

DHSWORKING PAPERS

Household Nucleation, Dependency and Child Health Outcomes in Ghana

Samuel Kobina Annim Kofi Awusabo-Asare Joshua Amo-Adjei

2013 No. 98

DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH SURVEYS

August 2013

This document was produced for review by the United States Agency for International Development.

Household Nucleation, Dependency and Child Health Outcomes in Ghana

Samuel Kobina Annim¹ Kofi Awusabo-Asare² Joshua Amo-Adjei²

ICF International Calverton, Maryland, USA

August 2013

¹ Department of Economics, University of Cape Coast, Ghana
 ² Department of Population and Health, University of Cape Coast, Ghana

Corresponding author: Joshua Amo-Adjei, Department of Population and Health, University of Cape Coast, Ghana; Email: kjamoadjei@yahoo.com

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to ICF International and USAID for their financial and technical support in the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) Fellowship program, which has made this publication possible. We are most grateful for the valuable insights received from Sarah Staveteig, Wenjuan Wang, Ambrose Akinlo, Samwel Mbugua and Kerry MacQuarrie during and after the Fellowship workshops in Kampala, Uganda, and Nairobi, Kenya. Their facilitation and support contributed immensely to the development and completion of the paper.

We also acknowledge Fred Arnold for his insights and comments on the various drafts of the paper, as well as the contributions of Cathy Johnson and Bryant Robey as editors and Yuan Cheng as formatter. We appreciate the collegiality of the 2013 DHS Fellows and the support of colleagues at the Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Ghana. Finally we wish to thank management of the University of Cape Coast for making it possible for us to participate in the DHS Fellowship Program.

ABSTRACT

The Millennium Development Goals have increased international attention to child malnutrition in the developing world. Goal 1 aims to reduce hunger, and Goal 4 aims to reduce under-five child mortality. The study uses three key anthropometric measures of nutritional status among children—stunting (low height for age), wasting (low weight for height), and underweight (low weight for age)—to explore the dual effects of household composition and dependency on nutritional outcomes of children under age 5. The objectives are to examine changes in household living arrangements of under-five children and to explore the interaction of dependency and nucleation on child health outcomes.

The concept of nucleation, which is at the core of this paper, refers to the changing structure and composition of household living arrangements, from highly extended with its associated socioeconomic system of production and reproduction, social behavior and values, toward single-family households—especially the nuclear family, containing a husband and wife and their children alone. A negative relationship between levels of dependency, as measured by the number of children in the household, and child health outcomes is premised on the grounds that high dependency depletes resources, both tangible and intangible, to the disadvantage of young children.

Data for the study were drawn from the last four rounds of the Ghana Demographic and Health Surveys (GDHS), from 1993 to 2008, for the first objective—to explore changes in household composition. For the second objective, to explore how dependency affects health outcomes of children under age 5, in the context of household composition, the study used data from the 2008 GDHS.

The results show that, over time, households in Ghana have been changing toward nucleation. The main finding is that in households with the same number of dependent children, in nucleated households children under age 5 have better health outcomes compared with children under age 5 in non-nucleated households. The results also indicate that the effect of dependency on child health outcomes is mediated by household nucleation and wealth status and that, as such, high levels of dependency do not necessarily translate into negative health outcomes for children under age 5, based on anthropometric measures.

Keywords: nucleation, dependency, child health, stunting, underweight, wasting

INTRODUCTION

Child health outcomes, as an important index for measuring socioeconomic development, are captured in Millennium Development Goal (MDG) 4, which seeks to reduce mortality of under-five children as part of overall human development (Black et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2012; WHO, UNICEF and The World Bank, 2012). One measure that has become of interest in the discourse on child health outcomes is nutritional status of under-five children, since poor nutrition among such children manifests itself in underweight, stunting and wasting. These dimensions of nutrition and childhood diseases have become global public health concerns, especially in low-income countries.

The proportions of children stunted, wasted and underweight, as well as suffering from some preventable childhood environmental diseases, have also emerged as important markers for measuring Target 1C in MDG 1, which aims to halve the incidence of extreme hunger (Black et al. 2008). Of the 165 million stunted, 101 million underweight, and 52 million wasted children globally in 2010, between 70 percent and 90 percent live in Africa and Asia, two continents with high prevalence of poverty (WHO et al. 2012). Available evidence suggests that about one-third of child deaths in low-income countries can be prevented through adequate nutrition and improved sanitation (Black et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2012; Black et al. 2013). Therefore, an understanding of the linkages between health outcomes among children and other underlying factors is important for policy and planning.

This paper examines levels of stunting, wasting and underweight among children under age 60 months (5 years), in various household arrangements, based on data from the 2008 Ghana Demographic and Health Survey (GDHS). Specifically, the study explores the implications of the dual effects of household composition and dependency on health outcomes of children under age 5. The view is that as societies undergo socioeconomic transformation, changes also occur in sociodemographic dynamics such as levels of fertility and trends in morbidity and mortality, as well as in the size and composition of households (Caldwell 1982; Omran 1971, 1998). Some of these changes have implications for household welfare, including the health of children (see Allendorf 2013; Caldwell 1982; Hatton and Martin 2009).

The concept of nucleation is at the core of the paper. This term refers to the changing structure and composition of households, from highly extended with an associated

socioeconomic system of production and reproduction, social behavior and values, toward nuclear families, with a husband and wife and their children as the core (Goody 1972; Laslett 1972; Oppong 1981; Nukunya 2003). This process of nucleation, which includes both physical and emotional changes, has been associated with some aspects of demographic change, including fertility decline (Caldwell 1982).

Axinn and Ghimire (2013), expanding on the original theory of Caldwell, have defined emotional nucleation as the bond between couples that contributes to creating an environment for discussion and adoption of strategies to improve family welfare—that is, "the process through which individuals' emotional bonds to their spouse grow stronger relative to their emotional ties to parents, siblings, and other relatives" (Axinn and Ghimire 2013:3). Physical nucleation has been defined as a household arrangement which involves a couple and their biological underage children. The process of physical nucleation is the transition from extended-family households to single-family (two-generation) households made up of a couple and their children (Macht 2008; du Toit et al. 2013).

Social and economic considerations may determine household formation (Laslett 1972; Titchit and Robette 2008; Nukunya 2003). Living arrangements could be dictated by social norms, including responsibilities toward different relations (e.g., parents of a couple) as well as choices made through marriage, for example. Also, family or non-family members may live together for economic reasons, to contribute to and maximize household resources (Freeman 2005). A couple's parents, siblings, cousins and other family members could provide both tangible and intangible resources that improve the household's welfare, for instance contributing to childcare while the couple engages in other productive activities, particularly where the woman is engaged in non-familial economic activities (Griggs et al. 2010).

According to Caldwell (1982), the physical and emotional changes lead to rearrangements in household composition as well as in availability and use of resources. In the extended household structure, the flow of resources could be upward (to parents), downward (to own children, nephews and nieces) and/or lateral (to siblings). Caldwell postulated that in an extended family the net flow of resources is from children to parents. In a nucleated family the flow of resources is mainly from parents to children and, therefore, the net flow of resources in the household is directed to children's welfare.

Furthermore, as couples live away from their parents, the probability of adopting new social values increases. With respect to fertility intentions and actual fertility, for instance, such couples could be predicted to have smaller family size compared with their parents and to give more attention to their children than they received from their own parents when they were young (Caldwell, 1982). This rendition of the wealth-flow hypothesis has been criticized, however. For instance, Wills (1982), argued that the direction of flow of resources has always been downwards (from parents to children) and not vice-versa.

Available evidence on the links between household living arrangements and health outcomes for children is inconclusive. Griggs et al. (2010) demonstrated that in households where grandparents were involved in childcare, children fared better than in households where the involvement of grandparents was low or non-existent. Schnitzer and Ewigman (2008) found that children in households with unrelated adults, stepparents, or foster parents were more likely to experience unintentional injuries and maltreatment compared with children in households with biologically related members. In the United States Casper and Bryson (1998) observed negative health and emotional outcomes for children who were raised by their grandparents. Other studies in low-income countries generally have observed a link between large household size and negative outcomes for child health (Hampshire, Panter-Brick, and Kilpatrick 2008; Hatton and Martin 2009).

Dependency and the trend toward nucleation are inherent in the quantity-quality trade-off hypothesis on the link between household size and child-health outcomes (Becker and Lewis 1973; Becker and Tomes 1976; Klemp and Weisdorf 2011). The negative relationship between levels of dependency, as measured by the size of household, and child health outcomes is premised on the view that high dependency depletes resources, both tangible and intangible, to the disadvantage of children. Households with many children are characterized by competition for care and resources. However, the effect of high child dependency can be compensated for by the number of adults in the household who are capable of providing care and resources for child welfare.

The reverse is possible—that positive child health outcomes are associated with a low level of dependency, which is characteristic of nucleated households. The effect of household dependency, however, may not be uniform across different living arrangements of children, particularly in relation to age and gender. Where resources are limited, higher-order (older) children may be at more risk of poor health outcomes (Charmarbagwala et al. undated). Similarly, especially in households where priority is given to male children, female children may experience worse outcomes, a circumstance Croll (2000) has characterized as "endangered daughters."

