: Placebo

| Outcomes  | Illustrative comparative risks (95% CI) |                                     | Relative effect<br>(95% Cl)       | No of Participants<br>(studies) | Certainty of the evidence<br>(GRADE)                     |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
|   | Placebo                                 | Corticosteroids                     |                                   |                                 |  |
| <b>Deaths from all causes</b><br>Follow-up: 1.5-2 years       | 172 per 1000                            | <b>196 per 1000</b><br>(151 to 256) | <b>RR 1.14</b><br>(0.88 to 1.49)  | 997<br>(2 studies)              | $\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$<br>low <sup>1</sup>      |
| <b>Deaths from pericarditis</b><br>Follow-up: 2-10 years      | 30 per 1000                             | <b>40 per 1000</b><br>(20 to 79)    | <b>RR 1.33</b><br>(0.68 to 2.62)  | 939<br>(1 study)                | $\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$<br>low <sup>1</sup>      |
| <b>Repeat pericardiocentesis</b><br>Follow-up: mean 2 years   | 37 per 1000                             | <b>32 per 1000</b><br>(16 to 63)    | <b>RR 0.87</b><br>(0.44 to 1.71)  | 939<br>(1 study)                | $\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$<br>low <sup>1</sup>      |
| <b>Constrictive pericarditis</b><br>Follow-up: 1.5-2 years    | 59 per 1000                             | <b>30 per 1000</b><br>(16 to 55)    | <b>RR 0.51</b><br>(0.28 to 0.94)  | 997<br>(2 studies)              | $ \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigcirc \\ moderate^2 $ |
| Hospitalisation<br>Follow-up: mean 2 years                    | 280 per 1000                            | <b>224 per 1000</b><br>(179 to 280) | <b>RR 0.80</b><br>(0.64 to 1.0)   | 939<br>(1 study)                | $\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$<br>low <sup>1</sup>      |
| <b>Opportunistic infections</b><br>Follow-up: mean 2 years    | 135 per 1000                            | <b>152 per 1000</b><br>(111 to 207) | <b>RR 1.12</b> (0.82 to 1.53)     | 939<br>(1 study)                | $ \bigoplus \bigoplus \ominus \ominus \\ low^1 $         |
| HIV-associated cancer <sup>3</sup><br>Follow-up: mean 2 years | 2 per 1000                              | <b>19 per 1000</b><br>(2 to 149)    | <b>RR 8.83</b><br>(1.12 to 69.41) | 939<br>(1 study)                | $ \bigoplus \bigoplus \ominus \ominus \\ low^1 $         |

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate certainty: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low certainty: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low certainty: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

#### Footnotes

<sup>1</sup> We downgraded by 2 for imprecision, given the wide confidence intervals, which sometimes range from clinically important benefits to clinically important harms.

<sup>2</sup> We downgraded by 1 for selective reporting; data were only reported from one of three studies that recruited people living with HIV

<sup>3</sup> These data assume no interaction between *M. Indicus pranii* and corticosteroids in relation to this outcome. Most HIV cancers occurred in the group that received both *M. Indicus pranii* and corticosteroids, and this possibility was raised by the authors in the New England Journal of Medicine paper. The review team as of February 2016 is clarifying whether this potential interaction will require these data to be expressed differently. In the meantime, this relative risk should be treated as provisional.

#### Table 16: Summary of findings on corticosteroids to treat TB pericarditis in people without HIV infection

**Population:** HIV negative people with tuberculous pericarditis **Settings:** Sub-Saharan Africa

Intervention: Corticosteroids

Comparison: Placebo

| Outcomes  | Illustrative comparative | risks (95% CI)                      | Relative effect<br>(95% CI)      | No of Participants<br>(studies) | Certainty of the evidence<br>(GRADE)   |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
|   | Placebo                  | Corticosteroids                     |                                  |                                 |  |
| <b>Deaths from all causes</b><br>Follow-up: 2-10 years      | 217 per 1000             | <b>185 per 1000</b><br>(139 to 241) | <b>RR 0.85</b> (0.64 to 1.11)    | 810<br>(3 studies)              | $ \begin{array}{c} \bigoplus \bigoplus \ominus \ominus \\ \text{low}^{1,2} \end{array} $ |
| <b>Deaths from pericarditis</b><br>Follow-up: 2-10 years    | 77 per 1000              | <b>42 per 1000</b><br>(24 to 75)    | <b>RR 0.55</b> (0.31 to 0.98)    | 810<br>(3 studies)              | $ \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigoplus \\ moderate^{1,3} $                  |
| Repeat pericardiocentesis<br>Follow-up: 2-10 years          | 99 per 1000              | <b>49 per 1000</b><br>(27 to 85)    | <b>RR 0.49</b> (0.27 to 0.86)    | 667<br>(2 studies)              | $\oplus \oplus \oplus \ominus$<br>moderate <sup>4</sup>                                  |
| <b>Constrictive pericarditis</b><br>Follow-up: mean 2 years | 127 per 1000             | <b>79 per 1000</b><br>(44 to 139)   | <b>RR 0.62</b> (0.35 to 1.1)     | 431<br>(1 study)                | ⊕⊕⊝⊝<br>low²   |
| Pericardiectomy<br>Follow-up: 2-10 years                    | 160 per 1000             | <b>146 per 1000</b><br>(93 to 226)  | <b>RR 0.91</b><br>(0.58 to 1.41) | 407<br>(3 studies)              | ⊕⊕⊖⊝<br>low <sup>2,5</sup>   |
| Hospitalisation<br>Follow-up: mean 2 years                  | 197 per 1000             | <b>174 per 1000</b><br>(116 to 258) | <b>RR 0.88</b> (0.59 to 1.31)    | 431<br>(1 study)                | ⊕⊕⊝⊝<br>low²   |
| <b>Opportunistic infections</b><br>Follow-up: mean 2 years  | 23 per 1000              | <b>27 per 1000</b><br>(8 to 89)     | <b>RR 1.17</b> (0.36 to 3.78)    | 431<br>(1 study)                | ⊕⊕⊝⊝<br>low²   |

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate certainty: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low certainty: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low certainty: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

#### Footnotes

The data in this table are what the panel used in July 2015 apart for some minor corrections to absolute estimates so the data correspond to the final version of the Cochrane review

<sup>1</sup> Two trials with 45% of participants in this meta-analysis were done in South Africa at a time when HIV prevalence was below 1%. HIV test not done, but we assume that participants in the trials were HIV negative. The trials report outcome data for a 10-year period which extends into the time when there was already a generalised HIV epidemic in South Africa, but we do not downgrade because of this.

<sup>2</sup> Downgraded by 2 for imprecision: the confidence intervals range from clinically important benefits to clinically important harms.

<sup>3</sup> Downgraded by 1 for imprecision (although a significant effect, the confidence intervals are too wide).

<sup>4</sup>Downgraded by 1 for selective reporting; data were only reported from two of four studies that recruited HIV negative people with pericardial effusion.

<sup>5</sup> Downgraded by 1 because two of the three studies had a high risk of selection bias.

#### Guideline Panel's Judgement

### Question: Should steroids be routinely prescribed for TB pericarditis with pericardial effusion in people without HIV infection? Balance of desirable and undesirable effects

| Desirable             |                       | Undesir          | able                              |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Increased survival    |                       | Steroid          | Steroid-associated adverse events |  |  |
| Reduced constrictiv   | ve pericarditis       |                  |                                   |  |  |
| Reduced need for p    | pericardectomy        |                  |                                   |  |  |
| Reduction of ATT-a    | ssociated adverse e   | effects          |                                   |  |  |
| Overall quality of ev | vidence across all ci | ritical outcomes |                                   |  |  |
| High                  | Very low              |                  |                                   |  |  |
|                       |                       |                  | х                                 |  |  |

#### Values and preference statement

Use of steroids in TB pericarditis is widely established practice in India. Constrictive pericarditis is an important cause of morbidity and mortality in survivors of TB pericarditis. As the only definitive treatment is pericardectomy, a risky and expensive operation, prevention of constrictive pericarditis is an important outcome.

#### Draft recommendation

Steroids are recommended for HIV-negative patients with TB pericarditis with pericardial effusion.

#### Strength of recommendation

| For ir | ntervention | No             | Against interv | vention |
|--------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|        |             | recommendation |                |         |
| Strong | Conditional |                | Conditional    | Strong  |

Х

#### Remarks

Steroids are associated with multiple adverse effects.

The effects estimates in the review suggest that steroids have little or no effect on all-cause mortality and pericarditis-associated mortality, however the largest study (which had one third HIV negative participants) showed a reduction in the number of participants with constrictive pericarditis at the end of treatment.

The group felt that future trials would be unlikely to show a reduction in mortality with the use of steroids, but risk of constrictive pericarditis and associated morbidity was the most important outcome.

#### Guideline Panel's Judgement

### Question: Should steroids be routinely prescribed for TB pericarditis with pericardial effusion in people with HIV infection? Balance of desirable and undesirable effects

| Desirable                                   | Undesirable                       |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Increased survival                          | HIV opportunistic infections      |
| Reduced constrictive pericarditis           | HIV associated cancer             |
| Reduced need for pericardectomy             | Steroid-associated adverse events |
| Reduction of ATT-associated adverse effects |                                   |
|   |                                   |

#### Overall quality of evidence across all critical outcomes

| High | Moderate | Low | Very low |
|------|----------|-----|----------|
|      |          | х   |          |

#### Values and preference statement

Constrictive pericarditis must be treated surgically – there may be harms associated with surgery and there may be low access/high cost for many people in India.

#### Draft recommendation

Steroids are recommended for HIV-positive patients with TB pericarditis with pericardial effusion.

#### Strength of recommendation

| For intervention |             | No             | Against inter | vention |
|------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|---------|
|                  |             | recommendation |               |         |
| Strong           | Conditional |                | Conditional   | Strong  |
|                  | Х           |                |               |         |

#### Remarks

Steroids may be safer in patients with CD counts above 200, and less safe in patients with CD counts below 200.

The guideline group recognised that there was uncertainty about whether steroids reduced all-cause mortality and the need for pericardectomy.

The review evidence showed an increase in HIV-associated cancers with steroid treatment, this was low quality evidence. The group noted that rates of Kaposi's sarcoma (the most commonly reported cancer in the largest trial) are low in India.

The group felt that reducing rates of constrictive pericarditis was important for patients and also for reducing resource use (cost of hospitalisation, pericardectomy etc.), and so steroids are recommended based on the evidence that risk of constrictive pericarditis is probably reduced with corticosteroids (moderate quality evidence).

# Should corticosteroids be used to treat TB pleurisy?

This evidence summary is based on the draft update of the Cochrane Review *Corticosteroids for TB Pleurisy* (Ryan, unpublished)

### Authors

#### Authors of the 2009 version

Mark E Engel<sup>1</sup>, Patrice T Matchaba<sup>2</sup>, Jimmy Volmink<sup>3</sup>

#### Authors of 2015 update:

Hannah Ryan<sup>4</sup>, Jinho Yoo<sup>5</sup>, Padmapriya Darsini<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Observatory, South Africa <sup>2</sup>Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, USA

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Stellenbosch, Tygerberg, South Africa

<sup>4</sup>Department of Clinical Sciences, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom <sup>5</sup>Kyung Hee University, Seoul, Korea, South

<sup>6</sup>Department of Clinical Research, National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis, Chennai, India

### Declaration of interests from review authors

P. Darsini's research work is funded through the Indian Council of Medical Research, Department of Health Research, Government of India.

H. Ryan is part of the Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group, which is principally funded by the Department for International Development, Government of the United Kingdom.

### Background

Pleural TB (or TB pleurisy) is one of the most common forms of TB, with an incidence of 3-25% of TB patients depending on geographical location (Light, 2010). Incidence is higher in high TB prevalence settings (Doosoo, 2014). Immune compromise is an important risk factor for all forms of TB, and there is evidence suggesting that pleural TB is more common in people living with HIV (Batungwanayo , 1993) (Frye, 1997), but less frequent in people with solid organ transplants (Queipo, 2003). Pleural TB can arise post primary MTB infection or as a reactivation of TB.

Clinically, pleural TB presents as an acute illness consisting of cough, fever, chest pain, and shortness of breath (Morehead, 1998) and usually a pleural effusion is demonstrated on chest X-ray. Pleural TB usually resolves without treatment of any kind, but untreated patients may experience longer duration of the acute symptoms and risk recurrence of active TB at a later point in time (Light, 2010). Pleural TB can be complicated by massive effusion leading to respiratory compromise in the short term; pleural thickening, fibrosis and pleural adhesions causing impaired respiratory function in the medium to long term.

Pleural TB is thought to be caused by a delayed-type (type IV) hypersensitivity reaction following mycobacterial infection of the pleura (Rossi, 1987), as a result of rupture of a subpleural focus of infection in the lung. This explains the tendency towards resolution of the effusion and associated symptoms with or without treatment of the TB infection. There appears to be a spectrum of disease in pleural TB in terms of the extent of the underlying lung infection, which could be important in terms of patient outcomes and the potential for corticosteroids to be effective. One cohort study reported evidence of pulmonary TB infection on chest X-ray in 20% of 254 patients (Valdés, 1998). Pulmonary involvement rises to 86% in another cohort where computed tomography (CT) scanning was used (Kim, 2006). Shu et al. (2011) demonstrated that pulmonary involvement (as defined by positive sputum culture and/or chest X-ray appearances) was an important predictor of mortality in hospitalised pleural TB patients in Korea, and was associated with a longer hospital stay.

This review was conducted originally because there was uncertainty about the efficacy of corticosteroids in reducing the short-term and long-term effects on the acute symptoms of pleural TB and the long-term

sequelae. Steroids are associated with several adverse effects, especially in people with HIV, and administering them in the absence of evidence of efficacy may be exposing patients to unnecessary risk.

## Objective

To evaluate the effects of adding corticosteroids to drug regimens for TB pleural effusion.

### Methods

#### Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies - Randomized and quasi-randomized controlled trials.

**Types of participants -** People diagnosed with TB pleurisy by chest X-ray (as defined by trial authors) *plus* any of the following: pleural biopsy for histology; staining and microscopy for acid-fast bacilli and/or culture of sputum; pleural fluid; or pleural biopsy.

#### **Types of interventions**

Intervention - Any corticosteroid at any dose.

Control - Placebo or no adjunctive treatment.

Both groups should receive the same anti-tubercular drug regimen.

#### Types of outcome measures

#### Short term (under six months)

- Time to resolution of clinical symptoms (as defined by the authors, including fever and pain).
- Change in respiratory function.
- Time to resolution of pleural effusion.

Long term (six months or more)

- Development of pleural thickening.
- Development of pleural adhesions.
- Deaths from any cause.

We will also report on other outcomes of resolution as defined by the author.

#### **Adverse events**

- Corticosteroid-associated adverse events.
- HIV-associated adverse events.