BACKGROUND

Researchers have long been interested in household composition, and various typologies have emerged to categorize living arrangements and their implications. Laslett (1972), for instance, provided a classification of household living arrangements with the basic nuclear family as core, and various combinations of nuclear and extended households. Extended family household structures include those with a nuclear family and either parent(s) of couple, couple with children, nieces or nephews, unrelated members, couple with siblings of either male or female, children with or without related members, and others, which consist of various combinations of relationships with or without unrelated members by blood or marriage (Laslett 1972; Goody 1972; Amoateng 2007).

In this paper a modified version of the typology by Laslett (1972) has been adopted that defines nucleation as the movement toward living arrangements involving a couple and their children only, while non-nucleation refers to the other types of household arrangements, with various arrangements of related and non-related members.

Traditionally in Ghana, marriage did not necessarily lead to co-residence, and various living arrangements have existed and continue to exist among some ethnic groups. For instance, a duo-local system has characterized living arrangements in the Ga/Adangme areas of Ghana (Manuh 1997; Assimeng 1981; Pellow and Chazan 1982). Among the Ga/Adangme, married men and women could live in separate men's and women's households, rather than living together. Wives visited husbands at night in the men's houses, and children, irrespective of gender, spent their time in women's houses.

Among the matrilineal Akan, who account for about 50 percent of Ghana's population (GSS 2012), husbands and wives may live separately with members of their respective matriclan, or live together with the husband's maternal family (avunculocal). In rare cases the couple may co-reside with the woman's maternal family (Assimen 1981; Oppong 1981; Awusabo-Asare 1990).

In the northern half of the country, which consists mainly of the patrilineal Mole-Dagbani, young couples may live with the husband's parents. Under this arrangement, mothersin-law are important in the household in a wide range of areas, including the care and upbringing of children (Goody 1972; Rasheed 2013). Among the Mole-Dagbani, there is the belief that young parents are not necessarily the best people to bring up children (Oppong 1981). In some areas of the country, such as among the Anlo Ewe and the Guan, couples may live with the husband's parents or live on their own (Nukunya 1969, 2003; Assimeng 1981; Pellow and Chazan 1982; Manuh 1997).

While there are various living arrangements, with varying types of household composition, changes are occurring in household living arrangements as Ghana experiences increasing urbanization, education and social and spatial mobility, leading to a process of nucleation (Twumasi-Ankrah 1995). In this study we first examine the extent to which there have been changes in household composition toward nucleation over the last four GDHS (1993-2008). Secondly, using data from the 2008 GDHS we examine the effect of household composition on child health outcomes, with an expectation that children in nucleated households will have better health outcomes than children in non-nucleated households.

The transition from traditional extended families to nucleated household living arrangements is expected to lead to redirection of resources toward increased investment in good-quality education and child health (Caldwell 1982). Increasing nucleation should lead to a decline in the level of dependency, which would manifest itself in positive child health outcomes, as measured by levels of stunting, wasting and underweight. Alternatively, given the existing sociocultural setting, the extended family structure (non-nucleated households) could still be protective of child health by making available more physical and human resources for childcare.

Results from the last three rounds¹ of GDHS do not show significantly different patterns in child health outcomes, as measured by stunting, wasting and underweight. While the proportion of underweight children declined steadily, from 20 percent in 1998 to 14 percent in 2008, the proportions of children suffering from wasting fluctuated—decreasing by 2 percent between 1998 and 2003 and then increasing by 1 percent between 2003 and 2008. For stunting, the proportions have varied narrowly between 35 percent (2003) and 28 percent (2008) (Figure

¹ The two earlier rounds of the GDHS (1988 and 1993) are excluded because anthropometric indicators were captured for children under age 36 months. In contrast, for the last three rounds (1998, 2003 and 2008) anthropometric indicators were collected for children under age 5 years.

1). These rates have persisted in spite of various interventions, such as the healthier-happier home intervention by the Ghana Health Service to improve child health outcomes.



Figure 1. Trends of malnutrition in Ghana

Infant mortality declined from 77 deaths per 1,000 live births in 1983-1987 to 50 deaths per 1,000 in 2004-2008, while under-five mortality declined from 155 to 80 deaths per 1,000 children (ICF Macro 2010). Childhood mortality, although improved, has not translated into positive child health outcomes, as measured by nutritional status. This could partly explain the inability of Ghana to achieve the targeted 40 deaths per 1,000 of under-five mortality (target 4A of MDG 4) compared with the current rate of 80 per 1,000.

The focus of this paper, therefore, is to explain some of the driving forces underlying changes in child health beyond the socioeconomic factors such as education, residence, religion and ethnicity that other studies have used as explanatory variables (Gyimah 2006, 2007; ICF Macro 2010). For this study two hypotheses are tested: (1) household composition has changed

in Ghana over time; and (2) among households with high dependency, those that are nucleated have children with better health outcomes than those that are non-nucleated.

Conceptual Framework

This study adapts the UNICEF (1990) model for studying malnutrition to investigate three dimensions of child health outcomes—height-for-age (stunting), weight-for-height (underweight) and weight-for-age (wasting). According to the model, nutritional status is the outcome of the interplay among basic, underlying and immediate factors. The basic factors consist of structural characteristics—region and urban-rural residence and background (remote)—and household characteristics—nucleation and dependency, wealth index, sources of drinking water and toilet facilities—as well as maternal characteristics—education, marital status and age. These in turn indirectly influence the underlying factors of child-related variables, and directly influence the immediate factors that lead to nutritional status.

In the modified model, maternal characteristics replace parental characteristics in the original model, while a clear separation has been provided for household and maternal characteristics. The argument is that the role of the mother is critical, and that role can also be enhanced by marriage. Availability of good drinking water and toilet facilities in the home are considered indicators of household protective factors for child health outcomes. The interaction among these factors is measured using the three health outcomes mentioned above, stunting, underweight and wasting (Figure 2).





Source: Adapted from UNICEF (1990) model for studying malnutrition

DATA, MEASUREMENT OF KEY VARIABLES AND ESTIMATION APPROACH

Data

This paper is based on data from the 2008 Ghana Demographic and Health Survey (GDHS). In addition, data from the 1993, 1998, 2003 and 2008 GDHS provide background and context for trends in household composition. The GDHS is a nationally representative survey of health, demographic and other related issues of importance to development, conducted among women age 15-49 and men age 15-59. Since 1988, five GDHS surveys have been conducted at five-year intervals. The surveys have been conducted by the Ghana Statistical Service (GSS) and, occasionally, in collaboration with the Ghana Health Service and/or Noguchi Memorial Institute of Medical Research (NMIMR), with technical support from ICF Macro.

The household member and children's recode files (datasets) are used for the analysis. The household member dataset captures background information on all members in the household, while the children's file contains information on children under age 5 of interviewed women. These two files are used to generate the two main explanatory variables, that is, type of household composition based on children's living arrangement (nucleation) and dependency, based on the number of children under age 16 in the household. The household member dataset has information on child health outcomes but lacks other child-related variables, such as size at birth and disease (diarrhea) status. To capture the data needed for child health outcomes and characteristics, the household and children's datasets were merged. The data are used to test the hypothesis that households with high dependency that are nucleated have more positive health outcomes for children under age 5 than households with high dependency that are non-nucleated.

Sample and unit of analysis

The sample for the study of family types (nucleation) consists of children under age 5 who were the usual residents of the sampled household at the time of the interview in 1993, 1998, 2003, and 2008.

The sample for investigating the links among dependency, nucleation and child health outcomes is derived from the 2008 GDHS and based on the 50 percent of respondents in the

household member data file who were selected for further interview. Therefore, the unweighted sample of children with detailed information on issues including child health outcomes and its correlates are the 3,113 in the household member dataset and the 2,992 in the children's dataset. The corresponding weighted sample of 2,888 was used to describe the patterns of nucleation (see Figure 3). Following data cleaning on issues such as children with anthropometric values outside the acceptable range, non-response/not applicable and 'do not know', and children whose parents do not include the head of household, the weighted samples obtained were 2,402 for descriptive analysis on child health outcomes and 2,026 for the regression analysis (Figure 3).





Measurement of Key Variables

This sub-section describes the measurement of key variables used in this paper, namely nucleation, dependency and child health outcomes. Measurements of the control variables are presented in Appendix Table A1.

Household composition types based on children's living arrangements

Based on the conceptualization of nucleation as children's living arrangements with parents, grandparents and others (both related and unrelated), a typology of household composition was developed for the study, based on Laslett (1972) (Table 1).

Table 1. Typology of household composition based on children's living arrangements

Types of household	Composition
Core nuclear	Both parents + child(ren) under age 5
Semi-nuclear	Single parent + child(ren) under age 5
Extended I	Both parents + child(ren) under age 5 + at least one grandparent
Extended II	Single parents + child(ren) under age 5 + at least one grandparent
Other extended	Couple/single parent/non-relation +child(ren) under age 5, at least one grandparent + siblings of head with or without other non-related members

For this study, living arrangements of children of the head of household who are under age 5 are categorized into five family types: core nuclear, semi-nuclear, extended I, extended II and other extended. (1) Core nuclear is a household where a child or children under age 5 live with both parents only; (2) Semi-nuclear is a household where a child or children under age 5 live with either the father or mother only; (3) Extended I is a household with a child or children under age 5 who live with both parents and at least one grandparent (parent/parent-in-law of the couple); (4) Extended II is a household with a child or children under age 5 who live with either a father or mother and at least one third/fourth generation member—e.g., grandparent(s), granduncle/aunt etc.; (5) Other extended is a household in which a child or children live with at least one parent and/or another adult other than parents or grandparents.

The last category can be heterogeneous and may be one of the following: (a) Child or children under age 5 who live with both parents and any another member who is not a third-

generation member; (b) Child or children under age 5 who live with a single parent, a thirdgeneration member and any other member who may be related or not related to the head; (c) Child or children under age 5 who live with a third-generation member and another member; (d) Child or children under age 5 who live with a single parent and another member who is not a third-generation member; (e) Child or children under age 5 who live with another member who is neither a parent nor a third-generation member; (f) Child or children under age 5 who are not living with any of their biological parents but live with any other adult and a third-generation member.

Index of nucleation

The conceptualization of children's living arrangements is used to develop an index for nucleation, which ranges between 0 and 1. The index is calculated as the number of core members (parents and children) (categories 1 and 2 in Table 1) in a household, divided by household size. Thus, the index will range from 0 to 1; the closer the value is to 1 the higher the level of nucleation, while the closer it is to 0 the higher the level of non-nucleation. The derived nucleation index is a continuous variable, as opposed to the nominal categorical variable of household composition (Table 1), and is used to calculate the effect of nucleation separately and its joint effect with dependency on child health outcomes.

Comparing the typology of households in Table 1 and the nucleation index, we observe that categories 1 and 2 households in Table 1 (core nuclear and semi-nuclear) will each have a value of 1^2 . For the 3rd to 5th categories (extended I, extended II and other extended) the value will vary depending on the number of other members in the household. For instance, in a household with two grandparents and both parents and a child, the index will be a closer one compared to a household with both parents and all four grandparents. The above suggests that the index places a premium on the depth of extended family.

 $^{^{2}}$ This assumes that care and wealth distribution in core nuclear and semi-nuclear households are the same. Given that this is not entirely true, the authors are mindful of it and in the future will explore the use of weights to distinguish between core nuclear and semi-nuclear households.

Index of dependency

Dependency in this paper is measured as the total number³ of children under age 16 in a household. The concentration is on child dependency, hence this paper excludes other forms of dependency, such as the elderly and household members with chronic ailments, from the measure of dependency.⁴ The focus is on quantity only, since the variable of interest is household dependency, which in the case of non-nuclear households might include other children under age 16. For the categorical variables, levels of dependency were classified into 1-3 children under age 16 in the household (low dependency); 4-6 children (medium dependency); and 7+ children (high dependency).

Nucleation and dependency

The joint effect of dependency and nucleation is captured as an interactive term by multiplying values of dependency with the nucleation index. In this index, dependency is considered as the main determinant of child health outcome and the index for nucleation as the moderating explanatory variable.

Child health outcomes

Child health outcomes are measured using anthropometric indicators of height-for-age, weight-for-height and weight-for-age. The indicators are captured as z-scores with values in the range of ± 6 and thresholds for classifying nutritional status (WHO 2006). Children with z-scores less than -2 for height-for-age, weight-for-height and weight-for-age are classified, respectively, as stunted, wasted or underweight. In this paper the classifications are used for the descriptive analysis and the raw z-scores for the inferential analysis (linear least squares regression).

³ In contrast to other papers that use dependency ratio (number of children/household size), this paper uses number of children because household size is used in the computation of nucleation. Calculating a ratio using household size for both nucleation and dependency will amount to duplication in the interaction term.

⁴ In fertility studies the concept of dependency is defined to cover quantity and tempo, which is spacing (e.g., Schnaiberg 1973). This definition of dependency is not used in this paper since it would exclude children who are in non-nuclear households and are not children of the interviewed woman and therefore would distort the index.

Estimation Technique

The first objective — to examine changes in living arrangements of children under age 5 — is studied using chi-square analysis. The research (alternative) hypothesis is that household composition types based on children's living arrangements have changed over time.

The second objective — to investigate the links between dependency, nucleation and their independent or joint effect on child health outcomes — is analyzed using a linear least squares regression model. Linear least squares regression is employed because the dependent variables (anthropometric indicators) are continuous. Using the raw z-scores for the regression analysis allows for assessment of changes across each of the observations rather than observing changes across categories. The use of the raw z-scores presents a counter argument in the case of the weight-related anthropometric indicators in that higher values are not necessarily desirable as they could also lead to overweight. However, in Ghana the proportion of children overweight in the 2008 GDHS is quite low (Figure 1).

Two variants of linear least squares regression models have been employed. The first is a hierarchical model in which the predictors of child anthropometric outcomes are plugged into the model as: (a) nucleation alone; (b) dependency alone; (c) nucleation and dependency; (d) nucleation, dependency and wealth status; and (e) nucleation, dependency, wealth status and control variables. The second variant estimates a linear least squares model with the same variables as in (d) above, but in this case with an interactive term between dependency and nucleation to capture the joint effect on child health outcomes.

RESULTS

Children's Living Arrangements

This section first provides results from the analysis of the last four GDHS for trends in nucleation. It then shows the relationship between nucleation, dependency and their joint effect on child health outcomes based on data from the 2008 GDHS. Figure 4 presents trends in household dependency from 1993 to 2008. As a reminder, this paper classifies household dependency into three categories: low dependency (1–3 children under age 16), medium dependency (4–6 children) and high dependency (7+ children). While the proportion of households with low dependency increased over the 15-year period 1993 to 2008 (from 58 percent to 61 percent), the proportion of high-dependency households decreased over the same period (9.1 percent to 6.6 percent). The results suggest that households in Ghana are moving toward having fewer child dependents.



Figure 4. Trends of dependency in Ghana

The proportion of under-five children who lived in core nuclear households (both parents only) increased, from 41 percent in 1993 to 51 percent in 2008 (Figure 5). The proportion of children in single-parent (semi-nuclear) households (presence of either mother or father) declined, from 21 percent in 1993 to 12 percent in 2003 and 13 percent 2008. The presence of a third generation (grandparents) in households of children living with both parents (extended I) also fell, from 6 percent in 1993 to 2 percent in 2008. In the case of children living with a single parent and a third-generation member in the household (extended II), the proportions ranged between 6 percent and 10 percent over the period. The rest (between 23 percent and 30 percent) lived in various other forms of extended households. The 2008 GDHS shows that about half of children in Ghana lived with both parents, while only about one in every four children lived in "other extended" household (Figure 5). From the results, it would appear that there has been a clear trend toward nucleation in household living arrangements over the past 15 years in Ghana.



Figure 5. Living arrangements among children in Ghana: 1993, 1998, 2003, and 2008

Table 2 shows patterns of household living arrangements by region, residence and ethnicity. As suggested earlier, the various ethnic groups in Ghana appear to have varying household living arrangements. The lowest proportion of children living with both parents alone (core nuclear), 42 percent, is observed among the Ga/Adangme, a group which traditionally did not practice conjugal living arrangements, while the highest proportions are among the "other" category, at 66 percent, and the Mole-Dagbani (including other ethnic groups in the northern part of the country), at 61 percent.

	Core Semi- nuclear nuclear Extended I				Other	Weight	ed total
Correlates	nuclear (%)	nuclear (%)	Extended I (%)	Extended II (%)	extended (%)	(%)	Ν
Region							
Western	49.4	13.6	1.2	6.3	29.5	100.0	270
Central	44.2	22.0	1.4	8.1	24.3	100.0	285
Greater Accra	55.7	17.0	2.7	7.5	17.2	100.0	338
Volta	44.0	9.5	0.5	11.8	34.3	100.0	242
Eastern	48.5	13.6	0.9	14.9	22.0	100.0	244
Ashanti	43.9	17.8	0.7	9.3	28.3	100.0	535
Brong-Ahafo	52.8	20.0	0.6	8.5	18.1	100.0	267
Northern	66.6	4.2	2.5	3.3	23.4	100.0	482
Upper East	61.5	9.3	2.2	2.7	24.3	100.0	147
Upper West	41.5	8.6	1.5	4.4	44.1	100.0	80
Chi-square	211.6 (0.00)						
Residence							
Rural	53.0	12.3	1.4	7.3	26.0	100.0	1,801
Urban	49.4	16.6	1.4	8.7	23.8	100.0	1,089
Chi-square	13.7 (0.17)						
Ethnicity							
Akan	46.2	19.3	1.2	10.0	23.3	100.0	1,307
Ga/Adangme	41.9	24.0	2.6	9.2	22.2	100.0	139
Ewe	47.8	9.1	0.6	10.4	32.1	100.0	356
Guan	52.1	17.3	1.3	8.6	20.8	100.0	83
Mole+Others	60.7	7.0	2.1	3.4	26.8	100.0	893
Other	66.4	6.8	0.5	6.9	19.4	100.0	112
Chi-square	165.2 (0.00)						
Total	51.6	13.9	1.4	7.8	25.2	100.0	2,888

Table 2. Living arrangements among children under age 5 by region, residence and ethnicity, Ghana 2008 (Unweighted Sample Size = 2,970)

By region of the country, the Northern region has the highest proportion (67 percent) of children living with both parents only (core nuclear), followed by the Upper East region (62 percent) and the Greater Accra region (56 percent). The lowest proportion is between 42 and 44 percent in the Central, Volta, Ashanti and Upper West regions. In the Upper West region, the only region with a higher proportion of non-nucleated households than nucleated households, 44 percent of children live in other extended households, while the proportion in core nuclear households is 42 percent. The chi-square results show that the variation in living arrangements of children by region is statistically significant at 1 percent.