#### Search methods for identification of studies

We searched the following databases using the search terms and strategy described in the table below: Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group Specialized Register (January 2015); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), published in *The Cochrane Library* (2015); MEDLINE (1966 to March 2015); EMBASE (1974 to March 2015) and LILACS (1982 to March 2015). We also searched Current Controlled Trials (March 2015) using 'tuberculosis' and 'pleur\*' as search terms. We also checked the reference lists of all studies identified with the above methods.

| Search<br>set | CIDG SR <sup>1</sup> | CENTRAL                       | MEDLINE <sup>2</sup>                                | EMBASE <sup>2</sup>                        | LILACS <sup>2</sup> |
|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---------------------|
| 1             | tuberculosis         | tuberculosis                  | TUBERCULOSIS  | TUBERCULOSIS                               | tuberculosis        |
| 2             | ТВ                   | steroids                      | tuberculosis  | tuberculosis                               | ТВ                  |
| 3             | steroids             | corticosteroids               | ТВ  | ТВ   | 1 or 2              |
| 4             | corticosteroids      | glucocorticoids               | 1 or 2 or 3   | 1 or 2 or 3                                | steroids            |
| 5             | dexamethasone        | hydrocortisone                | steroid*  | steroids                                   | hydrocortisone      |
| 6             | hydrocortisone       | prednisolone                  | STEROIDS  | STEROIDS                                   | dexamethasone       |
| 7             | prednisolone         | dexamethasone                 | corticosteroids                                     | corticosteroids                            | prednisolone        |
| 8             | _                    | 2 or 3 or 4 or 5<br>or 6 or 7 | glucocorticoids                                     | glucocorticoids                            | 4 or 5 or 6 or 7    |
| 9             | _                    | 1 and 8                       | hydrocortisone                                      | hydrocortisone                             | 3 and 8             |
| 10            | _                    | _                             | dexamethasone                                       | dexamethasone                              | _                   |
| 11            | _                    | _                             | prednisolone  | prednisolone                               | _                   |
| 12            | _                    | _                             | prednisone  | methylprednisone                           | _                   |
| 13            | _                    | _                             | methylprednisone                                    | 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9<br>or 10 or 11 or 12 | _                   |
| 14            | _                    | _                             | 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9<br>or 10 or 11 or 12 or<br>13 | 4 and 13                                   | _                   |
| 15            | _                    | _                             | 4 and 14  | Limit 13 to human                          | _                   |
| 16            | _                    | _                             | Limit 15 to human                                   | _  | _                   |

#### Table 17: Detailed search strategy for steroids in TB pleurisy

<sup>1</sup>Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group Specialized Register.

<sup>2</sup> Search terms used in combination with the search strategy for retrieving trials developed by The Cochrane Collaboration (Lefebvre C, 2011); upper case: MeSH or EMTREE heading; lower case: free text term.

#### Data collection and analysis

We analyzed the outcome data based on an intention-to-treat analysis.

## Results

Figure 12: Flow diagram illustrating the results of search and screening for steroids to treat TB pleurisy



#### Table 18: Characteristics of the included studies assessing steroids to treat TB pleurisy

| Study<br>(Bang<br>1997) | Setting<br>Inha<br>University<br>hospital,<br>Sungnam,<br>Korea      | Dates<br>June<br>1991 to<br>Sept<br>1994 | Design<br>Prospective<br>randomized<br>study      | Participants<br>n= 118<br>Age over 18, mean age 34,<br>No contraindications to<br>steroids, no heart disease<br>Diagnosis – culture of pleural<br>biopsy/fluid   | HIV status<br>HIV positive<br>people<br>excluded | ATT Regimen<br>2RHZE, 7RHE | Steroid regimen<br>Prednisolone 1 mg/kg twice<br>weekly (period not stated)<br>thereafter tapered off by 10<br>mg/week)                          | Outcomes assessed<br>Mean duration to relief from<br>symptoms, rate of<br>reabsorption of pleural fluid,<br>pleural adhesions and<br>thickening, adverse effects   |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| (Elliott<br>2004)       | Mulago<br>Hospital,<br>Kampala,<br>Uganda                            | Nov<br>1998 to<br>July 2002              | RCT, double-<br>blind<br>placebo-<br>controlled   | n=197<br>Over 18 years old, no<br>previous ATT, no recent<br>steroids<br>Diagnosis – pleural biopsy<br>culture/histology   | HIV positive patients only                       | 4RHZE, 2RH                 | Prednisolone 50mg/day -<br>14days, 40mg/day – 14<br>days, 25 mg/day 14 days, 15<br>mg/day -14 days. Stop   | Mortality, time to resolution<br>of symptoms, time to<br>resolution of pleural effusion,<br>CD4 count and viral load<br>during treatment, adverse<br>events  |
| (Galarza<br>1995)       | Hospital<br>Universita<br>ri de<br>Bellvitge,<br>Barcelona,<br>Spain | Jan1985<br>and<br>Dec1992                | RCT, double-<br>blind<br>placebo-<br>controlled   | n=117<br>Age 11-53 years.  | HIV positive<br>people<br>excluded               | 6RH                        | Prednisolone<br>1 mg/kg/day for 15 days,<br>then 0.5 mg/kg/day for 5<br>days, then 0.25mg/kg/day<br>for 5 days, then 0.1<br>mg/kg/day for 5 days | Time to resolution of<br>symptoms, change in PFTs,<br>time to resolution of pleural<br>effusion, development of<br>pleural thickening  |
| (Lee 1988)              | Chang<br>Gung<br>Hospital,<br>Taipei,<br>Taiwan                      | Oct 1983<br>to June<br>1987              | RCT, double-<br>blind<br>placebo-<br>controlled   | n=40<br>Aged 18-45 (mean 28.7), no<br>previous ATT, no history of<br>PTB, no contraindication to<br>steroids.<br>Diagnosis – pleural biopsy<br>demonstrating TB or chronic<br>granulomatous inflammation | Not reported                                     | 3RHE, 6-9RH                | Prednisolone<br>0.75 mg/kg daily, reduced<br>by two-thirds when the CXR<br>improved, then gradually<br>tapered                                   | Time to resolution of pleural<br>effusion (based on CXR taken<br>on day 4, day 7 and then<br>weekly during hospitalisation,<br>then monthly during follow<br>up), development of pleural<br>adhesions at end of follow up,<br>adverse events |
| (Lee 1999)              | South<br>Korea   | Feb 1990<br>to Feb<br>1997               | Randomized<br>trial,<br>blinding not<br>specified | n=82<br>Mean age 32 years<br>No contraindications to<br>steroids, no heart disease<br>Diagnosis – culture of pleural<br>biopsy/fluid   | HIV positive<br>people<br>excluded               | 6RHZE<br>or<br>2RHZS/4RHZ  | Prednisolone single dose per<br>injection of 30 mg/day for<br>30 days, followed by a<br>tapering off over a further<br>30 days                   | Rate of reabsorption of<br>pleural fluid, pleural<br>adhesions and thickening,<br>adverse effects  |
| (Wyser<br>1996)         | Tygerberg<br>Hospital,<br>Cape<br>Town,<br>South<br>Africa           | April<br>1994 to<br>Jan 1995             | RCT, double-<br>blind<br>placebo-<br>controlled   | n= 74<br>Adults, mean age 32.9.<br>Diagnosis - pleural biopsy<br>microscopy/histo/culture<br>All patients had thoracoscopy<br>with thoracocentesis.  | HIV positive<br>people<br>excluded               | 6RHZ                       | Prednisolone<br>0.75 mg/kg/day reduced<br>after 2-4 weeks according to<br>response by 5 mg/day.  | Time to resolution of<br>symptoms (patient reported<br>outcome using visual<br>analogue scale), change in<br>PFTs, development of pleural<br>thickening (CXR and HRCT)   |

#### **Risk of Bias Assessment**

Figure 13: Risk of bias summary for steroids to treat TB pleurisy: review authors' judgments about each risk of bias item for each included study. Green indicates low risk of bias, yellow indicates unclear risk of bias, and red indicates high risk of bias.



Figure 14: Forest plot demonstrating the effect of steroids in patients with TB pleural on residual pleural effusion at 4 weeks. Blue squares represent the risk ratio and study weighting, the black lines represent confidence intervals. The black diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect.

|   | Corticost | Corticosteroid Contro |        | rol   |        | Risk Ratio         | Risk Ratio  |
|---|-----------|-----------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------------------|---|
| Study or Subgroup   | Events    | Total                 | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl                                      |
| Elliott 2004  | 38        | 97                    | 56     | 97    | 59.2%  | 0.68 [0.50, 0.92]  |   |
| Galarza 1995  | 4         | 57                    | 7      | 60    | 7.2%   | 0.60 [0.19, 1.95]  |   |
| Bang 1997   | 25        | 33                    | 40     | 50    | 33.6%  | 0.95 [0.75, 1.20]  |   |
| Total (95% CI)  |           | 187                   |        | 207   | 100.0% | 0.76 [0.62, 0.94]  | ◆   |
| Total events<br>Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =<br>Test for overall effect: | -         |                       |        | = 49% |        |                    | 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10<br>Favours steroid Favours control |

Figure 15: Forest plot demonstrating the effect of steroids in patients with TB pleura on residual pleural effusion at 8 weeks. Blue squares represent the risk ratio and study weighting, the black lines represent confidence intervals. The black diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect.

|                                   | Corticost              | eroid   | Cont      | rol       | Risk Ratio Risk Ratio |                     | Risk Ratio  |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|
| Study or Subgroup                 | Events                 | Total   | Events    | Total     | Weight                | M-H, Random, 95% CI | M-H, Random, 95% Cl                                     |
| Elliott 2004                      | 25                     | 97      | 42        | 97        | 25.7%                 | 0.60 [0.40, 0.89]   |   |
| Lee 1988                          | 5                      | 21      | 13        | 19        | 15.5%                 | 0.35 [0.15, 0.79]   |   |
| Bang 1997                         | 19                     | 33      | 30        | 50        | 26.7%                 | 0.96 [0.66, 1.39]   |   |
| Lee 1999                          | 29                     | 32      | 49        | 50        | 32.1%                 | 0.92 [0.82, 1.04]   | -   |
| Total (95% CI)                    |                        | 183     |           | 216       | 100.0%                | 0.72 [0.46, 1.12]   | -   |
| Total events                      | 78                     |         | 134       |           |                       |                     |   |
| Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = | 0.16; Chi <sup>2</sup> | = 18.7  | 1, df = 3 | B (P = 0) | .0003); I             | <sup>2</sup> = 84%  |   |
| Test for overall effect:          | Z = 1.46 (             | P = 0.1 | 4)        |           |                       |                     | 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10<br>Favours steroid Favours control |

Figure 16: Forest plot demonstrating the effect of steroids in patients with TB pleura on pleural thickening at the end of follow up. Blue squares represent the risk ratio and study weighting, the black lines represent confidence intervals. The black diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect.

|                                   | Corticost  | eroid  | Corticosteroid Control |       |        | Risk Ratio         | Risk Ratio   |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------|------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------|--|
| Study or Subgroup                 | Events     | Total  | Events                 | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl                                   |
| Galarza 1995                      | 1          | 57     | 5                      | 60    | 9.0%   | 0.21 [0.03, 1.75]  |  |
| Lee 1988                          | 1          | 21     | 3                      | 19    | 5.8%   | 0.30 [0.03, 2.66]  |  |
| Lee 1999                          | 15         | 32     | 37                     | 50    | 53.1%  | 0.63 [0.42, 0.95]  |  |
| Wyser 1996                        | 17         | 34     | 18                     | 36    | 32.2%  | 1.00 [0.63, 1.60]  | -+-  |
| Total (95% CI)                    |            | 144    |                        | 165   | 100.0% | 0.69 [0.51, 0.94]  | •  |
| Total events                      | 34         |        | 63                     |       |        |                    |  |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = | 4.31, df = | 3 (P = | 0.23); I <sup>2</sup>  | = 30% |        |                    |  |
| Test for overall effect:          |            |        |                        |       |        |                    | 0.01 0.1 1 10 100<br>Favours steroid Favours control |

Figure 17: Forest plot demonstrating the effect of steroids in patients with TB pleura on adverse events leading to discontinuation of treatment. Blue squares represent the risk ratio and study weighting, the black lines represent confidence intervals. The black diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect.

|                                   | Corticost    | eroid   | Cont                  | rol   |        | Risk Ratio          | Risk Ratio   |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------------------|-------|--------|---------------------|--|
| Study or Subgroup                 | Events       | Total   | Events                | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl  | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI                                     |
| Bang 1997                         | 1            | 33      | 0                     | 50    | 6.9%   | 4.50 [0.19, 107.25] |  |
| Elliott 2004                      | 9            | 97      | 2                     | 97    | 34.3%  | 4.50 [1.00, 20.29]  |  |
| Galarza 1995                      | 0            | 57      | 0                     | 60    |        | Not estimable       |  |
| Lee 1988                          | 1            | 21      | 0                     | 19    | 9.0%   | 2.73 [0.12, 63.19]  | •  |
| Lee 1999                          | 0            | 32      | 0                     | 50    |        | Not estimable       |  |
| Wyser 1996                        | 4            | 34      | 3                     | 36    | 49.9%  | 1.41 [0.34, 5.85]   | <b>-</b>   |
| Total (95% CI)                    |              | 274     |                       | 312   | 100.0% | 2.80 [1.12, 6.98]   | -  |
| Total events                      | 15           |         | 5                     |       |        |                     |  |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = | 1.36, df =   | 3 (P =  | 0.72); I <sup>2</sup> | = 0%  |        |                     | 0.001 0.1 1 10 1000                                    |
| Test for overall effect           | : Z = 2.21 ( | P = 0.0 | 3)                    |       |        |                     | 0.001 0.1 1 10 1000<br>Favours steroid Favours control |

| Corticosteroid        |            | Control |        | Risk Ratio | Risk Ratio           |  |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|--------|------------|----------------------|--|
| Study or Subgroup     | Events     | Total   | Events | Total      | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI   | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI                                     |
| 2.9.1 Cryptococcal m  | neningitis |         |        |            |                      |  |
| Elliott 2004          | 3          | 97      | 5      | 97         | 0.60 [0.15, 2.44]    |  |
| 2.9.2 Oesophageal c   | andidiasis |         |        |            |                      |  |
| Elliott 2004          | 35         | 97      | 23     | 97         | 1.52 [0.98, 2.37]    | +-   |
| 2.9.3 Gastroenteritis |            |         |        |            |                      |  |
| Elliott 2004          | 34         | 97      | 28     | 97         | 1.21 [0.80, 1.84]    | +-   |
| 2.9.4 Herpes simples  | x          |         |        |            |                      |  |
| Elliott 2004          | 22         | 97      | 20     | 97         | 1.10 [0.64, 1.88]    | +  |
| 2.9.5 Herpes zoster   |            |         |        |            |                      |  |
| Elliott 2004          | 22         | 97      | 19     | 97         | 1.16 [0.67, 2.00]    | +-   |
| 2.9.6 Kaposi sarcom   | a          |         |        |            |                      |  |
| Elliott 2004          | 6          | 97      | 0      | 97         | 13.00 [0.74, 227.63] | +  |
| 2.9.7 Oral thrush     |            |         |        |            |                      |  |
| Elliott 2004          | 31         | 97      | 31     | 97         | 1.00 [0.66, 1.51]    | +  |
|                       |            |         |        |            |                      | 0.001 0.1 1 10 1000<br>Favours steroid Favours control |

Figure 18: Forest plot demonstrating the effect of steroids in patients with TB pleura on HIV-associated adverse events. Blue squares represent the risk ratio and study weighting, the black lines represent confidence intervals. The black diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect.

| Steroids compared with placebo fo   | Steroids compared with placebo for pleural TB  |                                 |                               |                    |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Patient or population: Adults with pleural TB<br>Settings: Hospital and outpatient<br>Intervention: Steroids<br>Comparison: Placebo                                 |  |                                 |                               |                    |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outcomes     Illustrative comparative risks (95% CI)     Relative effect<br>(95% CI)     No of Participants<br>(studies)     Certainty of the evidence<br>(GRADE)   |  |                                 |                               |                    |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Placebo  | Corticosteroids                 | _                             |                    |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residual fluid at 4 weeks   | 58 per 100   | <b>44 per 100</b><br>(36 to 55) | <b>RR</b> 0.76 (0.62 to 0.94) | 394<br>(3 studies) | ⊕⊕⊖⊝ <sup>1, 2</sup><br>low         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residual fluid at 8 weeks   | 64 per 100   | <b>46 per 100</b><br>(29 to 72) | <b>RR</b> 0.72 (0.46 to 1.12) | 399<br>(4 studies) | ⊕⊖⊖⊖ <sup>3, 4, 5</sup><br>very low |  |  |  |  |  |
| Presence of pleural thickening  | $\frac{33 \text{ per 100}}{(17 \text{ to } 31)} \qquad \frac{RR}{0.69} \qquad 309 \qquad 0.51 \text{ to } 0.94 \qquad 0.51 $ |                                 |                               |                    |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adverse events leading to study<br>Irug discontinuation 5 per 100 14 per 100 (6 to 35) RR 2.80 (1.12 to 6.98) 586 (6 studies) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |                                 |                               |                    |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate certainty: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low certainty: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low certainty: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

#### Footnotes

<sup>1</sup>Downgraded by one for risk of bias: one of the three studies (Bang 1997) was assessed as high risk of bias for random sequence generation, and both Bang 1997 and Galarza 1995 were at unclear risk of bias for allocation concealment.

<sup>2</sup> Downgraded by one for inconsistency: the two smaller studies in the meta-analysis (Bang 1997, Galarza 1995) have 95% CI that cross the line of no effect, while the study with the largest weighting (Elliott 2004) shows an effect in favour of steroids, and this contributes the most to the summary estimate.

<sup>3</sup> Downgraded by one for ROB: three of the four studies (Bang 1997, Lee 1988, Lee 1999) were at high or unclear risk of bias for randomization or allocation concealment.

<sup>4</sup>Downgraded by one on inconsistency: two studies showed no effect of steroids, two studies showed steroids reduced the number of patients with pleural effusion at 8 weeks.

<sup>5</sup>Downgraded by one for imprecision: the summary estimate of effect has a broad 95% CI going from a large effect in favour of steroids to a small effect in favour of no steroids.

<sup>6</sup> Downgraded by one for ROB: All four studies (Galarza 1995, Lee 1988, Lee 1999, Wyser 1996) had unclear risk of bias for randomization and allocation concealment.

<sup>7</sup> Downgraded by one for imprecision: small numbers of participants and events led to broad 95% Cl.

<sup>8</sup> Downgraded by one for ROB: several studies have unclear or high risk of bias for randomization and allocation concealment.

#### Guideline Panel's Judgement

#### Question: Should steroids be routinely prescribed for TB pleura, irrespective of HIV status?

#### Balance of desirable and undesirable effects

| Desirable                                | Undesirable                                  |
|--|--|
| Quick recovery                           | Steroid-associated adverse events            |
| No X-ray changes at the end of treatment | HIV-associated opportunistic infections HIV- |
| Return to baseline lung function         | associated cancer in people living with HIV  |

#### Overall quality of evidence across all critical outcomes

| High | Moderate | Low | Very low |
|------|----------|-----|----------|
|      |          | х   |          |

#### Values and preference statement

Patients want to recover quickly, and have quick resolution of radiological evidence of pleural disease. Reducing the risk of long-term disability related to impaired lung function from fibrothorax is the most important outcome.

#### Draft recommendation

Steroids are not routinely recommended in TB pleura.

#### Strength of recommendation

| For inte | ervention   | No             | Against interv | vention |
|----------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|          |             | recommendation |                |         |
| Strong   | Conditional |                | Conditional    | Strong  |

Х

#### Remarks

- The group felt that therapeutic thoracocentesis was a preferred treatment in patients with large pleural effusions.
- The group recognised that there was evidence of an effect in reducing pleural thickening, low quality evidence, but there was no clear evidence that this translated into an effect on lung function or long-term disability.
- The group noted that the outcomes reported in the studies in this review are all proxy measures for long-term morbidity, rather than direct measures. The most important outcomes are long-term lung function and disability.

# How long should we treat lymph node TB (LNTB) with ATT?

### Background

LNTB can present with involvement of peripheral, mediastinal and/or abdominal lymph nodes. As well as enlarged lymph nodes perceivable clinically or visualized on chest X-ray, abdominal ultrasound scan or CT, and clinical features sometimes include weight loss, fever and night sweats. Most recommendations for the treatment of LNTB have evolved alongside PTB. The problem of persistently enlarged lymph nodes at the end of treatment has vexed clinicians and some practitioners extend treatment duration in such patients, fearing relapse of active TB disease in this group.

This systematic review aims to evaluate the efficacy of rifampicin-based ATT given for six months versus longer duration of therapy. Further, it looks into the outcome of patients with partial clinical response (resolution of clinical signs and symptoms, decrease in lymph node size but residual LN size >1 cm) versus patients with complete clinical cure (resolution of clinical signs and symptoms and residual lymph node size <1cm) at the end of treatment.

This rapid review was prepared specifically for the INDEX-TB Guidelines. A formal Cochrane review is underway at the time of publication.

### **Objectives**

#### **Primary objective:**

To compare the efficacy of rifampicin-based 6 months versus 9 months ATT at standard doses in patients with isolated LNTB.

#### Secondary objective:

To find out the rates of relapse at 12 months or more among cured patients of LNTB in general and among the fraction of partial responders (residual lymph node size >1cm) to treatment.

### Methods

#### Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies - Randomized or quasi-randomized controlled trials, and prospective cohort studies.

Types of participants - Adults and children with a diagnosis of presumed drug sensitive peripheral LNTB.

#### **Types of intervention**

Intervention - Six months of rifampicin-based ATT.

Comparator - Nine months or more of rifampicin-based therapy ATT.

#### Types of outcome measure

Primary outcomes

- Relapse rate in cured patients defined as increase in size of affected lymph nodes or appearance of new nodes or other forms of TB proven by culture positivity or presence of granulomata on histopathological examination.
- Successful treatment at end of follow up resolution of constitutional signs and symptoms with decrease in size of lymph nodes.

Secondary outcomes

• Relapse rate in cured patients with partial response (residual lymph node size >1cm).

#### Search methods for identification of studies

The following databases were searched for relevant studies up to 3<sup>rd</sup>June 2015: PubMed (including MEDLINE), EMBASE (accessed via OvidSP), and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), and LILACS. The search terms used are outlined in Box 2.

lymph node, lymphad\*, mtb, mycobact\*, tuberculosis, lymph node [MeSH term], mycobacterium tuberculosis [MeSH term] AND Treatment, therapy, drug\*, regimen

Data collection and analysis

We intended to conduct subgroup analyses for children, HIV positive people, malnutrition and other types of immunosuppression, but this was not possible due to lack of reporting on most of these subgroups, and small numbers of participants in the studies.

### Results

Figure 19: Flow diagram illustrating the results of search and screening for length of treatment for LNTB



| Study              | Setting and Study design                                     | Length of follow up after treatment completion | Participants   | Regimen                 |
|--------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------|
|                    |  | (months)                                       |  |                         |
| (Yuen<br>1997)     | Hong-Kong  | Median: 21                                     | Newly diagnosed, biopsy proven cervical LNTB patients    | 4RHZS/5RH               |
| ,                  | Single tertiary care hospital:<br>Department of ENT Surgery, | (up to 55)                                     | Mean age in years (SD): 6-month group: 28 (10); 9-       | Thrice weekly treatment |
|                    | University of Hong Kong,<br>Queen Mary Hospital              |  | month group: 32 (14)<br>HIV status not reported          | 4RHZS/2RH               |
|                    | RCT  |  |  | Thrice weekly treatment |
| (Campbell<br>1993) | υк   | 30   | Newly diagnosed peripheral and mediastinal LNTB patients | 2RHE/7RH                |
|                    | Multiple secondary care<br>hospitals: British Thoracic       |  | Age: 16-80 years   | Daily treatment         |
|                    | Society Research Committee                                   |  | HIV status not reported                                  | 2RHZ/7RH                |
|                    | RCT  |  |  | Daily treatment         |
|                    |  |  |  | 2RHZ/4RH                |
|                    |  |  |  | Daily treatment         |

#### Table 20: Characteristics and outcomes of the included studies comparing 9 months ATT versus 6 months ATT to treat LNTB.

| Study           | Total duration<br>(months) | Participants<br>(n) | Lost to follow-up | Treatment modified <sup>1</sup> | Completed<br>treatment | Primary treatment failure<br>at the end of ATT | Successful treatment at end of follow up <sup>2</sup> | Relapse (%) <sup>3</sup> |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|
| (Yuen 1997)     | 9                          | 64                  | 3                 | 13                              | 48                     | 1  | 42/64   | 5/47                     |
|                 |                            |                     |                   |                                 |                        |  |   | (10.6%)                  |
|                 | 6                          | 49                  | 2                 | 4                               | 42                     | 2  | 36/49   | 5/41                     |
|                 |                            |                     |                   |                                 |                        |  |   | (12.2%)                  |
| (Campbell 1993) | 9                          | 63                  | 14                | 7                               | 50                     | 0  | 45/63   | 4/49                     |
|                 |                            |                     |                   |                                 |                        |  |   | (8.2%)                   |
|                 | 9                          | 70                  | 12                | 10                              | 56                     | 0  | 56/70   | 2/58                     |
|                 |                            |                     |                   |                                 |                        |  |   | (3.4%)                   |
|                 | 6                          | 66                  | 8                 | 11                              | 51                     | 0  | 55/66   | 3/58                     |
|                 |                            |                     |                   |                                 |                        |  |   | (5.2%)                   |

#### Table 21. Results of the included studies comparing 9 months ATT versus 6 months ATT to treat LNTB

<sup>1</sup>In Yuen 1997, 17 participants required modification of ATT due to a drug-related adverse event – 11 drug-induced hepatitis, 3 gastrointestinal upset, 1 gastrointestinal upset with skin rash, 1 tinnitus, and 1 thrombocytopenia). In BTS 1993, 28 participants had their treatment modified; 8 due to drug toxicity (5 in 9 month arms, 5 in 6 month arm), other reasons for treatment modification reported were drug resistance (5 in 9 month arms, 5 in 6 month arm), non-compliance (1 in 9 month arm), and clinician judgement.

<sup>2</sup>Intention-to-treat analysis, includes participants who received modified treatment

<sup>3</sup> Complete case analysis

#### Figure 20: Forest plot demonstrating relative risk of relapse in cured patients treated with 6 months ATT compared to 9 months ATT.

This is a complete case analysis, with the denominator in each group consisting of the total number of participants who completed treatment with clinical remission at the end of ATT. Participants who were lost to follow up, had treatment discontinued due to drug-related adverse events, failed to improve on treatment or had their treatment modified were excluded from this analysis. For Yuen 1997, the number of relapses are estimated from the data provided; in the 6-month group, 41 out of 49 participants randomized completed treatment with clinical remission, and the remission rate at five years was 89%; in the 9-month group 47 out of 64 participants randomized completed treatment with clinical remission, and the remission, and the remission, and the remission rate at five years was 89%; in the 9-month group as this is not reported, and neither are losses to follow up.

|                                     | 6 months                                     | ATT       | 9 months                 | ATT    |        | Risk Ratio         | Risk Ratio   | Risk of Bias |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|--|--------------|
| Study or Subgroup                   | Events                                       | Total     | Events                   | Total  | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl                                     | ABCDEFG      |
| Campbell 1993                       | 3  | 58        | 6                        | 107    | 44.1%  | 0.92 [0.24, 3.55]  |  | ??+++?+      |
| Yuen 1997                           | 5  | 47        | 5                        | 41     | 55.9%  | 0.87 [0.27, 2.80]  |  | ??•••        |
| Total (95% CI)                      |  | 105       |                          | 148    | 100.0% | 0.89 [0.37, 2.16]  | -  |              |
| Total events                        | 8  |           | 11                       |        |        |                    |  |              |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = I | 0.00, df = 1                                 | (P = 0.9) | 95); I <sup>z</sup> = 0% | 5      |        |                    |  |              |
| Test for overall effect: 2          | Z = 0.25 (P                                  | = 0.80)   |                          |        |        |                    | 0.01 0.1 1 10 100<br>Favours 6 months Favours 9 months |              |
|                                     |  |           |                          |        |        |                    |  |              |
| Risk of bias legend                 |  |           |                          |        |        |                    |  |              |
| (A) Random sequence                 | e generatio                                  | on (sele  | ction bias)              |        |        |                    |  |              |
| (B) Allocation conceal              | ment (sele                                   | ction bia | as)                      |        |        |                    |  |              |
| (C) Blinding of particip            | ants and p                                   | ersonn    | el (perform              | ance b | ias)   |                    |  |              |
| (D) Blinding of outcom              | ie assessr                                   | ment (de  | etection bia             | as)    |        |                    |  |              |
| (E) Incomplete outcom               | (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) |           |                          |        |        |                    |  |              |
| (F) Selective reporting             | (reporting                                   | bias)     |                          |        |        |                    |  |              |
| (G) Other bias                      |  |           |                          |        |        |                    |  |              |
| L                                   |  |           |                          |        |        |                    |  |              |

#### Figure 21: Forest plot demonstrating relative risk of successful treatment at the end of follow up with 6 months ATT compared to 9 months ATT.

This is an intention-to-treat analysis, including all randomized participants. Participants were described as having had successful treatment at the end of follow up if they had completed the course of ATT without primary treatment failure, and had not required further treatment for relapse by the end of follow up. Participants who had residual lymphadenopathy at the end of follow up but who were not deemed to have active TB disease and who had not received retreatment for TB were counted as having had successful treatment.

|   | 6 months   | ATT       | 9 months             | onths ATT Risk Ratio |        | Risk Ratio         | Risk Ratio         | Risk of Bias |
|---|--|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Study or Subgroup   | Events   | Total     | Events               | Total                | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl | ABCDEFG      |
| Campbell 1993   | 55   | 66        | 101                  | 133                  | 64.8%  | 1.10 [0.95, 1.27]  | <b>—</b>           | ?? 🛨 🛑 🛨 ? 🛨 |
| Yuen 1997   | 36   | 49        | 42                   | 64                   | 35.2%  | 1.12 [0.88, 1.43]  |                    | ??•••?•      |
| Total (95% CI)  |  | 115       |                      | 197                  | 100.0% | 1.11 [0.97, 1.26]  | •                  |              |
| Total events  | 91   |           | 143                  |                      |        |                    |                    |              |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =                             | 0.02, df = 1   | (P = 0.3) | 89); I <b>²</b> = 0% | 5                    |        |                    |                    |              |
| Test for overall effect:                                      | Test for overall effect: Z = 1.54 (P = 0.12)       0.1       0.2       0.5       1       2       5       10         Favours 6 months ATT |           |                      |                      |        |                    |                    |              |
|   |  |           |                      |                      |        |                    |                    |              |
| Risk of bias legend   |  |           |                      |                      |        |                    |                    |              |
| (A) Random sequence   | e generatio  | on (sele  | ction bias)          |                      |        |                    |                    |              |
| (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)                   |  |           |                      |                      |        |                    |                    |              |
| (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) |  |           |                      |                      |        |                    |                    |              |
| (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)           |  |           |                      |                      |        |                    |                    |              |
| (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)                  |  |           |                      |                      |        |                    |                    |              |
| (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)                      |  |           |                      |                      |        |                    |                    |              |
| (G) Other bias  |  |           |                      |                      |        |                    |                    |              |
|   |  |           |                      |                      |        |                    |                    |              |

#### 9 months ATT compared to 6 months Rifampicin-based ATT for LNTB

Patient population: Adults with newly diagnosed LNTB

Settings: Hospitals and outpatient clinics

Intervention: 9 months ATT

Comparison: 6 months ATT

| Outcomes  | Illustrative comparativ | ve risks* (95% CI)                |                                  | •                  | Quality of the evidence<br>(GRADE)   |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
|   | Assumed risk            | Corresponding risk                | (95% CI)                         |                    |  |
|   | 9 months ATT            | 6 months ATT                      |                                  |                    |  |
| <b>Relapse in cured patients</b><br>Follow-up: median 21 months, up<br>to 55 months | 89 per 1000             | <b>79 per 1000</b><br>(33 to 192) | <b>RR 0.89</b> (0.37 to 2.16)    | 253<br>(2 studies) | $ \begin{array}{c} \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigoplus \\ \text{Low}^{1,2} \end{array} $ |
| Successful treatment at end of follow up  | 708 per 1000            |                                   | <b>RR</b> 1.11<br>(0.97 to 1.26) | 312<br>(2 studies) | $ \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigoplus \bigcirc $<br>Moderate <sup>1</sup>                                    |

The **assumed risk** is the median risk in the nine month group between studies. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (6 months ATT), and its 95% CI.