This section examines the extent to which child health outcomes vary by level of dependency, nucleation and interaction between the two variables. Table 3 shows patterns of malnutrition (stunting, underweight and wasting) by type of household and characteristics of children and mothers. The discussion focuses on correlates of child nutritional status that were not considered in the 2008 GDHS.

The results show a significant association between household type and child health outcomes, with underweight at 1 percent. Among children in Extended I households (a single parent, child(ren) and at least one grandparent) a higher proportion (20 percent) are underweight compared with other household types. Household dependency shows a significant association with underweight. Households with high dependency (seven or more children under age 16) show 36 percent stunting and 21 percent underweight. Among children who had recent diarrhea, 12 percent are wasted and 19 percent are underweight. The results also show a significant association between mother's marital status and child wasting, with the highest level of wasting (15 percent) among children of never-married women.

There are also significant associations between all three child health outcomes and the type of household toilet facility, as well as type of water used in household, with the exception of wasting in the context of type of toilet facility. About twice the proportion of children (14.8 percent) in households without flush toilet facilities are underweight, compared with 7.5 percent in households with flush toilet facilities. These two factors, types of water and toilet facility used in the household, have been found to provide basic environmental conditions for the health of children (Gordon et al. 2003).

Sociodemographic characteristics	Stunting (%)	Wasting (%)	Underweight (%)	Weighted total
Household types				
Core nuclear	26.9	9.3	14.4	1,237
Semi-nuclear	29.2	7.6	12.3	333
Extended I	30.6	3.0	19.9	33
Extended II	29.4	7.0	12.3	179
Other extended	28.6	10.5	15.0	619
Chi-square	1.42 (0.89)	7.86 (0.20)	3.48 (0.64)	
Household dependency categories				
Low (1–3, inclusive)	26.4	8.5	13.0	1,449
Medium (4–6, inclusive)	29.1	9.4	14.8	787
High (7+)	35.7	12.9	21.2	166
Chi-square	7.41 (0.19)	3.66 (0.36)	8.78 (0.85)	
Child recently had diarrhea*				
No	27.4	8.2	12.9	1,895
Yes, last two weeks	29.8	12.4	19.0	504
Chi-square	1.15 (0.36)	8.46 (0.01)	12.65 (0.00)	
Child ever breastfed*				
No	18.9	0.8	0.0	32
Yes	27.8	9.2	14.2	2,342
Chi-square	1.27 (0.30)	2.79 (0.00)	5.40 (0.06)	
Child's age (months)				
< 6	4.6	16.9	8.4	213
6 - 8	9.9	28.3	15.7	130
9 - 11	17.9	18.3	15.3	149
12 - 17	21.8	14.6	15.8	283
18 - 23	40.1	9.7	20.1	228
24 - 35	34.1	3.9	13.9	463
36 - 47	34.4	4.3	15.8	457
48 - 59	31.9	3.7	10.9	480
Chi-square	133.5 (0.00)	146.9 (0.00)	19.00 (0.04)	
Child's sex				
Female	26.1	8.0	12.1	1,189
Male	29.7	10.1	16.2	1,213
Chi-square	4.06 (0.10)	3.27 (0.16)	8.77 (0.01)	
Region				
Western	27.5	5.7	10.2	223
Central	36.1	14.4	19.2	217
Greater Accra	15.2	6.2	6.5	270
Volta	26.2	5.5	12.6	216
Eastern	36.9	6.6	8.5	195
Ashanti	27.4	9.2	13.1	471
Brong-Ahafo	22.7	5.5	13.4	250
Northern	30.8	14.3	20.9	384

Table 3. Levels of malnutrition among children under age 5 by sociodemographic characteristics,Ghana 2008 [unweighted sample size = 2,460]

(Continued...)

Table 3. – Continued

Sociodemographic characteristics	Stunting (%)	Wasting (%)	Underweight (%)	Weighted total
Upper East	36.4	11.0	26.8	114
Upper West	24.6	13.9	13.8	64
Chi-square	47.62 (0.00)	37.54 (0.00)	57.03 (0.00)	
Household wealth index category				
Lowest	33.9	10.5	19.5	628
Second	34.2	10.0	17.0	550
Average	28.2	10.7	13.1	427
Fourth	21.3	6.7	8.3	464
Highest	15.1	6.3	8.9	334
Chi-square	60.3 (0.00)	10.11 (0.13)	40.36 (0.00)	
Mother's education				
No education	29.9	11.6	17.3	810
Primary	32.1	8.1	14.7	572
Middle/JSS	25.3	8.3	12.5	811
Secondary+	18.7	5.0	7.1	209
Chi-square	18.5 (0.01)	12.20 (0.03)	17.42 (0.01)	
Child's size at birth*				
Very large	23.1	8.1	9.3	528
Larger than average	26.3	6.5	11.7	782
Average	29.6	11.4	15.2	747
Below average	34.4	10.8	21.4	229
Very small	39.9	12.6	33.2	95
Chi-square	20.1 (0.01)	14.44 (0.03)	54.52 (0.00)	
Mother's marital status				
Never married	32.0	14.6	18.5	119
Currently married	27.1	9.2	14.2	2,158
Formerly married	38.2	2.6	9.1	126
Chi-square	8.52 (0.11)	10.88 (0.03)	4.67 (0.26)	
Type of household residence				
Rural	32.2	9.6	16.3	1,504
Urban	20.7	8.2	10.6	899
Chi-square	37.9 (0.00)	1.40 (0.29)	15.31 (0.00)	
Household type of toilet facility*				
Flush	17.6	5.5	7.5	201
Other than flush	28.7	9.5	14.8	2,190
Chi-square	11.7 (0.01)	3.63 (0.14)	8.38 (0.01)	
Household source of drinking water*				
Pipe or bottle	24.2	7.2	12.3	863
Other than pipe or bottle	29.9	10.2	15.3	1,531
Chi-square	9.23 (0.03)	6.17 (0.03)	4.35 (0.10)	-
Total	27.9	9.1	14.2	2,402

*Sample size less than 2,402 (highest difference is 30)

Regression Results

This section presents results from the hierarchical model and the least squares estimation with an interactive term of dependency and nucleation. The measurement and descriptive statistics for all the variables used for the regression analysis are presented as Appendix Tables A1 and A2, respectively, for cross reference in interpreting the coefficients. The rationale for estimating hierarchical and a least squares model with an interactive term of dependency and nucleation is to provide a systematic assessment of the independence or the joint effect of dependency and nucleation on child health outcomes.

Table 4a presents the results of the effect of household dependency alone on child health outcomes, while Table 4b shows the effects of nucleation alone on child health outcomes. The results from the simple linear regression (Table 4a) show that dependency explains variation in weight-for-height but not variation in height-for-age and weight-for-age. Table 4b, which deals with the effect of nucleation on child health outcomes, shows that children's living arrangements fail to explain variation on all three indicators of child health. The post-estimation tests show that the predictive power (\mathbb{R}^2) of the model (Table 4b) is nil, and also there is model misspecification (Ramsey Specification Test)⁵ in the case of the weight-for-height and weight-for-age models.

⁵ Ramsey (1969) proposed a test of linear relationship against a non-linear specification of a model. The approach provides an avenue to investigate the possibility of wrongly specifying the functional form of the relationship between the dependent and explanatory variables. The null hypothesis supporting the test posits that the model has 'no omitted variables'. A rejection of the null hypotheses (p-value > 0.05) suggests that the model has been correctly specified.

Table 4a. Simple linear regression results of the relationship between child health outcomes and dependency, Ghana 2008 - Model 1

	Height-for-age		Weight-for-height		Weight-for-age	
Explanatory variable	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.
Dependency	-0.04	(-1.42)	-0.04+	(-1.82)	-0.02	(-0.86)
Constant	-0.92**	(-9.13)	-0.66**	(-8.64)	-0.21**	(-2.97)
Ν	2,0)26	2,026		2,026	
R ²	0.0	002	0.004		0.000	
F-statistics	2.01 (0.16)		3.31 (0.07)		0.74 (0.39)	
Ramsey specification test	0.67 (0.57)		1.15 (0.33)		2.89 (0.03)	

+ p<.10, * p<.05, ** p<.01

Table 4b. Simple linear regression results of the relationship between child health outcomes and nucleation, Ghana 2008 - Model 1

	Height-for-age		Weight-for-height		Weight-for-age	
Explanatory variable	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.
Nucleation	0.03	(0.09)	0.01	(0.06)	0.03	(0.09)
Constant	-1.08**	(-3.46)	-0.80**	(-3.62)	-0.29	(-1.00)
Ν	2,0)26	2,026		2,026	
R ²	0.0	000	0.000		0.000	
F-statistics	0.01 (0.93)		0.00 (0.95)		0.01 (0.93)	
Ramsey specification test	0.65	(0.58)	4.26 (0.01)		6.77 (0.00)	

+ *p*<.10, * *p*<.05, ** *p*<.01

Table 5a shows results from multiple linear regressions with dependency and nucleation as the explanatory variables. The results indicate that households with a large number of child dependents, on average, have poor child health outcomes, with the coefficient of nucleation still insignificant, as shown in Table 4b. In Table 5a, however, the coefficients for nucleation for the three health outcomes are different from those in Table 4b. One possible reason is that in Table 4b the coefficient of nucleation could be biased as a result of omitting dependency from a child health model, pointing to the power of the relationship between nucleation and dependency in explaining child health outcomes. The correlation matrix in Appendix Table A3 shows that the inverse relationship between nucleation and dependency of -0.26 is statistically significant at 1 percent.