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

**Moderate quality:** Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

**Very low quality:** We are very uncertain about the estimate.

#### Footnotes

<sup>1</sup>Downgraded by one for risk of bias: both of the included studies had inadequately reported methods of randomization and allocation concealment.

<sup>2</sup>Downgraded by one for imprecision: the lower bound of the 95% CI of the relative effect estimate implies that there are less than half as many relapses in patients treated for 6 months compared to patients treated for 9 months, whereas the upper bound implies there are over twice as many relapses in patients treated for 6 months compared to patients treated for 9 months.

### **Relapse Rate among partial responders in LNTB**

It was not possible to disaggregate data about the rate of relapse in patients who completed treatment but had residual lymphadenopathy >1 cm (partial responders) from the two included randomised controlled trials.

In the preliminary paper to Campbell 1993 (British Thoracic Society Research Committee, 1992) the authors report residual lymphadenopathy at the end of ATT in 41% in the six-month arm, 28% in the nine-month arm with ethambutol and 17% in the nine-month arm with pyrazinamide. The absolute numbers are not reported, and no data are provided about whether any of these patients went on to have a relapse. At the end of the 30-month post-treatment follow up period, 10 out of the 58 participants available for follow-up in the six-month group had residual lymphadenopathy, 6 out of 49 in the nine-month ethambutol group, and 10 out of 58 in the nine-month pyrazinamide group.

In Yuen 1997, participants were considered to be in remission if they had complete resolution of lymphadenopathy, or the size of the affected lymph nodes was decreasing or smaller than 0.5cm. Again, it is not clear from the reported data how many partial responders there were, and whether any of these went on to relapse.

Five additional studies returned by the search but excluded from the meta-analysis because they did not compare 6 months versus 9 months ATT gave disaggregated data on outcomes for patients with residual lymphadenopathy at the end of ATT. The Table 23 shows the numbers of partial responders, and the number of these who went on to relapse. These studies included adults and children with peripheral LNTB patients only, except Ayed AK 2001 which included mediastinal LNTB patients only. None of the studies included people with HIV.

Table 23: Characteristics and outcomes of single-arm studies returned in the search that reported data on outcomes for patients with residual lymphadenopathy at the end of ATT (partial responders).

| Study  | No. of participants | Drug Regimen                                  | Total treatment<br>duration (months) | Partial Responders<br>(%) | Follow up after<br>completion of ATT<br>(months) | Relapse among partial responders (%) |
|--|---------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| (British Thoracic Society<br>Research Committee, 1985) | 56                  | 2HRE/7HR                                      | 9                                    | 7/56<br>(12.5%)           | 27   | 0                                    |
|  | 57                  | 2HRE/16HR                                     | 18                                   | 3/ 57<br>(14%)            | 18   | 0                                    |
| (Jawahar 1990)   | 168                 | 2HRZS (thrice<br>weekly/4HS (twice<br>weekly) | 6                                    | 50<br>(30.4%)             | 33-36  | 4 (2.4%)                             |
| (Jawahar 2005)   | 134                 | 2HRZ/4RH<br>(twice weekly under<br>DOT)       | 6                                    | 14/134<br>(10.4%)         | 36   | 1 (1.3%)                             |
|  | 134                 | 6RH<br>(daily)                                | 6                                    | 17/134<br>(12.68%)        | 36   | 1 (1.3%)                             |
| (Ayed 2001) <sup>1</sup>                               | 34                  | 2HRZ/4-7HR                                    | 6-9                                  | 6<br>(17.6%)              | 6-19   | 0                                    |
| (Cheung WL 1990)                                       | 123                 | 6HRZE   | 6                                    | 18(14.6%)                 | 36   | 0                                    |

<sup>1</sup>Ayed 2001 was a prospective cohort study looking at patients with mediastinal LNTB diagnosed via mediastinoscopy. They report 28/34 complete response to ATT at the end of 6 months. Six patients had further enlargement of affected nodes, new nodes or wound-related sinuses at the end of 6 months ATT. These patients received an additional 3 months RH. All participants had complete resolution of disease at follow up 6-19 months after completing ATT.

### Guideline Panel's Judgement

#### Question: What duration of treatment should be used to treat peripheral LNTB?

#### Balance of desirable and undesirable effects

| Desirable   | Undesirable   |
|---|---|
| Cure rates are similar in the data collected for 6 months and 9 months (moderate<br>quality evidence<br>Relapse rates are similar in the data collected for 6 months and 9 months (low<br>quality evidence) | Drug-related adverse events such as drug-induced hepatitis<br>Poor adherence to treatment increasing the risk of acquired drug resistance<br>Relapse of LNTB or of more life-threatening form of TB |
| Patients more likely to complete shorter regimens<br>Less exposure to adverse effects of ATT<br>Overall quality of evidence across all critical outcomes  |   |

High Moderate Low Very low

#### Values and preference statement

Relapse of LNTB is not serious or life-threatening, but may contribute to chronic morbidity.

#### Draft recommendation

Six months ATT standard first-line regimen is recommended for peripheral LNTB.

#### Strength of recommendation

| For intervention |             | No             | Against intervention |        |  |
|------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|--------|--|
|                  |             | recommendation |                      |        |  |
| Strong           | Conditional |                | Conditional          | Strong |  |

Х

#### Remarks

- The group considered that the available evidence for peripheral LNTB did not demonstrate any significant harm associated with 6 months' treatment compared with 9 months (low quality evidence).
- There was very little evidence available for abdominal and mediastinal LNTB, and the group noted that the optimal duration of treatment may be different for these patients. A specific recommendation was not made.
- There is little evidence in patients who are found to have disseminated TB or TB in multiple lymph node groups.
- There is some evidence that partial responders (patients with persisting lymphadenopathy >1cm at the end of 6 months' treatment) do not relapse. These patients do not generally require extension of treatment.
# How long should we treat abdominal TB with ATT?

## Background

Abdominal TB can present with isolated involvement of any of the following sites: peritoneal, intestinal, upper gastrointestinal (oesophageal, gastroduodenal), hepatobiliary, pancreatic and perianal. The clinical features as well as diagnostic modalities depend on the site of involvement. Internationally, most guidelines recommend to treat all types of abdominal TB with the same regimen as for pulmonary TB – a two-month intensive phase with four drugs (isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide and ethambutol) followed by a four-month continuation phase with isoniazid and rifampicin. Adding pyrazinamide to a regimen containing isoniazid and rifampicin for treating pulmonary TB has allowed shortening treatment duration from nine to six months. However, evidence supporting this could be extrapolated to abdominal TB is unclear.

Shorter duration of treatment may increase compliance, leading to reduced numbers of relapses as well as the emergence of drug-resistance strains. Furthermore, shorter regimens decrease the risk of anti-tubercular drug toxicity. Whether six-month regimen achieves successful treatment rates as good as with nine-month regimen without significantly increasing the number of relapse is the key concern for accepting a shorter ATT regimen. The present review aim to evaluate the effects of treatment with the six-month regimen compared to the nine-month regimen for abdominal TB.

## Objective

To compare the effects of treatment with the six-month first line regimen 2RHZE/4RH versus the ninemonth regimen 2RHZE/7RH for abdominal TB.

### Methods

#### Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies - Randomized or quasi-randomized controlled trials.

**Types of participants** - Adults and children with a diagnosis of presumed drug sensitive abdominal tuberculosis as defined by the authors.

#### **Types of intervention**

Intervention - 2RHZE/4RH.

Control - 2RHZE/7RH.

#### Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

- Relapse new symptoms and signs of abdominal TB after completion of ATT<sup>1</sup>.
- Successful treatment resolution of signs and symptoms at the end of follow up.

Secondary outcomes

- All cause death.
- Treatment failure failure to improve with ATT, or deterioration following initial improvement while on ATT.
- Default patients who discontinue ATT before the end of treatment, or patients whose treatment is interrupted for 8 weeks or more consecutively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Used interchangeably with "recurrence"

#### **Adverse events**

- Adverse effects relating to the ATT.
- Drug toxicity (including hepatic toxicity) leading to discontinuation of regimen.

#### Search methods

The following databases were searched for relevant studies up to 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2015: PubMed (including MEDLINE), EMBASE (accessed via OvidSP), and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), and LILACS. The search terms used are outlined in Table 24.

#### Table 24: Detailed search strategy for duration of treatment for abdominal TB

| 1  | abdominal tuberculosis.mp. or abdominal tuberculosis/ or tuberculous peritonitis/ |
|----|---|
| 2  | limit 1 to human  |
| 3  | gastrointestinal tuberculosis.mp.   |
| 4  | splenic tuberculosis.mp.  |
| 5  | 2 or 3 or 4   |
| 6  | randomized controlled trial/  |
| 7  | drug comparison/ or controlled clinical trial.mp. or controlled clinical trial/   |
| 8  | cohort study.mp. or cohort analysis/  |
| 9  | 6 or 7 or 8   |
| 10 | 5 and 9   |

#### Data collection and analysis

We analyzed the outcome data based on an intention-to-treat analysis.

We intended to conduct subgroup analyses for children, HIV positive people, malnutrition and other types of immunosuppression, but this was not possible due to lack of reporting on most of these subgroups, and small numbers of participants in the studies.

## **Results**

#### Search results

Figure 22: Flow diagram illustrating the results of search and screening for length of treatment for abdominal TB



| Study                  | Study<br>design   | Setting  | Drug regimen    | Participants                                       | Site of TB  | Duration of follow in months | Relapse | Successful<br>treatment |
|------------------------|---|--|-----------------|--|---|------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| (Makharia<br>GK, 2015) |   |  | 2(HRZE)3/4(HR)3 | n = 100<br>Mean age, years (SD): 34<br>(14.2)      | Peritoneum: 23 participants<br>GIT: 77 participants   | 12                           | 1/100   | 75/100                  |
|                        |   |  | 2(HRZE)₃,7(HR)₃ | n = 91<br>Mean age, years (SD): 34.9<br>(13.9)     | Peritoneum: 18 participants<br>GIT: 73 participants   | 12                           | 0/91    | 69/91                   |
| (Park SH,<br>2009)     | RCT   | South Korea 2HRZE/4HRE<br>SIngle<br>university<br>hospital |                 | n = 45<br>Median age, years (range):<br>36 (18-71) | Ileocaecal area: 38<br>Ascending colon: 25<br>Transverse colon: 10<br>Rectum and sigmoid colon: 5<br>Descending colon: 4  | Median: 39                   | 1/45    | 42/45                   |
|                        |   |  | 2HRZE/7HRE      | n = 45<br>Median age, years (range):<br>42 (20-71) | lleocaecal area: 41<br>Ascending colon: 28<br>Transverse colon: 15<br>Rectum and sigmoid colon: 10<br>Descending colon: 5 | Median: 32                   | 0/45    | 41/45                   |
| (Tony J, 2008)         | Tony J, 2008)     RCT     India     2(HRZE) <sub>3</sub> /4       One medical college     2 |  | 2(HRZE)3/4(HR)3 | n = 23<br>Mean age, years (SD): 39.9<br>(13.5)     | lleocecal area, colon or both sites   | Median: 27<br>(range 3-55)   | 0/23    | 23/23                   |
|                        |   |  | 2HRZE/7HR       | n = 24<br>Mean age, years (SD): 37.8<br>(11.6)     | lleocecal area, colon or both sites   | Median: 26<br>(range 3-52)   | 0/24    | 24/24                   |

GIT: gastrointestinal tract

#### Risk of bias assessment

Figure 23: Risk of bias summary for duration of treatment for abdominal TB: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study.



Figure 24: Forest plot demonstrating the risk difference of relapse across studies. Blue squares represent the risk ratio and study weighting, the black lines represent confidence intervals. The black diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect.

|                                   | Six mor       |          | Nine mo                   | ntns  |        | Risk Difference    | Risk Difference   |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------|---------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------|---|
| Study or Subgroup                 | Events        | Total    | Events                    | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl  |
| Makharia 2015                     | 1             | 100      | 0                         | 91    | 58.2%  | 0.01 [-0.02, 0.04] |   |
| Park 2009                         | 1             | 45       | 0                         | 45    | 27.5%  | 0.02 [-0.04, 0.08] |   |
| Tony 2008                         | 0             | 23       | 0                         | 24    | 14.3%  | 0.00 [-0.08, 0.08] |   |
| Total (95% CI)                    |               | 168      |                           | 160   | 100.0% | 0.01 [-0.01, 0.04] |   |
| Total events                      | 2             |          | 0                         |       |        |                    |   |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> : | = 0.22, df =  | 2 (P = 0 | 0.90); I <sup>z</sup> = I | 0%    |        | -                  |   |
| Test for overall effect           | t: Z = 0.89 ( | P = 0.3  | 7)                        |       |        |                    | -0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1<br>Favours [experimental] Favours [control] |

Figure 25: Forest plot demonstrating the risk ratio of death across studies. Blue squares represent the risk ratio and study weighting, the black lines represent confidence intervals. The black diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect.

|                         | Six mor      | nths    | Nine mo | onths |        | Risk Ratio         | Risk Ratio                               |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------|---------|-------|--------|--------------------|--|
| Study or Subgroup       | Events       | Total   | Events  | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI                       |
| Makharia 2015           | 2            | 100     | 4       | 91    | 100.0% | 0.46 [0.09, 2.43]  |  |
| Park 2009               | 0            | 45      | 0       | 45    |        | Not estimable      |  |
| Tony 2008               | 0            | 23      | 0       | 24    |        | Not estimable      |  |
| Total (95% CI)          |              | 168     |         | 160   | 100.0% | 0.46 [0.09, 2.43]  |  |
| Total events            | 2            |         | 4       |       |        |                    |  |
| Heterogeneity: Not a    | pplicable    |         |         |       |        |                    | 0.01 0.1 1 10 100                        |
| Test for overall effect | : Z = 0.92 ( | P = 0.3 | 6)      |       |        |                    | Favours [experimental] Favours [control] |
|                         |              |         |         |       |        |                    |  |

Figure 26: Forest plot demonstrating the risk ratio of treatment failure across studies. Blue squares represent the risk ratio and study weighting, the black lines represent confidence intervals. The black diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect.

|   | Six mor | iths  | Nine mo | nths  | Risk Ratio |                    | Risk Ratio         |                               |                         |     |  |
|---|---------|-------|---------|-------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|--|
| Study or Subgroup                                 | Events  | Total | Events  | Total | Weight     | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl |                               |                         |     |  |
| Makharia 2015                                     | 2       | 100   | 1       | 91    | 67.7%      | 1.82 [0.17, 19.74] |                    |                               |                         |     |  |
| Park 2009   | 1       | 45    | 0       | 45    | 32.3%      | 3.00 [0.13, 71.74] |                    |                               |                         | _   |  |
| Tony 2008   | 0       | 23    | 0       | 24    |            | Not estimable      |                    |                               |                         |     |  |
| Total (95% CI)                                    |         | 168   |         | 160   | 100.0%     | 2.20 [0.33, 14.63] |                    |                               |                         |     |  |
| Total events                                      | 3       |       | 1       |       |            |                    |                    |                               |                         |     |  |
| Heterogeneity: Chi² =<br>Test for overall effect: | •       | •     |         | 0%    |            |                    | 0.005              | 0.1<br>Favours [experimental] | 10<br>Favours (control) | 200 |  |

Figure 27: Forest plot demonstrating the risk ratio of adverse events leading to discontinuation of ATT across studies. Blue squares represent the risk ratio and study weighting, the black lines represent confidence intervals. The black diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect.

|                                   | Six mo    | nths     | Nine mo                 | onths |        | Risk Ratio         | Risk Ratio  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------|---|
| Study or Subgroup                 | Events    | Total    | Events                  | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl  |
| Makharia 2015                     | 3         | 100      | 5                       | 91    | 56.7%  | 0.55 [0.13, 2.22]  |   |
| Park 2009                         | 2         | 45       | 4                       | 45    | 43.3%  | 0.50 [0.10, 2.59]  |   |
| Tony 2008                         | 0         | 23       | 0                       | 24    |        | Not estimable      |   |
| Total (95% CI)                    |           | 168      |                         | 160   | 100.0% | 0.53 [0.18, 1.53]  |   |
| Total events                      | 5         |          | 9                       |       |        |                    |   |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = | 0.01, df= | 1 (P = 0 | ).94); I <sup>z</sup> = |       |        |                    |   |
| Test for overall effect:          | Z=1.18 (  | P = 0.2  | 4)                      |       |        |                    | 0.01 0.1 1 10 100<br>Favours [experimental] Favours [control] |

#### Table 26: Summary of findings on duration of treatment for abdominal TB

Is treatment with six months' ATT as effective as treatment with nine months ATT?