Table 5a. Linear regression results of the relationship between child health outcomes on nucleation and dependency, Ghana 2008 - Model 2

	Height-for-age		Weight-for-height		Weight-for-age	
Explanatory variable	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.
Nucleation	-0.13	(-0.40)	-0.14	(-0.60)	-0.04	(-0.11)
Dependency	-0.04	(-1.44)	-0.04+	(-1.86)	-0.02	(-0.87)
Constant	-0.79*	(-2.22)	-0.52*	(-2.04)	-0.18	(-0.56)
Ν	2,0	026	2,026		2,026	
R ²	0.0	002	0.004		0.000	
F-statistics	1.04 (0.35)		1.73 (0.18)		0.38 (0.68)	
Ramsey specification test	0.33	(0.81)	0.24 (0.87)		1.87 (0.13)	

+ p<.10, * p<.05, ** p<.01

Table 5b. Linear regression results of the relationship between child health outcomes on nucleation and dependency, Ghana 2008 – Model with interaction

	Height	-for-age	Weight-f	or-height	Weight-for-age	
Explanatory variable	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.
Nucleation	-1.37+	(-1.75)	-1.49**	(-2.64)	-0.91	(-1.49)
Dependency	-0.35*	(-2.05)	-0.38**	(-2.83)	-0.23+	(-1.77)
Nucleation * Dependency	0.34+	(1.89)	0.37**	(2.60)	0.24+	(1.66)
Constant	0.35	(0.46)	0.72	(1.34)	0.63	(1.09)
N	2,0	026	2,026		2,0	026
R ²	0.0	004	0.009		0.002	
F-statistics	1.64 (0.18)		3.17 (0.02)		1.17 (0.32)	
Ramsey specification test	6.50	(0.00)	2.27	(0.08)	0.49 (0.69)	

+ p<.10, * p<.05, ** p<.01

Based on our hypothesized relationship of a joint effect between nucleation and dependency, Table 5b shows the effect of the interaction term. In this model there is a significant relationship between dependency and the interaction term (dependency and nucleation) in all three child health outcomes. Nucleation appears to be significant for height-for-age and weight-for-age in this model, but not in the model shown in Table 4b. The net effect of nucleation on height-for-age and weight-for-age takes into account the coefficients of nucleation and the interaction term as well as a statistic of dependency.

Using the average value of dependency (Appendix Table A2), the coefficient for nucleation is negative [-1.49 + (0.37 * 3.41) = -0.23] for weight-for-height. However, in households with five or more dependents, the coefficient of nucleation changes to positive. With

dependency, there is a negative effect (statistically significant) on height-for-age and weight-forheight if the household is nucleated [-0.35 + (0.34 * 1) = -0.01].

This observation points to the relevance of both dependency and nucleation in child health outcome models, which does not seem to have been given much attention in the literature. The nature of the relationship is either additive (independent) or joint in the estimation of the determinants of child health outcomes. The post-estimation results (last three rows) of Tables 5a and 5b have low predictive power (\mathbb{R}^2) and joint effect (F-Statistics) of the explanatory variables and in five of the six cases fail to explain changes in child health outcomes. Also, model misspecification remains an issue (Ramsey Specification Test), hence the need for respecification and inclusion of other variables, as found in the determinants of child health outcomes (see Figure 2).

Household wealth status was included as an additional variable to nucleation and dependency in Tables 6a and 6b. In Table 6a nucleation and dependency are treated as independent variables, while in Table 6b an interaction between nucleation and dependency is introduced. In Table 6a, column 4, children in the middle, fourth and highest household wealth quintiles have better health outcomes compared with the lowest and second household wealth quintiles. With the inclusion of household wealth status in Table 6a, both nucleation and dependency remain insignificant in explaining changes in child health outcomes. However, with the inclusion of an interaction between nucleation and dependency, as in Table 6b, dependency and the interaction term become significant for two of the three outcomes of child health, with nucleation being significant for only weight-for-height.

Furthermore, the effect of dependency in Table 6b is positive for all three child health outcomes, compared with the 'no effect' results in Table 5b. The results suggest either an independent or joint relationship between nucleation, dependency and wealth status in the modeling of the determinants of child health outcomes. The post-estimation results from Tables 6a and 6b (last three rows) suggest that the explanatory variables have a better predictive power (R^2) than in the earlier models (Tables 4 and 5), and jointly the predictors explain variations in child outcomes (F-statistics). Also, model specification, as verified by Ramsey's specification test, supports the null hypothesis of 'no omitted variables' for all the models.

Table 6a. Linear regression results of the relationship between child health outcomes on nucleation, dependency and wealth, Ghana 2008 - Model 3

	Height-for-age		Weight-f	or-height	Weight-for-age	
Explanatory variable	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.
Nucleation	-0.02	(-0.08)	-0.05	(-0.21)	0.01	(0.03)
Dependency	-0.00	(-0.14)	-0.01	(-0.24)	0.00	(0.14)
Wealth (lowest) #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wealth (second)	0.01	(0.12)	0.08	(0.88)	0.10	(0.99)
Wealth (middle)	0.19	(1.39)	0.23**	(2.64)	0.15	(1.35)
Wealth (fourth)	0.40**	(3.01)	0.43**	(4.20)	0.28*	(2.45)
Wealth (highest)	0.74**	(5.11)	0.62**	(6.28)	0.27*	(2.45)
Constant	-1.24**	(-3.44)	-0.96**	(-3.82)	-0.42	(-1.33)
N	2,0)26	2,0)26	2,026	
R ²	0.0)27	0.036		0.006	
F-statistics	5.55	(0.00)	8.40 (0.00)		1.75 (0.11)	
Ramsey specification test	0.64	(0.59)	1.37	(0.25)	0.06 (0.98)	

identifies the reference group; + p<.10, * p<.05, ** p<.01

Table 6b. Linear regression re	esults of the relationship	between child health outcomes on
nucleation, dependency and we	ealth, Ghana 2008 (with an	interaction term of dependency and
nucleation)		

	Height-for-age		Weight-f	for-height	Weight-for-age	
Explanatory variable	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.
Nucleation	-1.16	(-1.47)	-1.34*	(-2.36)	-0.87	(-1.42)
Dependency	-0.29+	(-1.64)	-0.33*	(-2.35)	-0.22	(-1.60)
Nucleation * Dependency	0.31+	(1.70)	0.36*	(2.41)	0.24+	(1.66)
Wealth (lowest) #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wealth (second)	0.02	(0.14)	0.08	(0.91)	0.10	(1.00)
Wealth (middle)	0.19	(1.45)	0.23**	(2.74)	0.15	(1.39)
Wealth (fourth)	0.40**	(3.06)	0.43**	(4.27)	0.28*	(2.49)
Wealth (highest)	0.74**	(5.05)	0.62**	(6.21)	0.26*	(2.42)
Constant	-0.19	(-0.25)	0.23	(0.42)	0.38	(0.66)
N	2,0	026	2,026		2,026	
R ²	0.0	029	0.0	040	0.008	
F-statistics	5.06	06 (0.00) 8.00 (0.00)		(0.00)	2.03 (0.05)	
Ramsey specification test	1.54	(0.20)	0.91	(0.44)	0.38 (0.77)	

identifies the reference group; + p<.10, * p<.05, ** p<.01

Tables 7a and 7b present results of the full model consisting of dependency, nucleation, wealth status and other correlates of child health outcomes, following the conceptual framework. Table 7a differs from Table 7b with the introduction of the interaction term, as in Tables 6a and 6b. Consistent with Tables 5a and 6a, nucleation and dependency remain insignificant. Household wealth status is significant for middle, fourth and highest wealth status categories in the case of height-for-age, and is significant only for the fourth category in the case of weight-for-height.

Results in Table 7b, with the joint effect of dependency and nucleation as an interaction term, indicate that in nucleated households with high dependency children have better health outcomes than in non-nucleated households with high dependency. The effect of dependency on child health outcomes could have two possible outcomes based on whether or not the household is nucleated. If the household is nucleated, the coefficient of dependency in the height-for-age model is 0.02 [-0.39 + (0.41 * 1)]. Also in the case of weight-for-height, a positive effect is observed, reinforcing the argument that households that are nucleated and have high dependency tend to have better child health outcomes. If the household is non-nucleated, the effect of high dependency on child health outcomes is negative compared with children in nucleated households with high dependency.

The effect of household wealth status on child health outcomes remains robust in the full model, with the exception of weight-for-age. Based on the UNICEF framework adapted for this paper, childhood disease is an important variable that has a direct effect on weight-for-height and weight-for-age. In the results, a recent bout of diarrhea shows an association with weight-for-height (wasting) and weight-for-age (underweight), but not height-for-age (stunting), in both Table 7a and 7b. Because stunting is a measure of chronic malnourishment, it should not be affected by an episode of diarrhea within the two weeks preceding the survey, whereas wasting is a measure of acute malnourishment, and underweight reflects both acute and chronic undernutrition.

 Table 7a. Linear regression results of the relationship between child health outcomes on nucleation, dependency and wealth and other covariates, Ghana 2008 - Model 4

-	Height	-for-age	Weight-f	or-height	Weight	-for-age
Explanatory variable	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.
Nucleation index	0.05	(0.16)	-0.06	(-0.27)	-0.09	(-0.31)
Dependency	-0.02	(-0.74)	-0.01	(-0.30)	0.01	(0.55)
Wealth (lowest) #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wealth (second)	0.06	(0.45)	-0.04	(-0.39)	-0.10	(-0.94)
Wealth (middle)	0.27+	(1.75)	0.10	(1.05)	-0.09	(-0.77)
Wealth (fourth)	0.35*	(2.04)	0.24+	(1.84)	0.07	(0.51)
Wealth (highest)	0.59**	(2.70)	0.22	(1.36)	-0.18	(-1.02)
Female child #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Male child	-0.15+	(-1.93)	-0.12*	(-2.02)	-0.08	(-1.22)
Child's age	-0.03**	(-15.09)	-0.01**	(-7.18)	0.01**	(5.62)
Child did not recently have diarrhea #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Child recently had diarrhea	-0.11	(-1.21)	-0.15*	(-2.21)	-0.14+	(-1.82)
Child never breastfed #	0.00	()	0.00	(!)	0.00	(1.02)
Child ever breastfed	-0.26*	(-2.47)	-0.20*	(-2.51)	-0.09	(-1.04)
Child's size at birth (very large) #	0.00	~ /	0.00	(-)	0.00	
Child's size at birth (above average)	-0.29*	(-2.43)	-0.45**	(-5.01)	-0.43**	(-4.49)
Child's size at birth (average)	-0.44**	(-2.96)	-0.48**	(-3.78)	-0.33*	(-2.30)
Child's size at birth (below average)	-0.63**	(-3.73)	-0.85**	(-5.48)	-0.72**	(-3.95)
Child's Size at Birth (Very Small)	-0.72*	(-2.00)	-0.62**	(-3.19)	-0.29	(-1.38)
Never married #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Currently married	0.12	(0.49)	0.14	(0.65)	0.14	(0.50)
Formerly married	-0.41	(-1.31)	0.14	(0.56)	0.58+	(1.75)
Mother's age	0.02**	(3.03)	0.01+	(1.81)	-0.00	(-0.43)
Mother's education (none) #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Mother's education (primary)	-0.00	(-0.02)	-0.01	(-0.06)	-0.02	(-0.20)
Mother's education (middle/JHS)	0.09	(0.66)	-0.01	(-0.12)	-0.09	(-0.88)
Mother's education (secondary +)	0.11	(0.55)	0.28+	(1.90)	0.29	(1.58)
Household does not have flush toilet #	0.00	. ,	0.00	. ,	0.00	. /
Household has flush toilet	0.11	(0.61)	0.25*	(1.99)	0.29*	(1.97)
		(0.01)	0.20	(ontinued

(Continued...)

	Height	-for-age	Weight-for-height		Weight-for-age	
Explanatory variable	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.
Household water source: other than pipe or bottle #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Household water source: pipe or bottle	-0.05	(-0.43)	-0.05	(-0.60)	-0.03	(-0.26)
Western region #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Central region	-0.08	(-0.34)	-0.13	(-0.68)	-0.13	(-0.56)
Greater Accra region	0.47*	(2.20)	0.11	(0.72)	-0.21	(-1.35)
Volta region	0.20	(0.76)	0.02	(0.11)	-0.13	(-0.80)
Eastern region	-0.09	(-0.32)	0.13	(0.78)	0.26	(1.41)
Ashanti region	0.22	(1.08)	-0.03	(-0.25)	-0.21+	(-1.68)
Brong-Ahafo region	0.35	(1.65)	0.02	(0.18)	-0.25*	(-2.02)
Northern region	0.25	(1.11)	-0.32*	(-2.03)	-0.65**	(-5.03)
Upper East region	0.07	(0.26)	-0.31	(-1.54)	-0.50**	(-2.99)
Upper West region	0.37	(1.61)	-0.19	(-1.26)	-0.57**	(-3.68)
Rural residence #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Urban residence	-0.05	(-0.35)	-0.02	(-0.22)	-0.02	(-0.16)
Constant	-0.19	(-0.34)	0.13	(0.31)	0.25	(0.50)
N	2,026		2,026		2,026	
R ²	0.	165	0.116		0.090	
F-statistics	10.51 (0.00)		5.92 (0.00)		5.78 (0.00)	

Table 7a. - Continued

identifies the reference group; + p<.10, * p<.05, ** p<.01

Size of a child at birth and age show consistently significant results across all three indicators of child health outcomes. Child's size at birth (a proxy for initial health status) shows that children who are very small at birth tend to have poor health outcomes. Also, older children (those 1 to 4 years) tend to have poorer health outcomes than younger children (under age 1). This phenomenon of poor health outcomes among children age 1 to 4 was first described in the old cocoa-growing areas of the then Gold Coast, and was named Kwashiorkor (Williams 1935). In terms of maternal factors, older mothers have children with better height-for-age and weight-for-age z-scores than younger mothers. The expected positive effect of maternal education on child health outcomes is observed only in the case of mothers with at least secondary education. This is evident with weight-for-height and weight-for-age in the models.

In terms of sanitation, children in households with flush toilets have better weight-forheight and weight-for-age z-scores than children in households with other types of toilet facilities. The results on regional fixed effects are largely insignificant, with the exception of the coefficients for the three northern regions in the cases of weight-for-height and weight-for-age. Children in the three northern regions have poorer child health outcomes in the context of weight-for-height and weight-for-age compared with children in the Western Region. These are three regions with consistently high poverty indicators over the past two decades (GSS 2000 and 2007).

	Height	for-age	Weight-f	or-height	t Weight-for-age	
Explanatory variable	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.
Nucleation index	-1.40+	(-1.89)	-1.32*	(-2.38)	-0.64	(-1.03)
Dependency	-0.39*	(-2.43)	-0.32*	(-2.40)	-0.12	(-0.93)
Nucleation * Dependency	0.41*	(2.36)	0.35*	(2.41)	0.15	(1.03)
Wealth (lowest) #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Wealth (second)	0.07	(0.54)	-0.03	(-0.29)	-0.09	(-0.89)
Wealth (middle)	0.28+	(1.84)	0.11	(1.16)	-0.08	(-0.73)
Wealth (fourth)	0.36*	(2.08)	0.25+	(1.90)	0.07	(0.53)
Wealth (highest)	0.59**	(2.68)	0.22	(1.35)	-0.18	(-1.03)
Female child #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Male child	-0.15+	(-1.88)	-0.11+	(-1.97)	-0.08	(-1.20)
Child's age	-0.03**	(-15.12)	-0.01**	(-7.24)	0.01**	(5.64)
Child did not recently have diarrhea #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Child recently had diarrhea	-0.10	(-1.12)	-0.15*	(-2.12)	-0.14+	(-1.78)
Child's size at birth (very large) #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Child's size at birth (above average)	-0.26*	(-2.51)	-0.21*	(-2.57)	-0.09	(-1.06)
Child's size at birth (average)	-0.30*	(-2.52)	-0.46**	(-5.16)	-0.43**	(-4.56)
Child's size at birth (below average)	-0.44**	(-2.99)	-0.49**	(-3.81)	-0.33*	(-2.32)
Child's Size at Birth (Very Small)	-0.65**	(-3.89)	-0.86**	(-5.70)	-0.73**	(-3.99)
Child never breastfed #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Child ever breastfed	-0.75*	(-2.03)	-0.65**	(-3.13)	-0.31	(-1.42)
Never married #	0.00		0.00		0.00	
Currently married	0.10	(0.42)	0.13	(0.59)	0.14	(0.49)
Formerly married	-0.42	(-1.31)	0.13	(0.50)	0.58+	(1.73)
Mother's age	0.02**	(2.68)	0.01	(1.43)	-0.00	(-0.57)

Table 7b. Linear regression results of the relationship between child health outcomes on nucleation, dependency and wealth and other covariates, Ghana, 2008 - Model 5 (interaction)

(Continued...)

Table 7b. – Continued

_	Height	Height-for-age V		Weight-for-height		Weight-for-age	
Explanatory variable	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.	Coeff.	t.stats.	
Mother's education (none) #	0.00		0.00		0.00		
Mother's education (primary)	0.00	(0.02)	-0.00	(-0.01)	-0.02	(-0.18)	
Mother's education (middle/JHS)	0.09	(0.69)	-0.01	(-0.09)	-0.09	(-0.87)	
Mother's education (secondary +)	0.09	(0.47)	0.27+	(1.82)	0.28	(1.55)	
Household does not have flush toilet #	0.00		0.00		0.00		
Household has flush toilet	0.11	(0.61)	0.25*	(1.98)	0.29*	(1.97)	
Household water source: other than pipe or bottle #	0.00		0.00		0.00		
Household water source: pipe or bottle	-0.05	(-0.46)	-0.06	(-0.63)	-0.03	(-0.27)	
Western region #	0.00		0.00		0.00		
Central region	-0.06	(-0.27)	-0.11	(-0.62)	-0.13	(-0.53)	
Greater Accra region	0.50*	(2.33)	0.13	(0.87)	-0.20	(-1.28)	
Volta region	0.22	(0.81)	0.03	(0.17)	-0.13	(-0.78)	
Eastern region	-0.08	(-0.28)	0.14	(0.83)	0.26	(1.43)	
Ashanti region	0.24	(1.17)	-0.02	(-0.14)	-0.21	(-1.65)	
Brong-Ahafo region	0.37+	(1.74)	0.04	(0.30)	-0.24*	(-1.98)	
Northern region	0.29	(1.27)	-0.29+	(-1.89)	-0.64**	(-4.97)	
Upper East region	0.10	(0.37)	-0.28	(-1.44)	-0.49**	(-2.93)	
Upper West region	0.42+	(1.84)	-0.15	(-0.96)	-0.55**	(-3.51)	
Rural residence #	0.00		0.00		0.00		
Urban residence	-0.03	(-0.24)	-0.01	(-0.10)	-0.02	(-0.11)	
Constant	1.22	(1.36)	1.35*	(1.98)	0.78	(1.05)	
Ν	2,	026	2,026		2,026		
R ²	0.	168	0.1	120	0.091		
F-statistics	10.23	(0.00)	<u>5</u> .87	(0.00)	5.62	(0.00)	

identifies the reference group; + p<.10, * p<.05, ** p<.01

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Understanding the factors and channels that influence child health outcomes has become important in view of the short-term and long-term implications of good health, both for individuals and for national development (Black et al. 2013). While household characteristics have been featured in models such as the quality-quantity debate on child health outcomes (Becker and Lewis 1973; Becker and Tomes 1976; Klemp and Weisdorf 2012), little attention has been given to the interaction of the nature of dependency and living arrangements of children (UNICEF 1990).