Patient or population: 328 people with abdominal tuberculosis Settings: specialist hospitals, India, South Korea Intervention: 6 months ATT - 2RHZE/4RH<sup>1</sup> Comparison: 9 months ATT - 2RHZE/7RH<sup>1</sup>

| Outcomes                              | Illustrative comparative | e risks* (95% CI)         | Relative effect<br>(95% Cl)       | No of Participants<br>(studies) | Quality of the evidence<br>(GRADE) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                                       | Assumed risks            | Corresponding risk        |                                   |                                 |                                    |
|                                       | 9 months 6 months        |                           |                                   |                                 |                                    |
| Relapse<br>(from 3 to 12 months)      | 0 per 1000               | 10 per 1000<br>(0 to 40)  | <b>RD</b> 0.01<br>(-0.01 to 0.04) | 328<br>(3 studies)              | ⊕⊖⊖⊖<br>Very Low <sup>2,3,4</sup>  |
| Treatment failure at end of treatment | 6 per 1000               | 13 per 1000<br>(2 to 88)  | <b>RR</b> 2.20<br>(0.33 to 14.63) | 328<br>(3 studies)              | ⊕⊖⊖⊖<br>Very Low <sup>2,3,4</sup>  |
| Death                                 | 40 per 1000              | 18 per 1000<br>(4 to 970) | <b>RR</b> 0.46<br>(0.09 to 2.43)  | 328<br>(3 studies)              | ⊕⊖⊖⊖<br>Very Low <sup>2,3,4,</sup> |

\*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI). **CI:** Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk Ratio; **RD**: Risk Difference

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

**Very low quality:** We are very uncertain about the estimate.

#### Footnotes

<sup>1</sup>One study (Park 2009) used ethambutol in the continuation phase in both arms due to high rates of primary drug resistance in their population.

<sup>2</sup>Downgraded by one for risk of bias: two studies (Park 2009, Tony 2008) had problems with risk of bias in patient selection; definitions of outcome measures were vague in all studies.

<sup>3</sup>Downgraded by one for imprecision: very small numbers of events, as well as small numbers randomized to each group.

<sup>4</sup>Downgraded by one for indirectness: Park 2009 and Tony 2008 had highly restrictive inclusion criteria leading to a highly selected sample of patients; all studies excluded children under 11 years, HIV positive people, and people who were unlikely to comply with treatment.

#### Guideline Panel's Judgement

#### Question: What duration of treatment should be used to treat abdominal TB?

#### Balance of desirable and undesirable effects

| Desirable                              |                       |                   |                            | Undesirable  |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|
| More patients co                       | mplete treatment      |                   |                            | Uncertainty about relapse rates from current evidence                |
| Possibly fewer ad                      | lverse events related | to ATT            |                            | Longer regimens could increase the risk of default and drug toxicity |
| No evidence to sł<br>(very low quality | • •                   | ates from shorter | regimen from efficacy data |  |
| Overall quality of                     | evidence across all c | ritical outcomes  |                            |  |
| High                                   | Moderate              | Low               | Very low                   |  |

#### Values and preference statement

Patients with abdominal TB need treatment that will cure them of TB infection and reduce the risk of relapse. Longer treatment regimens are more difficult to adhere to, so the shortest effective regimen is preferred.

#### Draft recommendation

Six months ATT standard first-line regimen is recommended for abdominal TB.

#### Strength of recommendation

| For i  | ntervention | No             | Against interv | vention |
|--------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|        |             | recommendation |                |         |
| Strong | Conditional |                | Conditional    | Strong  |

Х

Х

#### Remarks

- Six months' treatment was deemed to be appropriate for new patients with low risk of drug resistance.
- The group recognised the paucity of data to answer this question, but noted that the six-month groups did not have a marked number of relapses.
- The data refer to peritoneal and intestinal disease the group had concerns about other forms of abdominal TB where there was very little evidence. Longer treatment may be required for other sites (hepatic, pancreatic, perianal, gastric), and further research is required to establish the optimum treatment duration in these forms of abdominal TB.
- Some patients may require extension of the continuation phase of ATT, and the group agreed that this must be assessed by the treating clinician.
- The gastroenterology group noted that some patients who are considered cured remain symptomatic due to adhesions/strictures. These patients do not benefit from continued ATT.

# How long should we treat TB meningitis with ATT?

## Background

TB meningitis constitutes a medical emergency, and it is essential to start ATT as soon as it is suspected in order to reduce rapidly progressing, life threatening outcomes. In contrast to pulmonary TB, there is a lack of standardised international recommendations for treating TB meningitis. This is partly due to the limited existing evidence regarding the optimal choice and dose of anti-tubercular drugs, as well as the most appropriate duration of treatment for this form of EPTB.

Two main arguments have led to the perception that longer treatment (than for PTB) is needed for TB meningitis to bring about microbiological cure and prevent relapse. The first one is that the blood-brain barrier hinders the penetration of anti-tubercular drugs to reach adequate drug concentration in the infected site. The second one concerns relapse rates. When assessing PTB regimens, relapse rates of 5% are generally considered acceptable (Donald, 2010). However relapse of TB meningitis is fearsome as it is a life-threatening condition and can lead to severe neuro-disability. Thus, whether any risk of relapse is tolerable for TB meningitis is to be considered when establishing TB meningitis regimens. However, longer anti-tubercular treatments are associated with reduced adherence, increased incidence of drug toxicity and increased costs (van Loenhout-Rooyackers, 2001).

The standard first-line regimen for drug sensitive TB meningitis, according to the WHO guidelines, is a 2month intensive phase with isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide and ethambutol or streptomycin followed by a 10-month continuation phase with isoniazid and rifampicin – 2 HRZE or S / 10 HR (WHO, 2010). Several different regimens are used in current practice, with variations regarding doses, selection of the fourth drug and duration of treatment from 6 to more than 24 months. Variations regarding the number of drugs used in both the intensive and continuation phases are used. As an example, the South African regimen consists in 6-month intensive course with four drugs (isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide and ethionamide) with no continuation phase. A study reviewing the duration of treatment for TB meningitis by comparing case series of both adults and children, showed similar completion and relapse rates between 6month treatment regimens including at least isoniazid, rifampicin and pyrazinamide and longer treatment (van Loenhout-Rooyackers, 2001).

Given the potentially devastating outcomes of relapse on the one hand, and the disadvantages of long therapy on the other hand, it is time to review the literature for establishing the most appropriate duration of treatment for TB meningitis.

## Objective

To compare the effects of treatment regimens of nine months or less versus regimens given for twelve months or more for TB meningitis.

## Methods

#### Criteria for including studies

Types of studies - Randomized or quasi-randomized controlled trials, and prospective cohort studies.

**Types of participants** - Adults and children with a diagnosis of presumed drug sensitive TB meningitis as defined by the authors.

#### **Types of intervention**

Intervention - ATT regimens of nine months or less which include rifampicin.

Comparator - ATT regimens of twelve months or longer which include rifampicin.

#### Types of outcome measure

Primary outcomes

• Relapse – patients who have new symptoms and signs of TBM after completion of ATT.

• Default - patients who discontinue ATT before the end of treatment, or patients whose treatment is interrupted for 8 weeks or more consecutively.

#### Secondary outcomes

- Successful treatment sustained improvement in signs and symptoms at the end of follow up.
- Treatment failure failure to improve with ATT, or deterioration following initial improvement while on ATT.
- Treatment completed.
- Neurological sequelae.
- Death.

#### **Adverse events**

- Adverse effects relating to the ATT.
- Drug toxicity (including hepatic toxicity) leading to discontinuation of regimen.

#### Search methods for identification of studies

The following databases were searched for relevant studies up to 21 May 2015: PubMed (including MEDLINE), EMBASE (accessed via OvidSP), the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), and LILACS. The search terms used are outlined in Table 27. The references of all included studies were hand-searched.

#### Table 27: Detailed search strategy for length of treatment in TB meningitis

| 1 | tuberculous meningitis/ or tuberculosis meningitis.mp.      |
|---|---|
| 2 | brain tuberculosis.ab. or brain tuberculosis.ti.            |
| 3 | 1 or 2  |
| 4 | randomized controlled trial/                                |
| 5 | controlled clinical trial.mp. or controlled clinical trial/ |
| 6 | cohort study.mp. or cohort analysis/                        |
| 7 | 4 or 5 or 6   |
| 8 | 3 and 7   |

#### Data collection and analysis

Each study was assessed for risk of bias according to the Downs and Black checklist for assessment of methodological quality. It provides an overall score by assessing the quality of reporting, external validity, internal validity (bias and confounding) and power.

Meta-analysis was not possible due to the heterogeneity of the studies and the lack of randomized controlled trials.

We intended to conduct subgroup analyses for adults/children, disease severity, HIV status, malnutrition and other types of immunosuppression, but this was not possible due to lack of reporting on most of these subgroups, and small numbers of participants in the studies.

#### Results

Figure 28: Flow diagram illustrating the results of search and screening for length of treatment for TB meningitis



#### Table 28: Characteristics on the included studies on length of treatment for TB meningitis

|                              |  | Setting                | Participan | ts                                    |    |  | Duration of           |  | Lost to follow<br>up        |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|----|--|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Study                        | Type of Study  |                        | Number     | Number Adults/ HIV status<br>Children |    | Drugs  | Duration of treatment | Duration of follow up                          |                             |
| (Doganay M <i>,</i><br>1995) | Prospective comparative<br>study – two regimens  | Turkey                 | 72         | Adults                                | NR | 2HRZS/6HR (37 cases)   | 8 mo                  | Median 10 mo<br>(range 6 to 24 mo)             | 10/72                       |
|                              | (short course in 3<br>centres, long course in 1<br>centre), data collected at<br>the same time |                        |            |                                       |    | HRZE (19 cases)<br>HRES (6 cases)<br>HRZS (6 cases)<br>HRZES (3 cases)<br>HRE (1 case) | 12-16 mo              | Median 13 mo<br>(range 4 to 36 mo)             |                             |
| (Jacobs RF,<br>1992)         | Prospective comparative study <sup>1</sup> – successive  | Thailand               | 53         | Children                              | NR | 2HRZS/4HR (45 cases)   | 6 mo                  | At least 12 mo: 27/38<br>Less than 12 mo: 7/38 | 4/38                        |
|                              | cohorts in the same<br>centre using different  |                        |            |                                       |    | 2HRS/7HR (4 cases)   | 9 mo                  | Not clear                                      | NR                          |
|                              | regimens over time   |                        |            |                                       |    | 2HSE/10HE (2 cases)<br>2RSE/10RE (2 cases)   | 12 mo                 | Not clear                                      | NR                          |
| (Alarcón F <i>,</i><br>1990) | Prospective<br>observational study   | Ecuador                | 28         | Adults and adolescents                | NR | 2HRZ/4HR   | 6 mo                  | 24 to 36 mo                                    | 0/28                        |
| (Biddulph,<br>1990)          | Prospective<br>observational study, one<br>centre <sup>2</sup>                                 | Papua<br>New<br>Guinea | 43         | Children                              | NR | 2HRZS/4HR  | 6 mo                  | No disaggregated data<br>for TBM (up to 24 mo) | No<br>disaggregated<br>data |
| (Chotmongkol,<br>1991)       | Prospective<br>observational study   | Thailand               | 29         | Adults                                | NR | 2HRZS/4HR  | 6 mo                  | Mean 16.3 mo<br>(range 4-33 mo)                | 4/29                        |
| (Chotmongkol<br>V, 1996)     | Prospective<br>observational data <sup>3</sup>   | Thailand               | 59         | Adults                                | NR | 2HRZS/4HR  | 6 mo                  | Mean 30 mo<br>(range 16-45 mo)                 | NR                          |
| (Donald PR,<br>1998)         | Prospective<br>observational study   | South<br>Africa        | 95         | Children                              | NR | 6HRZEth  | 6 mo                  | 12 mo  | 12/95                       |
| (Phuapradit P,<br>1987)      | Prospective<br>observational study   | Thailand               | 28         | Adults                                | NR | 2HRZS/7HR  | 9 mo                  | Mean 19.8<br>(range 12-29)                     | 5/28                        |

| (van Toorn R,<br>2014) | Prospective<br>observational study | South<br>Africa | 184 | Children | 133/184<br>HIV- | 6HRZEth (135 cases)<br>9HRZEth (25 cases) <sup>4</sup> | 6 mo (HIV-)<br>9 mo (HIV+) | 24 mo | 29/184 |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----|----------|-----------------|--|----------------------------|-------|--------|
|                        |                                    |                 |     |          | 22/184 HIV+     | Prolonged HRZEth (24<br>cases) <sup>5</sup>            |                            |       |        |

E: ethambutol, Eth: ethionamide; H: isoniazid; R: rifampicin; S: streptomycin; Z: pyrazinamide; NR: not reported

<sup>1</sup>In this prospective cohort study, data are reported for three successive cohorts in the same centre over time. The first cohort received a 12 month regimen with 2/4 patients receiving rifampicin, the second a 9 month regimen with all patients receiving rifampicin, and the third received a 6 month regimen with all patients receiving rifampicin.

<sup>2</sup> This study reported on a cohort of children in one centre with all forms of tuberculosis. The data for the subset of patients with CNS TB are presented here. It was not possible to disaggregate data for defaulters and adverse events in CNS TB patients in this cohort.

<sup>3</sup>This study was a randomized double-blind controlled trial of ATT with steroids versus ATT with placebo. Treated as prospective observational data for the purposes of this review.

<sup>4</sup> HIV infected children were treated with a 9-month regimen due to a perceived slower response to treatment.

<sup>5</sup> Prolonged ATT was given to 24 cases with adverse events and/or TB mass lesions.

#### Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

No RCT comparing directly short and long-course ATT regimens were found. All studies were observational studies with small numbers of participants. As these were nonrandomized studies, we used the checklist for the assessment of methodological quality developed by Downs and Black (Downs SH, 1998). This checklist scores each study in five areas of methodological quality: reporting, external validity (how generalizable the results are), internal validity (the risk of bias and confounding), and power.