Variations in household characteristics could influence child health outcomes in one or a combination of the following ways: (1) number of children and adults; (2) children's living arrangements—that is, the presence of parents or related and unrelated members; in the household (3) health and vulnerability status of household members; and (4) occupational status of older members in the household. These characteristics could influence the distribution of resources and care in the household in various ways, which in turn would affect child health outcomes. This paper has focused on the links through which the number of children under age 16 in a household (dependency) and household living arrangements (nucleation) influence child health, as measured by height-for-age, weight-for-height and weight-for-age among children under age 5.

The paper began with an examination of changes in household composition over time, from two perspectives: the number of children under age 16 in the household (dependency), and the living arrangements of children under age 5 (nucleation status of the household). Results show that living arrangements of children under age 5 have changed over the study period. The proportion of children living with both parents without other adults present increased substantially, from 35 percent in 1993 to 50 percent in 2008, suggesting a movement away from extended families and toward nuclear households, although the trend is not linear.

To understand the possible reasons for this observed change toward nucleation, the study compared household composition status with structural factors, such as region, rural-urban and ethnicity. In Ghana these structural factors, especially ethnicity, show a long history of differences in living arrangement among couples and children. Across all three structural factors, there is evidence of association with household composition. Among the possible reasons for the changes in household living arrangements of children are migration, urbanization, increasing education and the effects of modernization generally. For instance, the proportion of the population in urban areas in the country has more than doubled in the past 50 years, from 23 percent in 1960 to 51 percent in 2010 (GSS 2013). As explored in this paper, these changes have implications for children's health outcomes, as well as many other consequences.

The observation that households with high dependency and that are nucleated have children with better health outcomes than those with high dependency but that are non-nucleated shows the implications of dependency, as measured by the number of children under age 16 in a household, for the health outcomes of children under age 5. The results also indicate that the significance of the effect of dependency by itself is not consistent across all three child health outcomes, and that nucleation on its own also fails to explain variations in the three indicators of child health.

What emerge are the joint effects of dependency and nucleation on child health outcomes, with both being statistically significant but dependency exhibiting zero effect and nucleation a negative effect. The inclusion of household wealth status and the combined effect of nucleation and dependency as well as the effect of dependency in the context of nucleation are positive in households with at least four dependents. The results for dependency and nucleation remain unchanged with the inclusion of all other possible correlates of child health outcomes.

The first set of results supports the evidence from the literature (Madise, Matthews, and Margets 1999; Sahn 1990) that the effect of the number of young and older children in a household on child health outcomes is mixed. One of the possible reasons for the mixed results is the inconsistency in age cut-offs used for the studies. The choice of age cut-off has implications on the competition for resources, economies of scale for large number of children and the distribution of resources and care. The first conclusion from this paper is that the effect of dependency on child health outcomes should be conceived as dependent on the characteristics of household members, such as the living arrangements of under-five children. One possibility is that in households with high dependency and households that are nucleated, older children may provide support for care of younger siblings. In non-nucleated household with high dependency, the tendency is for young children to compete for attention with older members.

The conclusion that nucleation, on its own, fails to explain variations in all three indicators of child health could be explained by the fact that, for nucleation to have an effect on child health outcomes, one would need to demonstrate the nature of resource distribution (household wealth status) and competition among members in the household.

The results from the joint effect of nucleation and dependency provide an avenue for exploring the relationship of the nature of living arrangements for children and household composition. However, the evidence of 'no' and 'negative' effect for dependency and nucleation, respectively, and the positive effects of nucleation and dependency when wealth status is included, imply that the joint effects of these two variables can be realized when the wealth status of the household is taken into consideration. The effect of the number of children in a household on child anthropometric outcomes is mediated by household nucleation and conditioned on the wealth status of the household. Thus, the quantity-quality trade-off debates on child health outcomes should be extended to incorporate discussions on the nucleation status of the household. This is because the nucleation status provides avenues for focused distribution of resources. From a policy perspective, programs for social protection and poverty reduction should consider focusing on household composition, such as nucleation status and number of dependents, as opposed to simply considering household size.

REFERENCES

- Allendorf, K. 2013. "Going Nuclear? Family Structure and Young Women's Health in India, 1992–2006." *Demography* 50: 853–80.
- Amoateng, Y.A. 2007. *Towards a Conceptual Framework for Families and Households*. Tokai, South Africa: HSRC Press.
- Assimeng, M. 1981. Social Structure in Ghana. Accra, Ghana: Ghana Publishing.
- Awusabo-Asare, K. 1990. "Matriliny and the New Intestate Succession Law of Ghana." *Canadian Journal of African Studies* 24(1): 1–14.
- Axinn, W.G., and D.J. Ghimire. 2013. *The Emotional Nucleation Hypothesis*. Population Studies Center Research Report 13-782. Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan.
- Becker, G.S., and H.G. Lewis. 1973. "On the Interaction between the Quantity and Quality of Children." *The Journal of Political Economy* 81(2): S279–S288.
- Becker, G.S., and N. Tomes. 1976. "Child Endowments and the Quantity and Quality of Children." *The Journal of Political Economy* 84(4): S143–S162.
- Black, R.E., C.G. Victoria, S.P. Walker, and the Maternal and Child Nutrition Study Group. 2013. "Maternal and Child Undernutrition and Overweight in Low-Income and Middle-Income Countries." *Lancet* 382(9890): 427–51.
- Black, R.E., L.H. Allen, Z.A. Bhutta, L.E. Caulfield, M. de Onis, M. Ezzati, C. Mathers, J. Rivera, and the Maternal and Child Nutrition Study Group. 2008. "Maternal and Child Undernutrition: Global and Regional Exposures and Health Consequences." *Lancet* 371: 243–60.
- Bronte-Tinkew, J., A. Horowitz, and M.E. Scott. 2009. "Fathering with Multiple Partners: Links to Children's Well Being in Early Childhood." *Journal of Marriage and Family* 71: 608– 31.
- Caldwell, J.C. 1982. Theory of Fertility Decline. New York, New York, USA: Academic Press.

- Casper, L.M., and K.R. Bryson. 1998. Co-Resident Grandparents and Their Grandchildren: Grandparent Maintained Families. Population Division Working Paper No. 26.
 Washington D.C.: Population Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census.
- Charmarbagwala, R., M. Ranger, H. Waddington, and W. Howard. Unpublished. "The Determinants of Child Health and Nutrition: A Meta-Analysis." Accessed July 1, 2013. http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTEDS14/Resources/child_health_nutrition.pdf
- Croll, E. 2000. *Endangered Daughters: Discrimination and Development in Asia*. London, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- du Toit, N., J. Brown, and C. Haggerty. 2013. "Who Really Lives Here and Does It Matter? Household Composition Trajectories for Children Living with Other Adults in the Home besides Their Parents." Accessed online at http://paa2013.princeton.edu/papers/131106
- Freeman, L. 2005. "Household Composition and Housing Assistance: Examining the Link." *Cityspace: A Journal of Policy Development and Research* 8(2): 49–67.
- Ghana Statistical Service (GSS), Ghana Health Service, and ICF Macro. 2009. *Ghana Demographic and Health Survey*, 2008. Accra, Ghana: GSS, GHS, and ICF Macro.
- GSS. 2013. National Demographic Analysis Report, 2010 Population ad Housing Census. Accra, Ghana: Ghana Statistical Service.
- GSS. 2012. 2010 Population and Housing Census Summary Tables. Accra, Ghana: Ghana Statistical Service.
- GSS. 2007. *Ghana Living Standards Survey*, 2005/2007. Accra, Ghana: Ghana Statistical Service.
- GSS. 2000. *Ghana Living Standards Survey, 1998/1999.* Accra, Ghana: Ghana Statistical Service.
- Goody, J. 1972. "The Evolution of the Family." In: *Household and Family in Past Time*, edited by P. Laslett, page 103-124. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Gordon, D.S., C. Nandy, S. Pantazis, S. Pemberton, and P. Townsend. 2003. *Child Poverty in the Developing World*. New York, New York, USA: UNICEF.