Figure 29: Bar chart showing the results of the quality assessment for each study included on length of treatment for TB meningitis using the Downs and Black checklist.



#### Results

Table 29: Outcomes reported within the included studies for length of treatment for TB meningitis

|                            | Studies          | Primary           | outcomes             | Secondary outcomes  |                         |                    |                      |                  |                          | Adverse events     |                                      |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Duration of<br>Tx (months) |                  | Relapse           | Default              | All-cause<br>deaths | Deaths after<br>6 mo Tx | Successful<br>Tx   | Tx<br>failure        | Tx<br>completed  | Neurological<br>sequelae | Relating to<br>ATT | Leading to<br>discontinuation of ATT |
|                            | Jacobs 1992      | 0/45              | 0/45                 | 7/45                | NDD <sup>4</sup>        | 38/45              | NR                   | 38/45            | 11/45                    | NR                 | 0/45                                 |
|                            | Alarcón 1990     | 1/28              | NR                   | 9/28                | 1/28                    | 16/28              | 4/28                 | NR               | 3/28                     | 20/28              | 6/28                                 |
| 6                          | Biddulph 1990    | 1/43              | NDD <sup>2</sup>     | 5/43                | 0/43                    | NR                 | NR                   | NDD <sup>7</sup> | NR                       | NR <sup>8</sup>    | NR <sup>8</sup>                      |
|                            | Chotmongkol 1991 | 0/29              | 0/29                 | 4/29                | 0/29                    | 20/29              | Unclear <sup>6</sup> | 20/29            | 3/29                     | NR                 | 1/29                                 |
|                            | Chotmongkol 1996 | 0/59              | 0/59                 | 7/59                | 0/59                    | 52/59              | 0/59                 | 52/59            | 6/59                     | NR <sup>9</sup>    | NR                                   |
|                            | Donald 1998      | 1/95              | 0/95                 | 15/95               | 2/95                    | 64/95              | 0/95                 | 77/95            | 22/95                    | 23/95              | 5/95                                 |
|                            | Van Toorn 2014   | 0/184             | Unclear <sup>3</sup> | 15/184              | 8/184                   | 140/184            | 0/184                | 177/184          | 98/184                   | 51/184             | 17/184                               |
| 8                          | Doganay 1995     | 0/37              | 0/37                 | 5/37                | 0/37                    | 58/72 <sup>5</sup> | 1/37                 | 25/37            | 8/37                     | 6/37               | NR                                   |
| 9                          | Phuapradit 1987  | 0/28              | 0/28                 | 2/28                | 2/28                    | 23/28              | 0/28                 | 23/28            | 3/28                     | 21/28              | 1/28                                 |
|                            | Jacobs 1992      | 0/4               | 0/4                  | 2/4                 | NDD <sup>4</sup>        | 2/4                | NR                   | 2/4              | 2/4                      | NR                 | 0/4                                  |
| 12                         | Jacobs 1992      | 0/4               | 0/4                  | 2/4                 | NDD <sup>4</sup>        | 2/4                | NR                   | 2/4              | 2/4                      | NR                 | 0/4                                  |
| 12-16                      | Doganay 1995     | 2/35 <sup>1</sup> | 2/35 <sup>1</sup>    | 2/35                | 0/35                    | 58/72 <sup>5</sup> | 1/35                 | 30/35            | 10/35                    | 8/35               | NR                                   |

NDD: no disaggregated data; NR: not reported; Tx: treatment

<sup>1</sup>The two patients who presented relapse had interrupted treatment after three and five months of ATT and were therefore reported as defaulters.

<sup>2</sup> There were 145/639 defaulters among all participants with TB with no disaggregated data for TB meningitis.

<sup>3</sup> 3 patients were described to have poor adherence to treatment, with no further details to know whether they fulfil default definition.

<sup>4</sup> Data from Jacobs 1992 could not be disaggregated by time until death. There were 7 deaths in the 6-month group, 2 in the 9-month group and 2 in the 12-month group; the authors state that over 90% of deaths occurred within the first 3 months of treatment in this study.

<sup>5</sup> No disaggregated data on successful treatment between both arms in Doganay 195 study.

<sup>6</sup> Unclear whether 3 deaths from underlying disease were because of treatment failure.

<sup>7</sup> 373/639 TB cases had completed treatment and were available for follow-up.

<sup>8</sup> There were 15/639 TB cases with adverse events due to the ATT, with no disaggregated data for TBM. ATT was stopped and not restarted in 9 children and stopped but restarted in 5 children.

<sup>9</sup> Authors reported there were no complications secondary to prednisolone therapy such as gastrointestinal bleeding or hyperglycaemia. No other data were reported regarding other potential adverse effects related to ATT.

#### Table 30: Adverse events reported in studies included on length of treatment for TB meningitis

|                  | Duration of            | Adver  | se events |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|------------------------|--|-----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Studies          | Tx (months)            | Relating to<br>ATT Leading to<br>discontinuation<br>of ATT |           | Adverse events  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decency 1005     | 8                      | 6/37   | NR        | - Toxic hepatitis: 10 cases   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doganay 1995     | 12-16                  | 8/35   | NR        | - Nausea and vomiting: 2 cases<br>- Hearing loss: 2 cases   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 6                      | NR   | 0/45      |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jacobs 1992      | 9                      | NR   | 0/4       | NR  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 12                     | NR   | 0/4       |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alarcón 1990     | 6                      | 20/28  | 6/28      | <ul> <li>Elevation in hepatic enzymes/bilirubin: 11/28 (39.1%), leading to discontinuation of treatment with H/R and substitution with S for 3-7 days in 4/28.</li> <li>Hyperuricaemia leading to 3-day suspension of Z 2/28.</li> <li>Gastrointestinal symptoms 3/28</li> <li>Arthralgia 2/28</li> <li>Dizziness with nystagmus 1/28</li> <li>Rash 1/28</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biddulph 1990    | 6                      | NR   | NR        | No disaggregated data for TBM (15/639 TB cases had problems with the drugs prescribed).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chotmongkol 1991 | 6                      | NR   | 1/29      | - Severe hepatitis due to isoniazid. Treatment was continued with R and E for 18 months with full recovery.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chotmongkol 1996 | 6                      | NR   | NR        | No gastrointestinal bleeding or hyperglycaemia secondary to prednisolone therapy.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Donald 1998      | 6                      | 23/95  | 5/95      | Hepatotoxicity:<br>- Mild elevation of bilirubin: 10/95 leading to substitution of Eth by E for 2-3 weeks for 5 of them.<br>- Mild and transient elevation of ALT/AST, without treatment interruption: 13/95.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phuapradit 1987  | 9                      | 21/28  | 1/28      | - Erythema multiforme from pyrazinamide. All drugs were discontinued. After rashes healed, ATT was continued with HRS with full recovery.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Van Toorn 2014   | 6 (HIV -)<br>9 (HIV +) | 51/184   | 17/184    | <ul> <li>Anti-TB drug-induced hepatotoxicity (ADIH):</li> <li>*Grade 1 (mild) ALT 51–125 U/L: 18/184</li> <li>*Grade 2 (mild) ALT 126–250 U/L: 6/184</li> <li>*Grade 3 (moderate) ALT 251–500 U/L: 6/184</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |

| *Grade 4 (severe) ALT> 500 U/L: 2/184<br>In all ADIH cases from grade 2 severity, change to liver-friendly regimens resulted in normalization of liver<br>enzymes (medium duration 7 days, range 3–16 days) and the original regimen was restarted (stepwise)<br>without recurrence. |
|--|
| - Significant nausea and vomiting: 19/184<br>Eth was substituted with E in 3 cases, solving the problem. In the remaining 16 cases, administration of Eth<br>at night solved the problem.  |

#### Table 31: Summary table with main outcomes for length of treatment for TB meningitis

| Duration | Study            | Relapse           | Deaths | Deaths after 6 mo treatment |
|----------|------------------|-------------------|--------|-----------------------------|
|          | Jacobs 1992      | 0/45              | 7/45   | NR <sup>7</sup>             |
|          | Alarcón 1990     | 1/28              | 9/28   | 1/28                        |
| 6        | Biddulph 1990    | 1/43              | 5/43   | 0/43                        |
|          | Chotmongkol 1991 | 0/29              | 4/29   | 0/29                        |
|          | Chotmongkol 1996 | 0/59              | 7/59   | 0/59                        |
|          | Donald 1998      | 1/95              | 15/95  | 2/95                        |
|          | Van Toorn 2014   | 0/184             | 15/184 | 8/184                       |
| 8        | Doganay 1995     | 0/37              | 5/37   | 0/37                        |
| 9        | Phuapradit 1987  | 0/28              | 2/28   | 2/28                        |
|          | Jacobs 1992      | 0/4               | 2/4    | NR <sup>7</sup>             |
| 12       | Jacobs 1992      | 0/4               | 2/4    | NR <sup>7</sup>             |
| 12-16    | Doganay 1995     | 2/35 <sup>1</sup> | 2/35   | 0/35                        |

#### Table 32: Summary of findings on length of treatment for TB meningitis

Is anti-tubercular treatment for TBM with 9 months or less inferior to treatment for 12 months or more?

Patient or population: Adults and children with tuberculous meningitis

Settings: Hospitals

Intervention: ATT for 9 months or less

Comparison: ATT for 12 months or more

|                  | Quality assessment                 |                                      |                             |                            |                                     | No of patients       |  | Effect  |                      | Quality       | Importance |           |
|------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|----------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|
| No of<br>studies | Design                             | Risk of bias                         | Inconsistency               | Indirectness               | Imprecision                         | Other considerations | 9 mo or<br>less                                    | 12 mo+  | Relative<br>(95% Cl) | Absolute      |            |           |
| Relapse          | telapse                            |                                      |                             |                            |                                     |                      |  |   |                      |               |            |           |
| 9                | Single<br>arm<br>cohort            | Serious risk<br>of bias <sup>1</sup> | No serious<br>inconsistency | No serious<br>indirectness | Serious<br>imprecision <sup>2</sup> | None                 | 3 relapses<br>in 9 studies<br>with 552<br>patients | 2 relapses in<br>2 studies<br>with 39<br>patients | Not evaluable        | Not evaluable | Very low   | Critical  |
| Deaths a         | Deaths after 6 months of treatment |                                      |                             |                            |                                     |                      |  |   |                      |               |            |           |
| 8                | Single<br>arm<br>cohort            | Serious risk<br>of bias <sup>1</sup> | No serious<br>inconsistency | No serious<br>indirectness | Serious<br>imprecision <sup>2</sup> | None                 | 13 deaths<br>in 8 studies<br>with 503              |   | Not evaluable        | Not evaluable | Very low   | Important |

<sup>1</sup> Prospective single arm studies, and no large effects evident. So downgrading by 2 remains in place.

<sup>2</sup> Downgraded by 1 for imprecision: underpowered with few event

#### **Guideline Panel's Judgement**

Desirable

#### Question: What duration of treatment should be used to treat TB meningitis?

#### Balance of desirable and undesirable effects

# Low numbers of relapses – current understanding is that longer regimens are associated with lower risk of relapse

Good cure rates – the best regimen would be associated with the best rates of cure in terms of low mortality, high treatment completion and low relapse rate

#### Overall quality of evidence across all critical outcomes

High Moderate Low Very low

Х

#### Values and preference statement

TB meningitis is a serious life-threatening disease and treatment should be adequate to prevent relapse as this is a critical outcome.

#### **Draft recommendation**

TB meningitis should be treated with standard first-line ATT for at least 9 months.

#### Strength of recommendation

| For intervention |             | No             | Against inter | vention |
|------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|---------|
|                  |             | recommendation |               |         |
| Strong           | Conditional |                | Conditional   | Strong  |
|                  |             |                |               |         |

Х

#### Undesirable

Longer ATT regimens are associated with poor compliance

Longer regimens expose patients to increased risk of adverse effects of ATT

- The group recognised that there was very low quality evidence for using one treatment regimen over another.
- There was variation in practice between clinicians some treat for 9 months, some for 12 or 18 months. The RNTCP recommendation is for 9 months treatment for adults and 12 months treatment for children.
- The neurologists present stated that there was clinical equipoise over this question.
- The key factors dictating mortality may be early treatment and use of steroids, and the role of duration of treatment is unclear.
- Extension of treatment is sometimes indicated, and this should be assessed by the treating clinician on a case-by-case basis.
- There was some disagreement about the optimum duration of treatment, and the recommendation made was the best consensus possible.

# References

Ablanedo-Terrazas Y, A.-d. I.-J.-C.-T. (2014). Xpert MTB/RIF for diagnosis of tuberculous cervical lymphadenitis in HIV-infected patients. *Laryngoscope, 124* (6), 1382-1385.

Alarcón F, E. L. (1990). Tuberculous meningitis. Short course of chemotherapy. *Archives of Neurology*, 47 (12), 1313-1317.

Ayed AK, B. N. (2001). Diagnosis and treatment of isolated tuberculous mediastinal lymphadenopathy in adults. *European Journal of Surgery*, *167*, 334-338.

Bang JS, K. M. (1997). Evaluation of steroid therapy in tuberculous pleurisy - A prospective, randomized study. *Tuberculosis and Respiratory Disease*, *44* (1), 52-58.

Batungwanayo J, T. H. (1993). Pleural effusion, tuberculosis and HIV-1 infection in Kigali, Rwanda. *AIDS*, 7 (1), 73-79.

Biadglegne F, M. A. (2014). Diagnostic performance of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay for tuberculous lymphadenitis on fine needle aspirates from Ethiopia. *Tuberculosis*, *94*, 502-505.

Biddulph, J. (1990). Short course chemotherapy for childhood tuberculosis. *Pediatric Infectious Diseases Journal*, *9* (11), 794-801.

Blakemore R, S. E. (2010). Evaluation of the analytical performance of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 48 (7), 2495-2501.

British Thoracic Society Research Committee. (1985). Short course chemotherapy for tuberculosis of lymph nodes: a controlled trial. *British Medical Journal, 290,* 1106-1108.

British Thoracic Society Research Committee. (1992). Six-months versus nine-months chemotherapy for tuberculosis of lymph nodes: preliminary results. *Respiratory Medicine*, *86*, 15-19.

Campbell IA, O. L. (1993). Six months versus nine months chemotherapy for tuberculosis of lymph nodes: final results. *Respiratory Medicine*, *87*, 621-623.

Cheung WL, S. K. (1990). Six-month combination chemotherapy for cervical tuberculous lymphadenitis. *Journal of the Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh*, *35* (5), 293-295.

Chotmongkol V, J. S. (1996). Corticosteroid in tuberculous meningitis. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand*, *79* (2), 83-90.

Chotmongkol V, J. S. (1996). Corticosteroid in tuberculous meningitis. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand*, 79 (2), 83-90.

Chotmongkol, V. (1991). Treatment of tuberculous meningitis with 6-month course of chemotherapy. *The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*, *22* (3), 372-374.

Coetzee L, N. M. (2014). Rapid diagnosis of pediatric mycobacterial lymphadenitis using fine needle aspiration biopsy. *Pediatric Infectious Diseases Journal*, *33*, 893-896.

Denkinger CM, S. S. (2014). Xpert MTB/RIF assay for the diagnosis of extrapulmonary tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Respiratory Journal*, 44 (2), 435-446.

Doganay M, C. S. (1995). Treatment of tuberculous meningitis in Turkey. *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 27, 135-138.

Donald PR, S. J. (1998). Intensive short course chemotherapy in the management of tuberculous meningitis. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, *2* (9), 704-711.

Donald, P. (2010). The chemotherapy of tuberculosis meningitis in children and adults. *Tuberculosis*, *90* (6), 375-392.

Doosoo, J. (2014). Tuberculous Pleurisy: An Update. *Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases, 76*, 153-159.

Downs SH, B. N. (1998). The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, *52*, 377-384.

Du J, H. Z. (2015). Rapid diagnosis of pleural tuberculosis by Xpert MTB/RIF assay using pleural biopsy and pleural fluid specimens. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 20 (1), 26-31.

Elliott AM, L. H. (2004). A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of the use of prednisolone as an adjunct to treatment in HIV-1-associated pleural tuberculosis. *Journal of Infectious Diseases, 190* (5), 869-878.

Frye MD, P. C. (1997). Tuberculous pleurisy is more common in AIDS than in non-AIDS patients with tuberculosis. *Chest*, *112* (2), 393-397.

Galarza I, C. C. (1995). Randomised trial of corticosteroids in the treatment of tuberculous pleurisy. *Thorax*, *50* (12), 1305-1307.

Girgis NI, F. Z. (1983). The use of dexamethasone in preventing ocular complications in tuberculous meningitis. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 77 (5), 658-659.

Guyatt, G. O. (2011). GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, *64*(4), 383-94.

Hakim J, T. I. (2000). Double blind randomised placebo controlled trial of adjuvant prednisolone in the treatment of effusive tuberculous pericarditis in HIV seropositive patients. *Heart, 84,* 183-188.

Higgins JPT, A. D. (2011). Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in included studies. In G. S. Higgins JPT, *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0.* The Cochrane Collaboration.

Jacobs RF, S. P. (1992). Intensive short course chemotherapy for tuberculous meningitis. *Pediatric Infectious Diseases*, *11* (3), 194-198.

Jawahar MS, R. K. (2005). Treatment of lymph node tuberculosis – a randomized clinical trial of two 6-month regimens. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, *10* (11), 1090-1098.

Jawahar MS, S. S. (1990). Short course chemotherapy for tuberculous lymphadenitis in children. *British Medical Journal*, *301*, 359-362.

Kim HJ, L. H. (2006). The prevalence of pulmonary parenchymal tuberculosis in patients with tuberculous pleuritis. *Chest*, *129* (5), 1253-1258.

Kim YW, K. N.-W.-C.-G.-J. (2015). Accuracy of the XpertW MTB/RIF assay for the diagnosis of extrapulmonary tuberculosis in South Korea. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, *19* (1), 81-86.

Kumarvelu S, P. K. (1994). Randomized controlled trial of dexamethasone in tuberculous meningitis. *Tubercle and Lung Disease*, *75* (3), 203-207.

Lardizabal DV, R. A. (1998). Dexamethasone as adjunctive therapy in adult patients with probable TB meningitis stage II and stage III: an open randomised controlled trial. *Philippines Journal of Neurology*, *4*, 4-10.

Lee BH, J. H. (1999). Therapeutic effect of prednisolone in tuberculous pleurisy - A prospective study for the prevention of the pleural adhesion. *Tuberculosis and Respiratory Disease*, *46* (4), 481-488.

Lee CH, W. W. (1988). Corticosteroids in the treatment of tuberculous pleurisy. A double-blind, placebocontrolled, randomized study. *Chest*, *94* (6), 1256-1259.

Lefebvre C, M. E. (2011). Chapter 6: Searching for Studies. In G. S. Higgins JPT, *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 5.0.1*. The Cochrane Collaboration.

Light, R. (2010). Update on tuberculous pleural effusion. Respirology, 15 (3), 451-458.

Lusiba JK, N. L.-K. (2014). Evaluation of Cepheid's Xpert MTB/Rif test on pleural fluid in the diagnosis of pleural tuberculosis in a high prevalence HIV/TB setting. *PLoS One*, *9* (7), e102702.

Makharia GK, G. U. (2015). Intermittent Directly Observed Therapy for Abdominal Tuberculosis: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing 6 Months Versus 9 Months of Therapy. *Clinical Infectious Diseases, 61* (5), 750-757.

Malhotra HS, G. R. (2009). Corticosteroids (dexamethasone versus intravenous methylprednisolone) in patients with tuberculous meningitis. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology*, *103* (7), 625-634.

Maynard-Smith L, L. N. (2014). Diagnostic accuracy of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay for extrapulmonary and pulmonary tuberculosis when testing non-respiratory samples: a systematic review. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, *14*, 709.

Mayosi BM, N. M. (2014). Prednisolone and Mycobacterium indicus pranii in tuberculous pericarditis. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *371*, 1121-1130.

Meldau R, P. J.-S. (2014). Comparison of same day diagnostic tools including Gene Xpert and unstimulated IFN-γ for the evaluation of pleural tuberculosis: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*, *14*, 58.

Morehead, R. (1998). Tuberculosis of the pleura. Southern Medical Journal, 91 (7), 630-636.

Nhu NT, H. D. (2014). Evaluation of GeneXpert MTB/RIF for diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *52* (1), 226-233.

O'Toole RD, T. G. (1969). Dexamethasone in tuberculous meningitis. Relationship of cerebrospinal fluid effects to therapeutic efficacy. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *70* (1), 39-47.

Park SH, Y. S. (2009). Prospective randomized trial of six-month versus nine-month therapy for intestinal tuberculosis. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, *53* (10), 4167-4171.

Patel VB, C. C. (2014). Comparison of amplicor and GeneXpert MTB/RIF tests for diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *52* (10), 3777-3780.

Penz E, B. J. (2015). Diagnostic accuracy of the XpertW MTB/RIF assay for extrapulmonary tuberculosis: a meta-analysis. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, *19* (3), 278-284.

Phuapradit P, V. A. (1987). Treatment of tuberculous meningitis: role of short-course chemotherapy. *Quarterly Journal of Medicine*, *62* (239), 249-258.

Prasad, K. (2006). A randomized controlled trial to study the effectiveness of dexamethasone as an adjunct to standard antituberculous treatment in patients with clinically presumed tuberculous meningitis: 10-year follow up study. *Unpublished study*, NA.

Prasad K, Singh MB, Ryan H. (2016) Corticosteroids for managing tuberculous meningitis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2016, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD002244. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002244.pub4.

Queipo JA, B. E.-P.-C. (2003). Mycobacterial infection in a series of 1261 renal transplant recipients. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, *9* (6), 518-525.

Reuter H, B. L. (2006). Experience with adjunctive corticosteroids in managing tuberculous pericarditis. *Cardiovascular Journal of South Africa*, *17*, 233-238.

Review Manager 5. (2014). Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre: The Cochrane Collaboration.

Rossi GA, B. B. (1987). Tuberculous pleural effusions. Evidence for selective presence of PPD-specific Tlymphocytes at site of inflammation in the early phase of the infection. *American Review of Respiratory Disease*, *136* (3), 575-579.

Ryan, H., Yoo, J., Darsini, P. Corticosteroids for TB pleurisy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, publication expected 2016.

Schoeman JF, V. Z. (1997). Effect of corticosteroids on intracranial pressure, computed tomographic findings, and clinical outcome in young children with tuberculous meningitis. *Pediatrics*, *99* (2), 226-231.

Schrire, V. (1959). Experience with pericarditis at Groote Schuur Hospital, Cape Town: An analysis of one hundred and sixty cases over a six-year period. *South African Medical Journal*, *33*, 810-817.

Shea BJ, G. J. (2007). Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *7*, 10.

Shu CC, W. J.-T.-Y.-N.-J. (2011). In-hospital outcome of patients with culture-confirmed tuberculous pleurisy: clinical impact of pulmonary involvement. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, *11*, 46.

Solomons RS, V. D. (2015). Improved diagnosis of childhood tuberculous meningitis using more than one nucleic acid amplification test. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, *19* (1), 74-80.

Steingart KR, S. I. (2014). Xpert<sup>®</sup> MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, *1* (CD009593).

Strang JIG, K. H. (1988). Controlled clinical trial of complete open surgical drainage and of prednisolone in treatment of tuberculous pericardial effusion in Transkei. *Lancet*, *2*, 759-764.

Strang JIG, K. H. (1987). Controlled trial of prednisolone as adjuvant in treatment of tuberculous constrictive pericarditis. *Lancet*, *ii*, 1418-1422.

The Nordic Cochrane Cenre. (2014). *Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 5.3*. (T. N. Cenre, Producer, & The Cochrane Collaboration)

Thwaites GE, N. D. (2004). Dexamethasone for the treatment of tuberculous meningitis in adolescents and adults. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *351* (17), 1741-1751.

Tony J, S. K. (2008). Randomized controlled trial of DOTS versus conventional regime for ileocecal and colonic tuberculosis. *Indian Journal of Gastroenterology*, *27* (1), 19-21.

Trajman A, d. S. (2014). Accuracy of polimerase chain reaction for the diagnosis of pleural tuberculosis. *Respiratory Medicine*, *108* (6), 918-923.

Valdés L, A. D.-P. (1998). Tuberculous pleurisy: a study of 254 patients. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *158* (18), 2017-2021.

van Loenhout-Rooyackers JH, K. A. (2001). Tuberculosis meningitis: is a 6-month treatment regimen sufficient? *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, *5*, 1028-1035.

Van Rie A, P.-S. L. (2013). Diagnostic accuracy and effectiveness of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay for the diagnosis of HIV-associated lymph node tuberculosis. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Disease*, *32*, 1409-1415.

van Toorn R, S. H. (2014). Short intensified treatment in children with drug-susceptible tuberculous meningitis. *Pediatric Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *33* (3), 248-252.

WHO. (2010). Treatment of tuberculosis guidelines. (4th ed.). The World Health Organisation.

Wiysonge CS, N. M. (2008). Contemporary use of adjunctive corticosteroids in tuberculous pericarditis. *International Journal of Cardiology*, *124*, 388-390.

Wiysonge CS, Ntsekhe M, Thabane L, Volmink J, Majombozi D, Gumedze F, Pandie S, Mayosi BM. Interventions for treating tuberculous pericarditis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, publication expected 2016.

Wyser C, W. G. (1996). Corticosteroids in the treatment of tuberculous pleurisy. A double-blind, placebocontrolled, randomized study. *Chest*, *110* (2), 333-338.

Yuen AP, W. S. (1997). Prospective randomized study of thrice weekly six-month and nine-month chemotherapy for cervical tuberculous lymphadenopathy. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 116 (2), 189-192.

# **Excluded Studies**

The tables below list all the studies excluded after full text screening for each of the reviews conducted or updated to produce the evidence summaries.

The principle evidence summary for the recommendations on the use of Xpert MTB/RIF was based on a systematic review published in 2014, the search for which was performed in September 2013. The 238 excluded studies for this review are not listed in the published article (Denkinger, 2014). We updated the literature search from October 2013 to April 2015, and screened the search results. Tables 32 to 34 below list the studies we excluded after abstract and full text review, with reasons for exclusion.

| Study              | Reason for exclusion   |
|--------------------|--|
| (Biadglegne, 2013) | Xpert only used for culture negative specimens   |
| (Blaich, 2014)     | Participants not described, not possible to disaggregate data by specimen type.                                  |
| (Dhasmana, 2014)   | Not possible to extract TP FP TN FN. Sensitivity and specificity estimates based on selected subset of patients. |
| (Iram, 2015)       | No disaggregated data for LN specimens   |
| (Kwak, 2015)       | Duplicate data from Kim 2015.  |
| (Ozkutuk, 2014)    | In Turkish   |
| (Scott, 2014)      | Not possible to extract TP FP TN FN.   |
| (Sharma, 2014)     | Not possible to extract TP FP TN FN.   |
| (Razack, 2014)     | Did not use Xpert MTB/RIF  |
| (Salvador, 2015)   | Not possible to extract TP FP TN FN.   |
| (Zmak, 2013)       | No disaggregated data for LN specimens   |

#### Table 33. Excluded studies for Xpert MTB/RIF for LNTB (October 2013 to April 2015)

## Table 34. Excluded studies for Xpert MTB/RIF for TBM (October 2013 to April 2015)

| Study               | Reason for exclusion   |
|---------------------|--|
| (Iram, 2015)        | No disaggregated data for specimens by tissue type                                     |
| (Ozkutuk, 2014)     | In Turkish   |
| (Scott, 2014)       | Not possible to extract TP FP TN FN.   |
| (Sharma, 2014)      | Not possible to extract TP FP TN FN.   |
| (Patel, 2013)       | Comparator is culture or Amplicor, not possible to disaggregate data for culture alone |
| (Rajasingham, 2015) | Xpert not compared against culture/CRS   |

#### Table 35. Excluded studies for Xpert MTB/RIF for pleural TB (October 2013 to April 2015)

| Study               | Reason for exclusion                               |
|---------------------|--|
| (Iram, 2015)        | No disaggregated data for specimens by tissue type |
| (Ozkutuk, 2014)     | In Turkish   |
| (Scott, 2014)       | Not possible to extract TP FP TN FN.               |
| (Sharma, 2014)      | Not possible to extract TP FP TN FN.               |
| (Kim, 2015)         | Data not disaggregated for pleural specimens       |
| (Christopher, 2013) | Compared with CRS only                             |
| (Coleman, 2015)     | Not possible to extract TP FP TN FN                |
| (Zmak, 2013)        | No disaggregated data for pleural specimens        |

#### Table 36. Excluded studies for steroids to treat TB meningitis

| Study ID                           | Reason for exclusion   |
|------------------------------------|--|
| (Donald, 2004)                     | Perspective article with no original data.   |
| (Escobar, 1975)<br>(Frieman, 1970) | Not a randomized study. The report says that a pair of participants<br>matched for age and neurological status was administered differential<br>therapy in a double-blind fashion. However, it is unclear if this<br>differential administration was random.<br>Case series. |
|                                    |  |
| (Girgis, 1983)<br>(Hockaday, 1966) | Participants allocated to steroid or non-steroid group on alternate<br>basis; unclear why there is a difference of 4 in the number of<br>participants in the 2 groups (non-steroid 70 and steroid 66).<br>Case series.   |
| (Kalita, 2001)                     | Study with historical controls, not a randomized study.  |
| (Kapur, 1969)                      | Case series.   |
| (Karak, 1998)                      | Commentary on an included trial (Schoeman 1997).   |
| (Lepper, 1963)                     | Allocation was not truly randomized: the first half of the study was an alternate participant design, whereas in the last half, participants were randomized by using random numbers.  |
| (Marras, 2005)                     | Letter to the editor with no original data.  |
| (Quagliarello, 2004)               | Editorial.   |
| (Seligman, 2005)                   | Letter to the editor with no original data.  |
| (Shah, 2014)                       | RCT comparing three different doses of prednisolone; no placebo  |
| (Vagenakis, 2005)                  | arm.<br>Letter to the editor with no original data.  |
| (Volijavec, 1960)                  | Comparison cohort with historical controls.  |
| (Wosz-Höckert,                     | Control trial using historical controls.   |
| 1963)<br>(Weiss, 1965)             | Retrospective case series of 102 cases.  |

#### Table 37. Excluded studies for steroids to treat TB pericarditis

| Study            | Reason for exclusion   |
|------------------|--|
| (Wiysonge, 2008) | The study is a cross-sectional analysis of the contemporary use of<br>adjunctive steroids in the management of patients with tuberculous<br>pericarditis in Africa. Despite being observational in nature, this<br>study is indexed in electronic databases as a controlled trial. |