- Gribble, J., and J. Bremner. 2012a. "Achieving a Demographic Dividend." *Population Bulletin* 67(2): 1–6.
- Gribble, J., and J. Bremner. 2012b. *The Challenge of Attaining the Demographic Dividend* (*Policy Brief*). Washington D.C.: Population Reference Bureau.
- Griggs, J., T. Jo-Pei, A. Buchanan, S. Attar-Schwartz, and E. Flouri. 2010. "They've Always Been There for Me: Grandparental Involvement and Child Well-Being." *Children & Society* 24: 200–14.
- Gyimah, S.O. 2007. "What Has Faith Got to Do with It? Religion and Child Survival in Ghana." *Journal of Biosocial Science* 39: 923–37.
- Gyimah, S.O. 2006. "Cultural Background and Infant Survival in Ghana." *Ethnicity and Health* 11(2): 101–120.
- Hampshire, K., R. Casiday, K. Kilpatrick, and C. Panter-Brick. 2009. "The Social Context of Childcare Practices and Child Malnutrition in Niger's Recent Food Crisis." *Disasters* 33: 132–151.
- Hatton, T.J., and R.M. Martin. 2009. Fertility Decline and the Heights of Children in Britain, 1886-1938. Paper presented at IZA/CEPR 11th European Summer Symposium in Labour Economics, Buch, Ammersee, September 17–19.
- ICF Macro. 2010. *Millennium Development Goals in Ghana: A New Look at Data from the 2008 Ghana Demographic and Health Survey*. Calverton, Maryland, USA: ICF Macro.
- Klemp, M., and J.L. Weisdorf. 2012. "Fecundity, Fertility and Family Reconstitution Data: The Child Quantity-Quality Trade-Off Revisited." CEPR Discussion Paper No. DP9121. Accessed online at http://ssrn.com/abstract=2153562
- Laslett, P. 1972. "Introduction: The History of the Family." In: *Household and Family in Past Time*, edited by P. Laslett, page 1-90. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- LeGrand, T.K., and C.S.M. Mbacke. 1993. "Teenage Pregnancy and Child Health in the Urban Sahel." *Studies in Family Planning* 24(3): 137–49.

- Liu, L., H.L. Johnson, S. Cousens, J. Perin, S. Scott, J.E. Lawn, I. Rudan, H. Campbell, R. Cibulskis, M. Li, C. Mathers, R.E. Black, and For the Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group of WHO and UNICEF. 2012. "Global, Regional, and National Causes of Child Mortality: An Updated Systematic Analysis for 2010 with Time Trends since 2000." *Lancet* 379: 2151–61.
- Macht, C.F. 2008. Marital Dynamics and Fertility Limitation: The Role of Emotional Nucleation. Population Studies Center Research Report 08-642. Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan.
- Madise, N.J., Z. Matthews, and B. Margetts. 1999. "Heterogeneity of Child Nutritional Status between Households: A Comparison of Six Sub-Saharan African Countries." *Population Studies* 53(3): 331–43.
- Manuh, T. 1997. "Wives, Children and Intestate Succession in Ghana." In: African Feminism: Politics of Survival in Sub-Saharan Africa, edited by M. Gwendolyn. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA: University of Philadelphia Press.
- Nukunya, G.K. 2003. *Tradition and Change in Ghana*. *An Introduction to Sociology*. (2nd Ed.) Accra, Ghana: Ghana Universities Press.
- Nukunya, G.K. 1969. *Kinship and Marriage among the Anlo Ewe*. Monograph on Social Anthropology No. 37. London, United Kingdom: Athlone Press.
- Omran, A.R. 1998. "The Epidemiologic Transition Revisited Thirty Years Later." *World Health Statistics Quarterly* 51(2–3–4): 99–119.
- Omran, A.R. 1971. "The Epidemiologic Transition: A Theory of Epidemiology of Population Change." *Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly* 49(4): 509–38.
- Oppong, C. 1981. *Middle Class African Marriage: A Family Study of Ghanaian Senior Civil Servants*. London, United Kingdom: George Allen & Unwin.
- Pellow, D., and N. Chazan. 1986. Ghana Coping with Uncertainty. Boulder, Colorado, USA: George Allen & Unwin.

- Ramsey, J.B. 1969. "Tests for Specification Error in Classical Linear Least Squares Regression Analysis." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B(Methodological)* 31(2): 350– 71.
- Sahn, D.E. 1990. "The Impact of Export Crop Production on Nutritional Status in Cote d'Ivoire." *World Development* 18: 1635–53.
- Schnaiberg, A. 1973. "The Concept and Measurement of Child Dependency: An Approach to Family Formation Analysis." *Population Studies* 27(1): 69–84.
- Schnitzer, P.G., and B.G. Ewigman. 2008. "Household Composition and Unintentional Injury Deaths Related to Child Maltreatment." *Journal of Nursing Scholarship* 40: 91–97.
- Titchit, C., and N. Robette. 2008. Understanding the Family Composition of Households in Demographic and Health Surveys. Paris, France: CEPED.
- Twumasi-Ankrah, K. 1995. Rural-Urban Migration and Socioeconomic Development in Ghana. Journal of Social Development in Africa 10(2): 13–22.
- UNDP [Ghana]. 2007. Ghana Human Development Report, 2007: Towards a More Inclusive Society. Accra, Ghana: UNDP.
- UNICEF. 1990. Strategy for Improved Nutrition of Children and Women in Developing Countries. New York, New York, USA: UNICEF.
- WHO. 2012. Discussion Paper: Proposed Global Targets for Maternal, Infant and Young Child Nutrition. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- WHO. 2006. WHO Child Growth Standards: Length/Height-for-Age, Weight-for-Age, Weightfor-Length, Weight-for-Height, and Body Mass Index-for-Age: Methods and Development. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- William, C.D. 1935. "Kwashiokor." Lancet 226 (5855): 1151–2.
- Willis, R.J. 1982. "The Direction of Intergenerational Transfers and Demographic Transition: The Caldwell Hypothesis Reexamined." *Population and Development Review* 8: 207–34.

APPENDIX

Variables	Measurement/Units
Height-for-age z-scores	(Child's height - median of ref. group)/std. dev. of the ref. group
Weight-for-height	(Child's weight - median of ref. group)/ std. dev. of the ref. group (same height)
Weight-for-age	(Child's weight - median of ref. group)/ std. dev. of the ref. group
Nucleation	Core members (children and parents)/household size
Dependency	Number of children in a household less than 16 years
Nucleation * Dependency	Nucleation X Dependency
Sex of child	Male child = 1; and Female child = 0
Age of child	Completed age of child captured in months
Child ever breastfed	Ever breastfed = 1; and Not ever breastfed = 0
Child's size at birth	Very large = 1, Above Ave. = 2; Ave. = 3; Below Ave. = 4; and Very Small = 5
Wealth index	Asset index
Prevalence of diarrhea (child)	Child had diarrhea in the last two weeks = 1; and Did not have diarrhea = 0
Mother's age	Mothers age captured as completed years
Mother's educational level	No education = 0; Primary = 1; Middle/JSS = 2; and Secondary+ = 4)
Mother's marital status	Never married = 1; Currently married = 2; and Formerly married = 3
Household type of toilet	Flush toilet = 1; and Other = 0
Household source of water	Pipe/bottled water = 1; and Other sources = 0
Region	10 administrative regions in Ghana
Residence	Rural=0; and Urban=1

Appendix Table A1. Measurement of variables

Variables	Observations	Mean	Std. dev.	Minimum	Maximum
Height-for-age	2,026	-1.07	1.63	-5.88	5.92
Weight-for-height	2,026	-0.83	1.20	-5.29	4.58
Weight-for-age	2,026	-0.31	1.36	-4.93	4.70
Nucleation index	2,026	0.92	0.13	0.25	1.00
Dependency	2,026	3.41	1.91	1.00	13.00
Nucleation * Dependency	2,026	3.08	1.66	0.50	11.29
Wealth categories	2,026	2.53	1.43	1.00	5.00
Dummy for male child	2,026	0.51	0.50	0.00	1.00
Child's age (months)	2,026	29.06	17.05	0.00	59.00
Child recently had diarrhea	2,026	0.22	0.41	0.00	1.00
Child's size at birth	2,026	2.41	1.07	1.00	5.00
Child ever breastfed	2,026	0.99	0.11	0.00	1.00
Mother's marital status	2,026	1.02	0.22	0.00	2.00
Mother's age	2,026	30.82	6.77	15.00	49.00
Mother's educational level	2,026	1.03	1.00	0.00	3.00
Household has a flush toilet	2,026	0.07	0.26	0.00	1.00
Household uses piped or bottled drinking water	2,026	0.30	0.46	0.00	1.00
Regional dummies	2,026	5.80	2.74	1.00	10.00
Urban residence dummy	2,026	0.34	0.47	0.00	1.00

Appendix Table A2. Summary statistics of variables used for inferential statistics

Appendix Table A3. Pearson correlation matrix for main variables of interest

Variables	Height-for- age	Weight-for- height	Weight-for- age	Nucleation	Dependency	Wealth index
Height-for-age	1.00	0.61	-0.20	0.00	-0.07	0.16
Significance level		0.00	0.00	-0.91	0.00	0.00
Weight-for-height		1.00	0.65	0.03	-0.09	0.20
Significance level			0.00	-0.19	0.00	0.00
Weight-for-age			1.00	0.04	-0.04	0.09
Significance level				-0.11	-0.08	0.00
Nucleation				1.00	-0.26	0.05
Significance level					0.00	-0.02
Dependency					1.00	-0.29
Significance level						0.00
Wealth index						1.00
Significance level						