#### Table 38. Excluded studies for steroids to treat TB pleurisy

| Study ID               | Reason for exclusion   |
|------------------------|--|
| (Aspin, 1958)          | No randomization   |
| (Bilaceroglu, 1999)    | Participants did not have pleurisy - cases of pulmonary tuberculosis |
| (Cherednikova,         | Case series  |
| 1973)                  |  |
| (Cisneros, 1996)       | Review   |
| (Damany, 1968)         | Numbers of participants in each arm not clearly stated               |
| (Filler, 1963)         | No randomization   |
| (Fleishman, 1960)      | Diagnosis of tuberculosis not confirmed                              |
| (Grewal, 1969)         | No randomization   |
| (Khomenko, 1990)       | Participants did not have pleurisy - cases of pulmonary tuberculosis |
| (Manresa, 1997)        | Letter referring to included trial (Galarza 1995)                    |
| (Mansour, 2006)        | No randomization   |
| (Mathur, 1960)         | No randomization   |
| (Mathur <i>,</i> 1965) | No randomization   |
| (Mayanja-Kizza,        | Participants did not have pleurisy - cases of pulmonary tuberculosis |
| 2005)                  |  |
| (Menon, 1964)          | No randomization   |
| (Pacheco, 1973)        | Compared prednisolone to another steroid (cortivazol)                |
| (Paley, 1959)          | No randomization   |
| (Porsio, 1966)         | Participants did not have pleurisy - cases of pulmonary tuberculosis |
| (Singh, 1965)          | No randomization   |
| (Starostenko, 1989)    | No randomization   |
| (Tani, 1964)           | No randomization   |
| (Tanzj, 1965)          | No randomization   |

#### Table 39. Excluded studies for comparison for duration of treatment for LNTB

| Study ID      | Reason for exclusion                                       |
|---------------|--|
| BTS 1985      | Longer duration of therapy in both arms                    |
| Jawahar 2001  | Single arm cohort  |
| Jindal 2013   | Outcomes reported different from intended                  |
| McCarthy 2000 | Single arm cohort  |
| Cheug WL 1990 | Single arm cohort  |
| Kumar 1990    | Compared only twice weekly vs daily arms for 6 months each |
| Ayed 2001     | Single arm cohort  |
| Jacob 2001    | Single arm retrospective cohort                            |

#### Table 40. Excluded studies for duration of treatment for abdominal TB

| Study ID                   | Reason for exclusion                          |
|----------------------------|---|
| (Balasubramanian,<br>1997) | Comparison regimen did not include rifampicin |

#### Table 41. Excluded studies for duration of treatment for TBM

| Study               | Reason for exclusion  |
|---------------------|---|
| Comparative studies |   |
| Acharya 1985        | No primary outcome measure reported   |
| Alvarez-Uria 2013   | Retrospective cohort study  |
| Anastasatu 1993     | Unable to retrieve full text  |
| Sunakorn 1980       | Case series   |
| Single arm studies  |   |
| Chandra 1976        | Unclear duration of treatment and no primary outcome measure reported                   |
| Chotmongkol 1991    | Unable to retrieve full text  |
| Crevel 2012         | Duplicated study (data referring to Ruslami 2013)                                       |
| Dutt 1986           | Retrospective cohort study  |
| Ellard 1993         | Retrospective study   |
| Elliott 1995        | Pulmonary TB and extra-pulmonary TB patients, no disaggregated data for TBM patients    |
| Escobar 1975        | Intervention: ATT regimen does not include rifampicin and unclear duration of treatment |
| Ghosh 1971          | Intervention: ATT regimen does not include rifampicin                                   |
| Girgis 1991         | Intervention: ATT regimen longer than nine months and does not include rifampicin       |
| Girgis 1976         | Intervention: ATT regimen longer than nine months and does not include rifampicin       |
| Kalita 2014         | Unclear duration of treatment. No primary outcome measure reported.                     |
| Kalita 2001         | Unclear duration of treatment. No primary outcome measure reported.                     |
| Karak 1998          | Duplicated study (data referring to Schoeman 1997)                                      |
| Kumarvelu 1994      | No primary outcome measure reported   |
| Lardizabal 1998     | Unable to retrieve full text  |
| Malhotra 2009       | Unclear duration of treatment and no primary outcome measure reported                   |

| Misra 2010            | Intervention: ATT regimen longer than nine months                  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| O'Toole 1969          | Intervention: ATT regimen does not include rifampicin              |  |
| Phuapradit 1987       | Unable to retrieve full text                                       |  |
| Rahajoe 1979          | Intervention: ATT regimen longer than nine months                  |  |
| Ramachandran 1986     | Intervention: ATT regimen longer than nine months                  |  |
| Ruslami 2013          | No primary outcome measure reported                                |  |
| Schoeman 2011         | No primary outcome measure reported                                |  |
| Schoeman 1997         | No primary outcome measure reported                                |  |
| Shah 2014             | Intervention: ATT regimen longer than nine months                  |  |
| te Brake 2015         | No primary outcome measure reported                                |  |
| Thwaites 2004         | No primary outcome measure reported                                |  |
| Thwaites 2011         | No primary outcome measure reported                                |  |
| Torok 2008            | No primary outcome measure reported                                |  |
| Torok & Bich Yen 2011 | No primary outcome measure reported                                |  |
| Torok & Duc Bang 2011 | No primary outcome reported  |  |
| Other                 |  |  |
| Chau 2000             | Review article   |  |
| Girgis 1978           | Intervention: ATT regimen longer than nine months                  |  |
| Jubelt 2006           | Duplicated study (data referring to Thwaites 2004)                 |  |
| Karande 2005          | Duration of ATT not mentioned, no primary outcome measure reported |  |
| Marras 2005           | Letter to the editor   |  |
| Thwaites 2007         | Duplicated study (data referring to Thwaites 2004)                 |  |
| Xu 1998               | Unable to retrieve full text                                       |  |

## **References to Excluded Studies**

- Aspin, J. O. (1958). Steroid-treated tuberculous pleural effusions. *British Journal of Tuberculosis and Diseases of the Chest*, *52*(1), 81-3.
- Balasubramanian, R. N. (1997). Randomised controlled clinical trial of short course chemotherapy in abdominal tuberculosis: a five-year report. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, 1(1), 44-51.
- Biadglegne, F. T. (2013, Dec 9). Tuberculous lymphadenitis in Northern Ethiopia: in a public health and microbiological perspectives. *PLoS One, 8*(12), e81918. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081918.
- Bilaceroglu, S. P. (1999). Prednisolone: a beneficial and safe adjunct to antituberculosis treatment?A randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, 3*(1), 47-54.
- Blaich, A. F. (2014, Feb). Performance of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay on nonrespiratory specimens and accuracy of this assay for detection of rifampin resistance in a low-prevalence setting. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *52*(2), 706.
- Cherednikova, G. (1973). Immediate and late results of treatment with corticosteroid hormones of children with tuberculosis [Blizhaishie i otdalennye rezul'taty lecheniia kortikosteroidnymi gormonami detei, bol'nykh tuberkulezom]. *Problemy Tuberkuleza, 51*(12), 46-9.
- Christopher, D. J. (2013). Performance of Xpert MTB/RIF on pleural tissue for the diagnosis of pleural tuberculosis. *European Respiratory Journal*, 42(5), 1427-9.
- Cisneros, J. M. (1996). Corticosteroids in tuberculosis. Annals of Pharmacotherapy, 30(11), 1298-303.
- Coleman, M. F. (2015). Markers to differentiate between Kaposi's sarcoma and tuberculous pleural effusions in HIV-positive patients. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, 19*(2), 144-50.
- Damany, S. S. (1968). Treatment of pleural effusion with and without triamcinolone in addition to usual antituberculosis chemotherapy. *Journal of the Indian Medical Association*, *51*(8), 391-3.
- Dhasmana, D. R. (2014, Mar). Performance of Xpert MTB/RIF in the diagnosis of tuberculous mediastinal lymphadenopathy by endobronchial ultrasound. *Annals of the Americal Thoracic Society*, *11*(3), 392-6.
- Donald, P. S. (2004). Tuberculous meningitis. New England Journal of Medicine, 351(17), 1719-20.
- Escobar, J. A. (1975). Mortality from tuberculous meningitis reduced by steroid therapy. *Pediatrics, 56*(6), 1050-5.
- Filler, J. P. (1963). Physiologic studies of the sequelae of tuberculous pleural effusion in children treated with antimicrobial drugs and prednisone. *American Review of Respiratory Disease, 88*, 181-8.
- Fleishman, S. C. (1960). Antituberculous therapy combined with adrenal steroids in the treatment of pleural effusions: a controlled therapeutic trial. *Lancet*, 23(1), 199-201.
- Frieman, I. G. (1970). Evaluation of intrathecal therapy with streptomycin and hydrocortisone in tuberculous meningitis. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 76(6), 895-901.
- Girgis, N. F. (1983). The use of dexamethasone in preventing ocular complications in tuberculous meningitis. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 77(5), 658-9.
- Grewal, K. D. (1969). A comparative study of therapeutic regimens with and without corticosteroids in the treatment of tuberculous pleural effusion. *Journal of the Indian Medical Association, 52*(11), 514-6.
- Hockaday, J. S. (1966). Corticosteroids as an adjuvant to the chemotherapy of tuberculous meningitis. *Tubercle, 47*(1), 75-91.
- Iram, S. Z. (2015, Jan-Feb). Rapid diagnosis of tuberculosis using Xpert MTB/RIF assay Report from a developing country. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*, *31*(1), 105-10.

- Kalita, J. M. (2001). Effect of methyl prednisolone on sensory motor functions in tuberculous meningitis. *Neurology India, 49*(3), 267-71.
- Kapur, S. (1969). Evaluation of treatment of tuberculous meningitis since the use of steroids as an adjuvant. *Indian Pediatrics, 6*(3), 166-71.
- Karak, B. G. (1998). Corticosteroids in tuberculous meningitis. Indian Pediatrics, 35(2), 193-4.
- Khomenko, I. C. (1990). Effectiveness of antitubercular chemotherapy combined with corticosteroids and immunomodulators [Effektivnost' protivotuberkuleznoi khimioterapii v sochetanii s kortikosteroidami i immunomoduliatorami]. *Problemy Tuberkuleza, 1*, 24-8.
- Kim, Y. W. (2015). Accuracy of the Xpert(R) MTB/RIF assay for the diagnosis of extra-pulmonary tuberculosis in South Korea. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, 19*(1), 81-6.
- Kwak, N. S. (2015). Accuracy of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay for the diagnosis of extrapulmonary tuberculosis in South Korea. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, 19(1), 81-6.
- Lepper, M. S. (1963). The present status of the treatment of tuberculosis of the central nervous system. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 106, 106-23.
- Manresa, F. G. (1997). Using corticosteroids to treat tuberculous pleurisy. Chest, 112(1), 291-2.
- Mansour, A. A.-R. (2006). Adjunct therapy with corticosteroids or paracentesis for treatment of tuberculous pleural effusion. *Eastern Mediterreanean Health Journal, 12*(5), 504-8.
- Marras, T. (2005). Dexamethasone for tuberculous meningitis. *New England Journal of Medicine, 352*(6), 628-30.
- Mathur, K. M. (1965). Treatment of tuberculosis pleural effusion with local instillation of hydrocortisone. *Diseases of the Chest, 47*, 303-9.
- Mathur, K. P. (1960). Intrapleural hydrocortisone in tuberculous pleural effusion. *Tubercle, 41*, 358-62.
- Mayanja-Kizza, H. J.-L.-C. (2005). Immunoadjuvant prednisolone therapy for HIV-associated tuberculosis: a phase 2 clinical trial in Uganda. *Journal of Infectious Diseases, 191*(6), 856-65.
- Menon, N. (1964). Steroid therapy in tuberculous effusion. Tubercle , 45, 17-20.
- Ozkutuk, N. S. (2014). Evaluation of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay for the diagnosis of pulmonary and extrapulmonary tuberculosis in an intermediate-prevalence setting. *Mikrobiyol Bul, 48*(2), 223-32.
- Pacheco, C. V.-O. (1973). Clinical study of a new synthetic steroid in the treatment of pleural tuberculosis [Estudio clinico de un nuevo esteroide de sintesis en el tratamiento de la pleuresia tuberculosa]. *Gaceta Medica de Mexico*, 106(3), 249-55.
- Paley, S. M. (1959). Prednisolone in the treatment of tuberculous pleural effusions. *American Review of Tuberculosis, 79*, 307-14.
- Patel, V. B. (2013). Diagnostic accuracy of quantitative PCR (Xpert MTB/RIF) for tuberculous meningitis in a high burden setting: a prospective study. *PLoS Medicine*, *10*(10), e1001536.
- Porsio, A. B. (1966). Controlled clinical trials of the use of a new anabolic agent in a Sanatorium. *La Clinica Terapeutica*, *37*(6), 502-18.
- Quagliarello, V. (2004). Adjunctive steroids for tuberculous meningitis more evidence, more questions. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 351(17), 1792-4.
- Rajasingham, R. R. (2015). Epidemiology of meningitis in an HIV-infected Ugandan cohort. *American Journal* of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 92(2), 274-9.
- Razack, R. L. (2014). Diagnostic yield of fine needle aspiration biopsy in HIV-infected adults with suspected mycobacterial lymphadenitis. *South African Medical Journal, 104*(1), 27-8.
- Salvador, F. L.-A.-M. (2015). Epidemiology and diagnosis of tuberculous lymphadenitis in a tuberculosis lowburden country. *Medicine (Baltimore), 94*(4), e509.

- Scott, L. E. (2014). Diagnostic accuracy of Xpert MTB/RIF for extrapulmonary tuberculosis specimens: establishing a laboratory testing algorithm for South Africa. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 52*(6), 1818-23.
- Seligman, S. (2005). Dexamethasone for tuberculous meningitis. *New England Journal of Medicine, 352*(6), 628-30.
- Shah, I. M. (2014). High dose versus low dose steroids in children with tuberculous meningitis. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience*, *21*(5), 761-4.
- Sharma, S. K. (2014). Evaluation of Xpert MTB/RIF assay performance in diagnosing extrapulmonary tuberculosis among adults in a tertiary care centre in India. *European Respiratory Journal, 44*(4), 1090-3.
- Singh, D. Y. (1965). Role of intrapleural corticosteroids in tuberculous pleural effusion: a clinicotherapeutic trial of 50 cases. *Journal of the Indian Medical Association, 45*(6), 306-9.
- Starostenko, E. N. (1989). Indications for the use of prednisolone in tuberculosis. *Problemy Tuberkuleza*, 1, 44-7.
- Tani, P. P. (1964). Cortisone therapy for exudative tuberculous pleurisy in the light of a follow-up study. *Acta Tuberculosea Scandinavica, 44*, 303-9.
- Tanzj, P. A. (1965). On therapeutic use of corticosteroids in pleuro-pulmonary tuberculosis. *Archivio di Tisiologia e delle Malattie dell'Apparato Respiratorio, 20*(5), 331-57.
- Vagenakis, A. K. (2005). Dexamethasone for tuberculous meningitis. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 352(6), 628-30.
- Volijavec, B. C. (1960). The influence of corticosteroid hormones in the treatment of tuberculous meningitis in Negroes. *American Review of Respiratory Disease*, *81*(4), 539-45.
- Weiss, W. F. (1965). The changing incidence of and prognosis of tuberculous meningitis. *American Journal* of the Medical Sciences, 250, 46-59.
- Wiysonge, C. N. (2008). Contemporary use of adjunctive corticosteroids in tuberculous pericarditis. International Journal of Cardiology, 124, 388-90.
- Wosz-Höckert, O. (1963). Modern treatment and late prognosis of tuberculous meningitis. *Acta Paediatrica*, *52*(Suppl 141), 93-102.
- Zmak, L. J. (2013). Evaluation of Xpert MTB/RIF assay for rapid molecular diagnosis of tuberculosis in a twoyear period in Croatia. *International Journal of Mycobacteriology*, 2(3), 179-182.